

Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science **Faculty Works**

Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science

8-1990

Sums of Semiprime, Z, and D L-Ideals in a Class of F-Rings

Suzanne Larson Loyola Marymount University, slarson@lmu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/math_fac



Part of the Mathematics Commons

Digital Commons @ LMU & LLS Citation

Larson, Suzanne, "Sums of Semiprime, Z, and D L-Ideals in a Class of F-Rings" (1990). Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science Faculty Works. 9.

https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/math_fac/9

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

SUMS OF SEMIPRIME, z, AND d l-IDEALS IN A CLASS OF f-RINGS

SUZANNE LARSON

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. In this paper it is shown that there is a large class of f-rings in which the sum of any two semiprime l-ideals is semiprime. This result is used to give a class of commutative f-rings with identity element in which the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals is a z-ideal and the sum of any two d-ideals is a d-ideal.

Introduction

An l-ideal I of an f-ring A is called semiprime if $a^2 \in I$ implies $a \in I$. An ideal I of a commutative ring A with identity element is called a z-ideal if whenever $a, b \in A$ are in the same set of maximal ideals and $a \in I$, then $b \in I$. Given an element a of an f-ring A, let $\{a\}^d = \{x \in A : xa = 0\}$ and $\{a\}^{dd} = \{x \in A : xy = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \{a\}^d\}$. An ideal I of a commutative f-ring is called a d-ideal if $a \in I$ implies $\{a\}^{dd} \subseteq I$.

Several authors have studied the sums of semiprime l-ideals, z-ideals, and d-ideals in various classes of f-rings. In [2, 14.8] it is shown that the sum of two z-ideals in C(X), the f-ring of all real-valued continuous functions defined on the topological space X, is a z-ideal; and in [11, 4.1 and 5.1], Rudd shows that in absolutely convex subrings of C(X), the sum of two semiprime l-ideals is semiprime and the sum of two z-ideals is a z-ideal. Mason studies sums of z-ideals in absolutely convex subrings of the ring of all continuous functions on a topological space and in more general rings in [10]. An example is given in [5, §7] of an f-ring in which there are two (semiprime) z-ideals whose sum is not a z-ideal or semiprime. Huijsmans and de Pagter show in [7, 4.4] that in a normal Riesz space, the sum of two d-ideals is a d-ideal.

In [4, 3.9], Henriksen gives a condition on two semiprime l-ideals of an f-ring which is necessary and sufficient for their sum to be semiprime. Henriksen also notes in [4] that this condition can be difficult to apply globally, and so it seems difficult to use this result to determine in what classes of f-rings are the sum of any two semiprime l-ideals semiprime.

Received by the editors June 5, 1989 and, in revised form, September 27, 1989. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 06F25.

In this note we show that there is a large class of f-rings, specifically those f-rings in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated, in which the sum of any two semiprime l-ideals is semiprime. We use this result to show that in a commutative f-ring with identity element in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated, if the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals is a z-ideal (resp. d-ideal), then the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals is a z-ideal (resp. the sum of any two d-ideals is a d-ideal). As a corollary we show that in a commutative semiprime normal f-ring with identity element, the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals (resp. d-ideals) is a z-ideal (resp. d-ideal).

1. Preliminaries

An f-ring is a lattice-ordered ring which is a subdirect product of totally ordered rings. For general information on f-rings see [1]. Given an f-ring A and $x \in A$, we let $A^+ = \{a \in A : a \ge 0\}$, $x^+ = x \lor 0$, $x^- = (-x) \lor 0$, and $|x| = x \lor (-x)$.

A ring ideal I of an f-ring A is an l-ideal if $|x| \le |y|$, $y \in I$ implies $x \in I$. Given any element $a \in A$ there is a smallest l-ideal containing a, and we denote this by $\langle a \rangle$.

Suppose A is an f-ring and I is an l-ideal of A. The l-ideal I is semiprime (prime) if $a^2 \in I$ $(ab \in I)$ implies $a \in I$ $(a \in I)$ or $a \in I$. It is well known that in an f-ring, an l-ideal is semiprime if and only if it is an intersection of prime l-ideals, and that all l-ideals containing a given prime l-ideal form a chain. In an f-ring a semiprime l-ideal that contains a prime l-ideal is a prime l-ideal as shown in [12, 2.5], [7, 4.2].

