



Digital Commons@

Loyola Marymount University
LMU Loyola Law School

Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science
Faculty Works

Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science

2009

Counting Links in Complete Graphs

Thomas Fleming

Blake Mellor

Loyola Marymount University, blake.mellor@lmu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/math_fac



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Digital Commons @ LMU & LLS Citation

Fleming, Thomas and Mellor, Blake, "Counting Links in Complete Graphs" (2009). *Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science Faculty Works*. 21.

https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/math_fac/21

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics, Statistics and Data Science Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

COUNTING LINKS IN COMPLETE GRAPHS

THOMAS FLEMING and BLAKE MELLOR

(Received August 9, 2007, revised November 29, 2007)

Abstract

We find the minimal number of non-trivial links in an embedding of any complete k -partite graph on 7 vertices (including K_7 , which has at least 21 non-trivial links). We give either exact values or upper and lower bounds for the minimal number of non-trivial links for all complete k -partite graphs on 8 vertices. We also look at larger complete bipartite graphs, and state a conjecture relating minimal linking embeddings with minimal book embeddings.

1. Introduction

The study of links and knots in spatial graphs began with Conway and Gordon's seminal result that every embedding of K_6 contains a non-trivial link and every embedding of K_7 contains a non-trivial knot [2]. Their result sparked considerable interest in *intrinsically linked* and *intrinsically knotted* graphs—graphs with the property that every embedding in \mathbb{R}^3 contains a pair of linked cycles (respectively, a knotted cycle). Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [15] gave a Kuratowski-type classification of intrinsically linked graphs, showing that every such graph contains one of the graphs in the *Petersen family* as a minor (see Fig. 1).

However, while their result answers the question of *which* graphs are intrinsically linked, it does not address *how* they are linked, and how complicated the linking must be. In this paper, we measure the “complexity” of a graph (with respect to intrinsic linking) by the minimal number of non-trivial links in any embedding of the graph (denoted $mnl(G)$).

This is not the only possible measure of complexity. Rather than counting the number of links, one could focus instead on the complexity of the individual links. Flapan [6] has given examples of graphs which must contain links with large linking numbers, and Flapan et al. [7] constructed graphs whose embeddings must contain links with many components. Recently, the second author, with Flapan and Naimi, has generalized these results to show that there are graphs whose embedding must contain a link with arbitrarily complex *linking patterns* [8].

In other work, the authors have used the notion of *virtual spatial graphs* to form a filtration of graphs based on the presence of virtual links in a graph's virtual diagrams ([4]). These various measures of complexity are quite different; for example,




Fig. 1. The Petersen family of graphs.

while all the graphs in the Petersen family have $mnl(G) = 1$, in the virtual filtration they fall into two distinct levels. While both K_6 and K_7 can be embedded with only Hopf links, $mnl(K_6) = 1$ while we will show that $mnl(K_7) = 21$.

Our goal in this paper is to count the minimal number of non-trivial links in small (7 or 8 vertices) complete k -partite graphs. We obtain complete results for graphs on 7 vertices, and upper and lower bounds for $mnl(G)$ for graphs on 8 vertices (see Table 1). In the final sections, we look at larger complete bipartite graphs, and conjecture a relationship between minimal number of non-trivial links and minimal book embeddings of graphs.

2. Preliminary results and definitions

We first make some observations.

Proposition 1. *For any n , the graphs $K_{n,1}$, $K_{n,2}$, $K_{n,3}$, $K_{n,1,1}$, $K_{n,2,1}$ and $K_{n,1,1,1}$ have linkless embeddings.*

Proof. All of these graphs are subgraphs of $K_{n,1,1,1}$. However, any cycle in $K_{n,1,1,1}$ must use at least two of the vertices of degree $n+2$, so there are no pairs of disjoint cycles, and hence no links. \square

The next result is due to the fact that K_6 is a minor-minimal intrinsically linked graph [11].

Proposition 2. *The only intrinsically linked graph with six or fewer vertices is K_6 , which can be embedded with exactly one non-trivial link.*

DEFINITION 1. Given a graph G , we define $mnl(G)$ to be the minimal number of non-trivial links in any embedding of G in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Our results are summarized in Table 1. Since we have only considered graphs with 8 or fewer vertices, all links have two components (three disjoint cycles requires at least 9 vertices). And since almost all of our arguments are based on the linking number modulo 2, we are really counting the number of two-component links with odd linking number. For most of these graphs this is sufficient, but it is known that some graphs will always have non-trivial links with even linking number [3].

