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Context

- Librarian discomfort with using EBSCO Discovery Service
- Evaluate implementation of EDS
- Librarian Survey Spring 2015
Goals of the survey

- To understand whether you were able to find what you were looking for in OneSearch+

- To understand any challenges/barriers you encountered while conducting your searches in OneSearch+

- To enhance the discovery service based on the feedback you provide to improve the usability experience

- Identify next steps
Survey overview

- Surveyed librarians only, 21 responses

- 16 questions

- Conduct search in liaison area and find relevant content in OneSearch+ (books, peer-reviewed articles, magazine articles, images, video and/or finding aids)

- Record keywords, limiters, and/or facets used

- Report any problems/barriers encountered
Question 10: Were you satisfied with the results list, why or why not?

- Range of responses
  - Satisfied
  - Mostly satisfied
  - Not at all satisfied

  - dates (for magazines)
  - Searches for specialized content like images
  - Lots of irrelevant results, which were ranked higher than relevant ones
Question 11: Did you encounter any problems or barriers while finding the sources?

- ARTstor links not working
- Filters set up for search conducted not taken into consideration when search was revised
- Subject limiters did not seem to work
- Selecting multiple formats at a time does not work
- Limiting to certain source types still showed results from other source types
- Limiting to “books” did not yield results; limiting to “LMU Catalog only” produced books in results list
Question 12: How would you describe the strengths and weaknesses of OneSearch+ based on the search you conducted?

- **Strengths**
  - “The strength is in general, expected content”
  - “It is nice to be able to find different types of resources in one place…”
  - “Finds academic articles across most disciplines”
  - “I find the permalink, email and print options to be useful and easy to use”
  - “Facets and limiters are very powerful, representing the strength of OneSearch+”
Question 12: How would you describe the strengths and weaknesses of OneSearch+ based on the search you conducted?

- Weaknesses

  - “OneSearch was simply not comprehensive enough. It was difficult for me to find books in our catalog using OneSearch and the results I got were very frequently not relevant”

  - “Searching OneSearch+ requires information literacy skills including an understanding of how keywords work, fluidity in research and patience”

  - “Most weaknesses might lie outside of OneSearch – i.e. the design of the resources to which OneSearch links, such as a poorly designed OPAC with no-so-obvious links, or a database that has yet to replace outdated keywords and tags …”
Question 13: Rate the overall experience using OneSearch+ for this search on a scale of 1-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 librarians rated it 4, 2 librarians rated it 3, and 1 librarian rated it 2.
Question 14: Please explain why you gave it the rating you gave in the earlier question?

- Rating 2
  - “I feel like all of the finagling I had to do to make it work was not necessarily a good use of time.”

- Rating 3
  - Ability to find only a handful of relevant articles
    - “Students would need to learn how to navigate the interface and understand the meaning of these information in order to find positive results.”
Question 14: Please explain why you gave it the rating you gave in the earlier question?

- Rating 4
  - “It does what is advertises, works well in my discipline and is a lot better than when we first got the product. Could we tweak it to be better? Sure. Can EBSCO do better overall as a company/product? Sure. But as it stands right now it gets the job done and I'm not afraid to use it.”

  - “Again, it's a very powerful tool. Used carefully, it can help highlight the quantity and quality of resources about which our users could've been unaware.”
Question 15: Would this be a recommended resource in your own liaison area? Why or why not?

- 4 librarians said no
  - ProQuest databases more relevant to given subject area
  - 2/3 databases in [subject] area not in EDS
  - “Students should use ___ database or primary source databases for [my subject area]”
  - “I’m not sure …”

- 1 librarian said N/A

- 10 librarians said yes
Question 15: Would this be a recommended resource in your own liaison area? Why or why not?

- 6 librarians said yes but qualified their answers with:
  - “I would recommend it but it does not replace some of the more tailored _____ databases”
  - “Graduate students, for more obscure topics, yes, once they have a very specific search and vocabulary in mind …”
  - “Sure, as a quick-start shallow search … but maybe only recommend for serious/experienced searchers”
Question 16: Is there anything else you would like to say about your OneSearch+ experience?

- Books don’t appear in search results
- Need for more instruction on using OneSearch+
- Play with the keywords in order to get to the results
- Acknowledgment for importance of subject databases but usefulness of OneSearch+ in terms of variety of resources
Next Steps

- Conduct UX testing to further tweak system
- Need for instruction on how to use OneSearch+
- Identify disciplines where OneSearch+ has been successful
- Report issues to onesearchplus@lmu.edu
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