An ideal I of a commutative ring A with identity element is a z-ideal if, whenever a, $b \in A$ are contained in the same set of maximal ideals and $a \in I$, then $b \in I$.

In a commutative f-ring, let $\{a\}^d = \{x \in A : ax = 0\}$ and $\{a\}^{dd} = \{x \in A : xy = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \{a\}^d\}$. An ideal I of a commutative f-ring is called a d-ideal if $a \in I$ implies $\{a\}^{dd} \subseteq I$.

Henriksen, in [4] calls an l-ideal I of an f-ring A square dominated if $I=\{a\in A\colon |a|\leq x^2 \text{ for some } x\in A \text{ such that } x^2\in I\}$. Two characterizations now follow describing those commutative semiprime f-rings in which all minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated. Parts (1) and (2) of the following lemma are shown to be equivalent in [8, 2.1]. That part (3) of the following lemma is equivalent to part (1) follows easily from the equivalence of parts (1) and (2).

Lemma 1.1. Let A be a commutative semiprime f-ring. The following are equivalent:

- (1) Every minimal prime l-ideal of A is square dominated
- (2) For every $a \in A^+$ the l-ideal $\{a\}^d$ is square dominated

(3) The l-ideal $O_P = \{a \in A: \text{ there exists a } b \notin P \text{ such that } ab = 0\}$ is square dominated for all prime l-ideals P of A.

2.

We begin with two results that will be needed when showing that in an f-ring in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated, the sum of two semiprime l-ideals is semiprime.

Theorem 2.1. Let A be an f-ring. In A, the sum of a semiprime l-ideal and a square-dominated semiprime l-ideal is semiprime.

Proof. Suppose that I, J are semiprime l-ideals and that J is square dominated. Let $a^2 \in I + J$ with $a \ge 0$. Then $a^2 \le i + j$ for some $i \in I^+$, $j \in J^+$. Since J is square dominated, $j \le j_1^2$ for some $j_1 \in A^+$ with $j_1^2 \in J$. So $a^2 \le i + j_1^2$. Let $x = a - (a \land j_1)$ and $y = a \land j_1$. Since J is a semiprime l-ideal, $j_1 \in J$ and $y \in J$. Now for any positive elements a, j_1 of any totally ordered ring, $a \land j_1 = a$ or $a \land j_1 = j_1$. In the first case $(a - (a \land j_1))^2 = 0$, and in the second case $(a - (a \land j_1))^2 = (a - j_1)^2 = a^2 - aj_1 - j_1a + j_1^2 \le a^2 - 2j_1^2 + j_1^2 = a^2 - j_1^2$. Therefore in any totally ordered ring, $(a - (a \land j_1))^2 \le 0 \lor (a^2 - j_1^2)$. This implies that in the f-ring A, $x^2 = (a - (a \land j_1))^2 \le 0 \lor (a^2 - j_1^2) \le i$. Thus, $x^2 \in I$ and hence $x \in I$. We have a = x + y with $x \in I$ and $y \in J$. Therefore $a \in I + J$. □

Lemma 2.2. Let A be an f-ring in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated. In A, the sum of any two prime l-ideals is prime.

Proof. Let I and J be prime l-ideals of A. Let I_1 , J_1 be minimal prime l-ideals contained in I, J respectively. We will show I+J is an intersection of prime l-ideals. To do so, we let $z \in A$ such that $z \notin I+J$ and we will show there is a prime l-ideal containing I+J but not z. The l-ideal I_1+J_1 is prime, and the prime l-ideals containing it form a chain. By the maximal principle, there is a prime l-ideal Q containing I_1+J_1 which is maximal with respect to not containing z. By the previous theorem, $I+J_1$ is semiprime. It also contains a prime l-ideal and is therefore prime. Similarly, I_1+J is prime. Thus $I \subseteq I+J_1 \subseteq Q$ and $J \subseteq I_1+J \subseteq Q$. This implies that $I+J \subseteq Q$ and $z \notin Q$. Therefore I+J is an intersection of prime l-ideals. So it is semiprime. It also contains a prime l-ideal and is therefore prime. \square

In [3, 4.7], Gillman and Kohls show that in C(X), the f-ring of all real-valued continuous functions defined on the topological space X, an l-ideal is an interesection of l-ideals, each of which contains a prime l-ideal. Their proof easily generalizes to prove that in an f-ring, an l-ideal which contains all nilpotent elements of the f-ring is an intersection of l-ideals, each of which contains a prime l-ideal. We will make use of this result in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let A be an f-ring in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated. In A, the sum of any two semiprime l-ideals is semiprime.