We found upper bounds for the minimal number of non-trivial links by computing this number for specific examples. This was done using *Mathematica*, by modifying a program written by Ramin Naimi [13]. The program computes the linking number of all pairs of cycles. To check for non-trivial links with linking number 0, such as the Whitehead link, the program also produced a list of all pairs of cycles with more than

Table 1. Minimum number of links for complete partite graphs on 7 and 8 vertices.

<i>Graphs on 7 vertices</i>		<i>Graphs on 8 vertices</i>	
G	$mnl(G)$	G	$mnl(G)$
$K_{6,1}$	0	$K_{7,1}$	0
$K_{5,2}$	0	$K_{6,2}$	0
$K_{4,3}$	0	$K_{5,3}$	0
$K_{5,1,1}$	0	$K_{4,4}$	2
$K_{4,2,1}$	0	$K_{6,1,1}$	0
$K_{3,3,1}$	1	$K_{5,2,1}$	0
$K_{3,2,2}$	0	$K_{4,3,1}$	6
$K_{4,1,1,1}$	0	$K_{4,2,2}$	2
$K_{3,2,1,1}$	1	$K_{3,3,2}$	17
$K_{2,2,2,1}$	0	$K_{5,1,1,1}$	0
$K_{3,1,1,1,1}$	3	$K_{4,2,1,1}$	6
$K_{2,2,1,1,1}$	1	$K_{3,3,1,1}$	25
$K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$	9	$K_{3,2,2,1}$	28
K_7	21	$K_{2,2,2,2}$	3
		$K_{4,1,1,1,1}$	12
		$K_{3,2,1,1,1}$	$34 \leq mnl(G) \leq 43$
		$K_{2,2,2,1,1}$	$30 \leq mnl(G) \leq 42$
		$K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}$	$53 \leq mnl(G) \leq 82$
		$K_{2,2,1,1,1,1}$	$54 \leq mnl(G) \leq 94$
		$K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}$	$111 \leq mnl(G) \leq 172$
		K_8	$217 \leq mnl(G) \leq 305$

4 crossings, which were checked manually.

NOTATION. In the remainder of this paper, unless otherwise specified, a *link* refers to a *non-trivial link*.

3. Counting links in complete graphs with 7 vertices

In this section, we will consider complete k -partite graphs on 7 vertices. By Proposition 1, we need not consider $K_{6,1}$, $K_{5,2}$, $K_{4,3}$, $K_{5,1,1}$, $K_{4,2,1}$ or $K_{4,1,1,1}$. This leaves us with 8 graphs, shown in Fig. 2.

Proposition 3. *The graphs $K_{3,2,2}$ and $K_{2,2,2,1}$ have linkless embeddings.*

Proof. The embeddings shown in Fig. 2 are linkless. \square

Proposition 4. *Every embedding of $K_{3,3,1}$ contains a non-trivial link consisting of a 3-cycle linked with a 4-cycle. Moreover, $K_{3,3,1}$ can be embedded with exactly one non-trivial link (so $mnl(K_{3,3,1}) = 1$).*

Proof. Motwani et al. [11] showed that every embedding of $K_{3,3,1}$ contained a link with odd linking number. Since every triangle (3-cycle) in $K_{3,3,1}$ must contain the vertex of degree 6, we do not have two disjoint triangles. So the link must consist of a triangle (containing the preferred vertex) and a square. The embedding in Fig. 2 contains exactly one non-trivial link. \square

The key idea in the rest our proofs in this section is to look for copies of $K_{3,3,1}$ inside our other graphs.

Proposition 5. $mnl(K_{3,2,1,1}) = 1$.

Proof. $K_{3,2,1,1}$ contains $K_{3,3,1}$ as a subgraph, so it must contain at least one non-trivial link. The embedding in Fig. 2 contains exactly one non-trivial link. \square

Proposition 6. $mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1}) = 3$.