Proof. Let I, J be semiprime l-ideals. We will show I+J is an intersection of prime l-ideals. To do so, we let $z \in A$ such that $z \notin I+J$ and we show that there is a prime l-ideal containing I+J but not z. By Gillman and Kohl's result mentioned above, there is an l-ideal Q containing I+J and containing a prime l-ideal but not containing z. Let P be a minimal prime l-ideal contained in Q. By Theorem 2.1, P+I is semiprime. Also, it contains a prime l-ideal and so is prime. Similarly, P+J is prime. Then by the previous lemma, (P+I)+(P+J) is prime. Since $(P+I)+(P+J)\subseteq Q$, $z\notin (P+I)+(P+J)$ and $I+J\subseteq (P+I)+(P+J)$. \square

The converse of the previous theorem does not hold, as we show next.

Example 2.4. In C([0, 1]), denote by i the function i(x) = x, and by e the function e(x) = 1. Let $A = \{ f \in C([0, 1]) : f = ae + g \text{ where } a \in \mathbf{R}, g \in \langle i \rangle \}$ with coordinate operations. Then A is a commutative semiprime f-ring.

We will show that the sum of two semiprime I-ideals of A is semiprime. So suppose I, J are semiprime I-ideals. If I or J contains an element f=ae+g such that $a \neq 0$, then it can be shown that I or J is square dominated. Then by Theorem 2.1, I+J is semiprime. So we may now suppose that both I, $J \subseteq \langle i \rangle$. If $f^2 \in I+J$, then there is $i_1 \in I^+$, $j_1 \in J^+$ such that $f^2 = i_1 + j_1$. Also, $f \in \langle i \rangle$ which implies $|f| \leq ni$ and $f^2 \leq n^2i^2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $i_1 \leq n^2i^2$ and $j_1 \leq n^2i^2$. Therefore $\sqrt{i_1} \leq ni$ and $\sqrt{i_1} \in A$. Since I is semiprime, $\sqrt{i_1} \in I$. Similarly, $\sqrt{j_1} \in J$. So $f \leq \sqrt{i_1} + \sqrt{j_1}$ implies $f \in I+J$. Thus I+J is semiprime.

Next we show that not every minimal prime l-ideal of A is square dominated. Let f be a function such that $0 \le f \le i$, f(x) = 0 for all $x \in [1/4, 1]$, f(x) = 0 for all $x \in [1/(4n+2), 1/4n]$, and f(1/(4n+3)) = 1/(4n+3) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, let g be a function such that $0 \le g \le i$, g(x) = 0 for all $x \in [1/4, 1]$, g(1/(4n+1)) = 1/(4n+1), and g(x) = 0 for all $x \in [1/(4n+4), 1/(4n+2)]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $g \in \{f\}^d$, and there is no element $h \in A$ which satisfies $g \le h^2$ and $h^2 \in \{f\}^d$. So $\{f\}^d$ is not square dominated, and Lemma 1.1 implies that not every minimal prime l-ideal of A is square dominated.

Next we turn our attention to the sum of two z-ideals which are l-ideals and to the sum of two d-ideals. Note that in a commutative f-ring with identity element, a z-ideal is not always an l-ideal. However it can easily be seen that in a commutative f-ring with identity element, if every maximal ideal is an l-ideal (or equivalently if for all $x \ge 1$, x^{-1} exists), then a z-ideal is always an l-ideal. In a commutative f-ring with identity element, every d-ideal is an l-ideal. G. Mason has established three results concerning z-ideals which we will use in the proof of the next theorem. The first is as follows.

(α) In a commutative ring with identity element, every z-ideal is semiprime [9, 1.0].