Proof. Consider an embedding F of $K_{3,1,1,1,1}$. Label the vertices of degree six by 1, 2, 3 and 4 (and the other three vertices by 5, 6 and 7). Then F contains an embedding of $K_{3,3,1}$, using vertex 1 as the preferred vertex. By Proposition 4, F contains a link of a triangle (3-cycle) and square (4-cycle) with odd linking number, in which vertex 1 is in the triangle. At least one of the vertices 2, 3 and 4 is *not* in




Fig. 2. Complete partite graphs on 7 vertices.

the triangle—without loss of generality, say vertex 4. Then F contains a second embedding of $K_{3,3,1}$ using vertex 4 as the preferred vertex, so there is a second triangle-square link with odd linking number, this time with vertex 4 in the triangle. Hence, we have at least two distinct nontrivial triangle-square links in F .

Now, let us consider one of these triangle-square links in more detail; the one in which vertex 1 is in the triangle. If the triangle contains three of the four vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, the remaining four vertices of $K_{3,3,1}$ form a copy of $K_{3,1}$, which has no cycles. So the triangle cannot contain three of these vertices, but must contain at least two of them. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the cycles in the link are 125 and 3647. Since vertices 3 and 4 are adjacent in $K_{3,1,1,1,1}$, F contains cycles 364 and 473. We now look at these cycles homologically in the complement of the triangle 125, as elements of $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - F(125)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism is simply given by the linking number of the cycle with cycle 125. In homology, $[3647] = [364] + [473]$. Since $[3647]$ is odd, exactly one of $[364]$ and $[473]$ is also odd, so triangle 125 must link one of the triangles 364 or 473 with odd linking number. So F contains at least one nontrivial triangle-triangle link.

So F must contain at least 3 non-trivial links. But the embedding of $K_{3,1,1,1,1}$ in Fig. 2 has exactly 3 non-trivial links, so $mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1}) = 3$. \square

Proposition 7. $mnl(K_{2,2,1,1,1}) = 1$.

Proof. Since $K_{2,2,1,1,1}$ contains $K_{3,3,1}$ as a subgraph, it must contain at least one link. The embedding shown in Fig. 2 has exactly one link. \square

Lemma 1. *Let G be a graph which contains a subgraph H isomorphic to K_4 and let F be an embedding of G . If a cycle C in G disjoint from H has odd linking number with a 3-cycle in H , then it has odd linking number with 4 cycles in H . Moreover, if C has odd linking number with a 4-cycle S in H , then it has odd linking number with two 4-cycles in H .*

Proof. Consider the subgraph of F induced by H . This subgraph gives a tetrahedron immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 . Label the faces of this tetrahedron T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 . Then $[T_1] + [T_2] + [T_3] + [T_4] = 0$ in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - [C])$. An even number of these homology classes must be odd; since we are assuming at least one is odd, either 2 or 4 of them must be odd. If all 4 are odd, we're done; so say that only $[T_1]$ and $[T_2]$ are odd. Then the squares $[T_1] + [T_3]$ and $[T_1] + [T_4]$ are distinct 4-cycles with odd linking number with C . So C has odd linking number with 4 cycles in K_4 .

Moreover, if C has odd linking number with one 4-cycle, then cannot have odd linking number with all four faces, so by the argument above it will link a second 4-cycle. \square

Proposition 8. $mnl(K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}) = 9$.

Proof. Let F be an embedding of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$. We will show that F must contain at least 3 triangle-triangle links and at least 6 triangle-square links.

Label the two non-adjacent vertices of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$ by a and b , and the other vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Then removing either a or b from F leaves us with an embedding of K_6 , which contains a triangle-triangle link with odd linking number by [2]. So we get two distinct nontrivial triangle-triangle links—one link containing a but not b , the other containing b but not a . Consider the link containing a —without loss of generality, we may assume the link is between cycles $a12$ and 345 . Then the subgraph of F induced by the four vertices $b, 3, 4,$ and 5 is the embedded 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron. By Lemma 1, $a12$ links 4 cycles in the tetrahedron, including at least one additional triangle. So there is at least one more nontrivial triangle-triangle link, with a in one triangle and b in the other, which is distinct from the previous two. So F contains at least three nontrivial triangle-triangle links.

Now we will consider triangle-square links. Let M be the set of triangles which we know have odd linking number with a square (so initially, $M = \emptyset$). Since $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$ contains $K_{3,3,1}$ as a subgraph with vertex i as the preferred vertex (for $i \in S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$), it contains a triangle-square link with vertex i in the triangle, by Proposition 4. Add this triangle to the set M . Continue until all the vertices in S are contained in at least one triangle in M —at this point, M contains at least $\lceil 5/3 \rceil = 2$ triangles.

Now consider a vertex $i \in S$ which is contained in only one triangle in M , say triangle $T = ijk$. There are two cases to consider.

- (1) $(\{j, k\} \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset)$ In this case, consider a copy of $K_{3,3,1}$ in F in which the vertices are partitioned $(i)(ab*)(jk*)$. Then there is another triangle-square link, in which i is in a triangle distinct from T . So we can add this triangle to M .
- (2) $(\{j, k\} \cap \{a, b\} \neq \emptyset)$ Without loss of generality, say $j = a$. Then consider a copy of $K_{3,3,1}$ in F in which the vertices are partitioned $(i)(abk)(***)$. Again, we have another triangle-square link, in which i is in a triangle distinct from T .

So every vertex in S is contained in at least two triangles in M , which means that M contains at least $\lceil 10/3 \rceil = 4$ triangles. So F contains at least 4 triangle-square links.

The remainder of our proof consists of two cases.

- (1) (M contains a triangle T with vertex a) In this case, we will show that T links two squares. Without loss of generality, say that $T = a12$ links square $b345$ with odd linking number. The vertices $b, 3, 4, 5$ are all adjacent, so the subgraph they induce is isomorphic to K_4 . Then, by Lemma 1, T links a second square with odd linking number.
- (2) (M does not contain a triangle with vertex a) We know that a is contained in at least one triangle-triangle link, say in triangle $T = a12$. As in Lemma 1, this means $[345] + [b43] + [b54] + [b35] = 0$ in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - F(a12))$, and either two or four of the terms are odd. If two are odd, we can combine an odd and even term to get a square which links T with odd linking number. If all four are odd, then at least two are the

same sign—combining these gives a square which links T with *nonzero* even linking number. In either case, T links a square.

In both of these cases, we get a new triangle-square link with a in the triangle. Similarly, we can show there will be a new triangle-square link with b in the triangle. So we have at least 6 triangle-square links.

The embedding of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$ in Fig. 2 contains exactly 3 triangle-triangle links and 6 triangle-square links, so $mnl(K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}) = 3 + 6 = 9$. \square

Finally, we consider the complete graph $K_7 = K_{1,1,1,1,1,1,1}$.

Theorem 1. $mnl(K_7) = 21$.

Proof. Let F be an embedding of K_7 . We will show that F contains at least 21 two-component links with odd linking number. Since K_7 contains 7 distinct copies of K_6 (by ignoring each vertex in turn), it contains at least 7 different triangle-triangle links (links where both components are 3-cycles) [2].

Using an argument similar to Proposition 8, we will show that there are 7 distinct triangles which each have odd linking number with a square (4-cycle). Lemma 1 will then imply that there are at least 14 triangle-square links, completing the proof.

Let M be the set of triangles which we have shown to have odd linking number with a square (so, initially, $M = \emptyset$). If there is a vertex i in F which has not yet been used in a triangle in M , then consider a subgraph of F isomorphic to $K_{3,3,1}$ which has i as the preferred vertex (the vertex of degree 6).

By Proposition 4, there is a link in our subgraph with odd linking number, consisting a triangle through vertex i and a square. Add this triangle to M . Since i was not previously used, this triangle will not yet be an element of M .

Continue this process until every vertex has been used at least once. Since $\lceil 7/3 \rceil = 3$, M will contain at least 3 triangles. Now consider a vertex i which is used in *exactly* one triangle $T = ijk$ in M . Consider a subgraph of $K_{3,3,1}$ in F where the vertices are partitioned $(i)(jk*)(***)$, so the subgraph does not contain the edge jk , and so does not contain the triangle T . This subgraph will contain a link with odd linking number, consisting of a triangle through vertex i and a square. This triangle can be added to M , since it is not T , which was the only triangle in M containing vertex i .