The second was proven for a commutative ring with identity element, and the third was proven for a commutative ring with identity element in which the prime ideals containing a given prime form a chain. With only very slight modifications to the proofs, these results can be given in the context of f-rings.

- (β) If, in a commutative f-ring with identity element, P is minimal in the class of prime l-ideals containing a z-ideal I which is an l-ideal, then P is also a z-ideal. In particular, minimal prime l-ideals are z-ideals [9, 1.1].
- (γ) If, in a commutative f-ring with identity element, the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals is a prime z-ideal, then the sum of any two prime l-ideals not in a chain is a z-ideal [10, 3.2].

One can easily mimic the proofs to (β) and (γ) to show analogous results about d-ideals.

- (β') If, in a commutative f-ring with identity element, P is minimal in the class of prime l-ideals containing a d-ideal I, then P is also a d-ideal. In particular, minimal prime l-ideals are d-ideals.
- (γ') If, in a commutative f-ring with identity element, the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals is a prime d-ideal, then the sum of any two prime l-ideals which are not in a chain is a d-ideal.

Theorem 2.5. Let A be a commutative f-ring with identity element in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated.

- (1) If the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals of A is a z-ideal, then the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals of A is a z-ideal.
- (2) If the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals of A is a d-ideal, then the sum of any two d-ideals of A is a d-ideal.

Proof. We first show part (1). Suppose I, J are z-ideals which are l-ideals. Then I, J are semiprime l-ideals by (α) , and by Theorem 2.3, I+J is a semiprime l-ideal. We will show that I+J is the intersection of z-ideals. To do so, we let $z\in A$ such that $z\notin I+J$, and we will show there is a z-ideal containing I+J but not z. Since I+J is a semiprime l-ideal, it is the intersection of prime l-ideals. So there is a prime l-ideal P containing I+J but not z. Let P_1 , $P_2\subseteq P$ be prime l-ideals minimal with respect to containing I, J respectively. By (β) , P_1 , P_2 are prime z-ideals. It follows from (γ) that P_1+P_2 is a z-ideal. Also, $I+J\subseteq P_1+P_2$ and $z\notin (P_1+P_2)$ since $P_1+P_2\subseteq P$.

The proof of part (2) is analogous. \Box

Recall that for any element a of an f-ring, $\{a\}^d$ is a z-ideal and a d-ideal. Recall also that a prime l-ideal P of a commutative semiprime f-ring is minimal if and only if $a \in P$ implies there is a $b \notin P$ such that ab = 0.

Corollary 2.6. Let A be a commutative semiprime f-ring with identity element in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated.

- (1) If for every $a, b \in A^+$, $\{a\}^d + \{b\}^d$ is a z-ideal, then the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals of A is a z-ideal. (2) If for every $a, b \in A^+$, $\{a\}^d + \{b\}^d$ is a d-ideal, then the sum of any
- two d-ideals of A is a d-ideal.

Proof. To show part (1), we need only show that the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals is a z-ideal. Let P, Q be minimal prime l-ideals. Suppose a, bare in the same set of maximal ideals and $b \in P + Q$. Then b = p + q for some $p \in P$, $q \in Q$. Also, there is $p_1, q_1 \in A^+$ such that $p_1 \notin P$, $q_1 \notin Q$, and $pp_1 = 0$, $qq_1 = 0$. So $b = p + q \in \{p_1\}^d + \{q_1\}^d$. By hypothesis, $\{p_1\}^d + \{q_1\}^d$ is a z-ideal. So $a \in \{p_1\}^d + \{q_1\}^d \subseteq P + Q$.

The proof of part (2) is analogous. \Box

An f-ring (and more generally a Riesz space) A is called normal if A = $\{a^+\}^d + \{a^-\}^d$ for all $a \in A$, or equivalently if $a \wedge b = 0$ implies A = a $\{a\}^d + \{b\}^d$. In [8, 2.5] it is shown that in a commutative, semiprime normal f-ring with identity element, every minimal prime l-ideal is square dominated.

Corollary 2.7. Let A be a commutative semiprime normal f-ring with identity element. In A, the sum of any two z-ideals which are l-ideals is a z-ideal and the sum of any two d-ideals is a d-ideal.