We can continue this process until every vertex is used in at least 2 triangles in M . At this point, M will contain at least $\lceil 14/3 \rceil = 5$ triangles. Now suppose that vertex i is used in *exactly* two triangles, T_1 and T_2 . There are two cases, depending on whether T_1 and T_2 share an edge, or only a vertex.

(1) $T_1 = ijk$ and $T_2 = ijl$, so the two triangles share an edge. Then we consider the $K_{3,3,1}$ inside F formed using the partition $(i)(jkl)(***)$, which contains neither T_1 nor T_2 , but will contain a triangle-square link involving a third triangle T_3 through vertex i . We can add T_3 to M .

(2) $T_1 = ijk$ and $T_2 = ilm$, so the two triangles only share the vertex i . Then consider the $K_{3,3,1}$ inside F formed using the partition $(i)(jk*)(lm*)$, which contains neither T_1 nor T_2 . Then, as in the last case, we will get a new triangle T_3 containing i which we can add to M .

So, ultimately, every vertex will be used in at least 3 triangles in M , so the set will contain at least $\lceil 21/3 \rceil = 7$ triangles. So there are at least 7 distinct triangles in F which have odd linking number with a square. By Lemma 1, this gives us at least 14 different triangle-square links. In addition, there are at least 7 different triangle-triangle links, for a total of 21 distinct links.

Fig. 2 shows an embedding of K_7 which contains exactly 21 links, which shows that $mnl(K_7) = 21$. □

4. Counting links in complete graphs with 8 vertices

Now we turn to complete graphs on 8 vertices. By Proposition 1, we do not need to consider $K_{7,1}$, $K_{6,2}$, $K_{5,3}$, $K_{6,1,1}$, $K_{5,2,1}$ or $K_{5,1,1,1}$. This leaves us with 15 other graphs; these are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

The following lemma will be useful in several of our proofs.

Lemma 2. *Given any embedding F of $K_{4,4}$ and any edge e , there is a non-trivial link in F containing the edge e .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, partition the vertices of $K_{4,4}$ as (1357)(2468), and let e be the edge 78. If we contract e in F we get an embedding of $K_{3,3,1}$, which contains a link of a triangle (passing through the contracted vertex 7/8) and a square. This lifts to a link in F of two squares, with e in one of the squares, as desired. □

Proposition 9. $mnl(K_{4,4}) = 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, every edge of $K_{4,4}$ is in a link. The only cycles in $K_{4,4}$ are squares, so every link is between 2 squares, and involves 8 edges. There are a total of 16 edges in $K_{4,4}$, so for every edge to be in a link, we must have at least two different square-square links (in the minimal case, no two of these squares share an edge). The embedding shown in Fig. 3 contains exactly two links, so $mnl(K_{4,4}) = 2$. □

Proposition 10. $mnl(K_{4,3,1}) = 6$.

Proof. $K_{4,3,1}$ contains 4 different subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{3,3,1}$ (by choosing 3 of the 4 vertices in the first partition), so any embedding contains at least 4 different triangle-square links, by Proposition 4. Moreover, $K_{4,3,1}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$, so any embedding contains at least 2 different square-square links, by Proposition 9. So any embedding of $K_{4,3,1}$ contains at least 6 links, and the embedding shown in Fig. 3 has exactly 6. □




Fig. 3. Complete partite graphs on 8 vertices.





Fig. 4. More complete partite graphs on 8 vertices.

Fig. 5. The pyramid $K_{2,2,1}$.

Proposition 11. $mnl(K_{4,2,2}) = 2$.

Proof. $K_{4,2,2}$ contains $K_{4,4}$, so $mnl(K_{4,2,2}) \geq 2$, by Proposition 9. But the embedding in Fig. 3 has exactly two links, so $mnl(K_{4,2,2}) = 2$. \square

Lemma 3. Let G be a graph which contains a subgraph H isomorphic to $K_{2,2,1}$ (the 1-skeleton of a pyramid) and let F be an embedding of G . If a cycle C has odd linking number with one of the faces of the pyramid in F , then it has odd linking number with at least 6 cycles in the embedding of H in F , and links at least two pentagons (possibly one with even linking number).

Proof. Say that the vertices of H are $(13)(24)(a)$. The faces of the pyramid are the cycles $a12$, $a14$, $a23$, $a34$ and 1234 (see Fig. 5). So in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - C)$, the sum $[a12] + [a14] + [a23] + [a34] + [1234] = 0$, which means that an even number of the homology classes are odd. Since C has odd linking number with at least one face, it must have odd linking number with either 2 or 4 of the faces. There are several cases to consider.

CASE 1. C has odd linking with one triangular face and the square face (without loss of generality, $[a12]$ and $[1234]$). Then C will also have odd linking with the cycles obtained by adding each of these to each of the other faces (except $[a12]$ and $[a34]$, which are not adjacent), namely $[a123]$, $[a412]$, $[a4123]$, $[a1234]$ and $[a3412]$. So in this case C links 7 cycles.

CASE 2. C has odd linking with two adjacent triangular faces (say $[a12]$ and $[a23]$). Then C also has odd linking with $[a412]$, $[a234]$, $[a2341]$ and $[a3412]$. So C links 6 cycles.

CASE 3. C has odd linking with two non-adjacent triangular faces (say $[a12]$ and $[a34]$). Then C also has odd linking with $[a123]$, $[a412]$, $[a341]$, $[a234]$, $[a2341]$ and $[a4123]$. So C links 8 cycles.

CASE 4. C has odd linking with all four triangular faces. Then C also links the four pentagons formed by adding the base square to each of these triangles. So C links a total of 8 cycles.

CASE 5. C has odd linking with three triangular faces and the square face (say $[a12]$, $[a23]$, $[a34]$ and $[1234]$). Then C also has odd linking with $[a1234]$, $[a412]$ and $[a341]$ (the results of adding $[a14]$ to each of its adjacent faces). Also, since $[a12] + [a23] + [a34] + [1234] = 0$, and all four linking numbers are odd, the three triangles cannot *all* equal $-[1234]$. So there is another pentagon which links C with non-zero (even) linking number. So C links 8 cycles in this case.

In every case, C links at least 6 cycles in $K_{2,2,1}$, including at least two pentagons. □

Proposition 12. $mnl(K_{3,3,2}) = 17$.

Proof. First of all, observe that the embedding of $K_{3,3,2}$ in Fig. 3 has 17 links (1 triangle-triangle, 6 triangle-square, 6 triangle-pentagon and 4 square-square), so we know that $mnl(K_{3,3,2}) \leq 17$.

Let F be an embedding of $K_{3,3,2}$. Say the the vertices of $K_{3,3,2}$ are partitioned $(135)(246)(ab)$. Then there are two copies of $K_{3,3,1}$ inside $K_{3,3,2}$ —one using vertex a , and the other using vertex b . So, by Proposition 4, there are two triangle-square links in F with odd linking number, each involving one of the vertices a or b (in the triangle) and the six vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Without loss of generality, say that one of these links is between the triangle $T = a12$ and the square $S = 3456$. Johnson and Johnson [10] showed that T will also link at least one of the four pentagons $b3456$, $3b456$, $34b56$, or $345b6$. Similarly, the other triangle-square link (b in the triangle) will induce a triangle-pentagon link with a in the pentagon. Without loss of generality, assume T links the pentagon $P = b3456$.

The subgraph of $K_{3,3,2}$ induced by the vertices of P is the 1-skeleton of a pyramid in F , with faces 3456 , $b43$, $b54$, $b65$ and $b36$. By Lemma 3, T links at least 6 cycles in this pyramid. Moreover, since T links the square face, we are in either Case 1 or Case 5 of Lemma 3, so T links at least 7 cycles (including S and P). In Case 1, T links one triangle, two additional squares and two additional pentagons. In Case 5, T links three triangles, two additional squares and one additional pentagon.