Proof. In view of the fact that minimal prime l-ideals of A are square dominated and in light of Theorem 2.5, we need only show that the sum of any two minimal prime l-ideals is a z-ideal and a d-ideal. So let P, Q be minimal prime l-ideals. We will show that if $P \neq Q$, then P + Q = A. If $P \neq Q$, then there is an element $p \in P \setminus Q$. Since P is a minimal prime, there is an element $q \notin P$ such that pq = 0. Then $p \wedge q = 0$, and $\{p\}^d + \{q\}^d = A$. But $\{p\}^d \subset Q$, $\{q\}^d \subset P$. So A = P + Q. \square

Huijsmans and de Pagter show in [6, 4.4] that in a normal Riesz space the sum of two d-ideals is a d-ideal, so the d-ideal portion of the previous corollary is known.

We conclude with an example showing that in a commutative f-ring with identity element in which minimal prime l-ideals are square dominated, the sum of two minimal prime *l*-ideals is not necessarily a *d*-ideal or *z*-ideal. So the hypothesis that the sum of any two minimal prime *l*-ideals is a *z*-ideal or a d-ideal cannot be omitted from Theorem 2.5. The example makes use of a construction of Henriksen and Smith which appears in [5].

Example 2.8. In C([0, 1]), let i denote the function defined by i(x) = x and let $I = \{ f \in C([0, 1]) : |f^n| \le mi \text{ for some } n, m \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Then I is a semiprime *l*-ideal of C([0, 1]). Let $A = \{(f, g) \in C([0, 1]) \times C([0, 1]) : f - g \in I\}$. Then as shown in [5], A is a commutative semiprime f-ring with identity element.

As shown in [5, §3], the minimal prime l-ideals of A have the form $\{(f+g,f)\colon f\in P,\,g\in I\}$ or $\{(f,\,f+g)\colon f\in P,\,g\in I\}$ for some minimal prime l-ideal P of $C([0,\,1])$. Using this fact, it is not hard to show that minimal prime l-ideals of A are square dominated.

Define a function h by $h(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/2^n x^{1/n}$. Then $h \in C([0,1])$ and $h \notin I$. Let P be a prime l-ideal such that $I \subseteq P$ and $h \notin P$, and let P_1 be a minimal prime l-ideal contained in P. In A, let $Q_1 = \{(f+g,f)\colon f \in P_1, g \in I\}$ and $Q_2 = \{(f,f+g)\colon f \in P_1, g \in I\}$. Then Q_1 and Q_2 are minimal prime l-ideals of A and so are z-ideals and d-ideals. But $Q_1 + Q_2$ is not a z-ideal, since the only maximal ideal (h,h) or (i,i) is contained in is $M = \{(f,g)\colon f(0) = g(0) = 0\}$ and yet $(i,i) \in Q_1 + Q_2$ but $(h,h) \notin Q_1 + Q_2$. To see directly that $Q_1 + Q_2$ is not a d-ideal, note that $\{(i,i)\}^{dd} = A$ and $A \nsubseteq Q_1 + Q_2$.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Bigard, K. Keimel, and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et anneaux reticules*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 608, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- 2. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of continuous functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1960.
- L. Gillman and C. Kohls, Convex and pseudoprime ideals in rings of continuous functions, Math. Z. 72 (1960), 399-409.
- 4. M. Henriksen, Semiprime ideals of f-rings, Sympos. Math. 21 (1977), 401-409.
- 5. M. Henriksen and F. A. Smith, Sums of z-ideals and semiprime ideals, Gen. Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra 5 (1982), 272–278.
- C. B. Huijsmans and B. de Pagter, On z-ideals and d-ideals in Riesz spaces I, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 42 (1980), 183-195.
- 7. _____, *Ideal theory in f-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 225–245.
- 8. S. Larson, Pseudoprime 1-ideals in a class of f-rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 685-692.
- 9. G. Mason, z-ideals and prime ideals, J. Algebra 26 (1973), 280-297.
- 10. _____, Prime z-ideals of C(X) and related rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 23 (1980), 437-443.
- 11. D. Rudd, On two sum theorems for ideals of C(X), Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970), 139-141.
- 12. H. Subramanian, l-prime ideals in f-rings, Bull. Soc. Math. France 95 (1967), 193-203.

Department of Mathematics, Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles, California 90045