So in either case we have at least 5 new links. Similarly, the triangle-square link coming from $K_{3,3,1}$ with b in the triangle also gives at least 5 new links, and these two sets of links can overlap in at most one triangle-triangle link. So there are at least $4 + 9 = 13$ links—at least 1 triangle-triangle, 6 triangle-square, 4 triangle-pentagon and 2 others (either triangle-triangle or triangle-pentagon)

In fact, F must contain at least 6 triangle-pentagon links. If the 2 undetermined links are triangle-triangle links, then there are two additional triangles involved in links. By Lemma 3, each of these must link a pentagon (in fact, two) in the complementary pyramid. So F has at least $4 + 2 = 6$ triangle-pentagon links.

Finally, we consider square-square links. Since $K_{3,3,2}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ -edge (by partitioning the vertices $(135a)(246b)$), it contains at least one square-square link, with a and b in different squares. Then we can get a second

subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ -edge by grouping a with 2, 4, 6 and b with 1, 3, 5, giving a different square-square link. So F contains at least 2 square-square links.

So far, we know that F contains at least 1 triangle-triangle link, at least 6 triangle-square links, at least 6 triangle-pentagon links and at least 2 square-square links, for a total of 15 links.

Once again, let's consider the link of $T = a12$ with $S = 3456$. Does 3456 link $a1b2$ as well? Since $[a1b2] = [a12] + [b21]$ in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - S)$, if $[a1b2] = 0$, then $[b21] = -[a12] \neq 0$. By Lemma 3, $b21$ will also link a triangle. Then $a12$ and $b21$ are *each* involved in a triangle-triangle link, and since they can't link each other, this forces F to have at least two triangle-triangle links. And, as above, looking at the other triangle in each of these triangle-triangle links will force two new triangle-pentagon links. This adds at least three links to the 15 we have, for a total of 18, larger than the known minimum.

So, in a minimal case, 3456 must link $a1b2$. Similarly, the triangle-square link with b in the triangle (which now cannot be $b12$ with 3456) will give another new square-square link with a and b in the same square. So a minimal diagram must have at least 17 links, completing the proof. \square

Proposition 13. $mnl(K_{4,2,1,1}) = 6$.

Proof. Since $K_{4,2,1,1}$ contains $K_{4,3,1}$, it contains at least 6 non-trivial links by Proposition 10. The embedding in Fig. 3 has exactly 6 non-trivial links. \square

Proposition 14. $mnl(K_{3,3,1,1}) = 25$.

Proof. We first observe that the diagram of $K_{3,3,1,1}$ in Fig. 3 has 25 links (1 triangle-triangle, 10 triangle-square, 6 triangle-pentagon and 8 square-square), so $mnl(K_{3,3,1,1}) \leq 25$.

We will partition the vertices of $K_{3,3,1,1}$ as $(135)(246)(a)(b)$. Then, by Proposition 12, there are at least 17 links, none of which involve the edge ab .

As in the proof of Proposition 12, we assume without loss of generality that we have a link between triangle $a12$ and square 3456. We observed in the proof of Proposition 12 that a minimal diagram for $K_{3,3,2}$ must contain a square-square link between cycles $a1b2$ and 3456. We will show that if this does *not* occur in our diagram of $K_{3,3,1,1}$, then there must be more than 25 links, so the diagram is not minimal.

Assume that we do *not* have a link between squares $a1b2$ and 3456; at this point, the embedding of $K_{3,3,2}$ has at least 15 links. Then, as in Proposition 12, we have a link between cycles $b12$ and 3456, and each of $a12$ and $b12$ must be involved in a (now distinct) triangle-triangle link with new triangles, so there are at least 16 links. Say that $a12$ is linked with bxy . If we look at the "complementary pyramid" to bxy , Lemma 3 shows that triangle bxy must link at least 5 other cycles in addition to $a12$. This is also true for the triangle awz linked with $b12$; this adds 10 new links, for a

total of 26 in the embedded $K_{3,3,2}$. But this is larger than the known minimum for $K_{3,3,1,1}$; so this case can be ignored.

So we may now assume we *do* have a link between squares $a1b2$ and 3456. In $K_{3,3,1,1}$, where we have the edge ab , this means that *either* $ab1$ or $ab2$ (but not both) have odd linking number with 3456, bringing our total number of links to 18.

Also, we must *not* have a link between $b12$ and 3456 (since 3456 already links $a12$), so the triangle-square link in $K_{3,3,2}$ which is induced by $K_{3,3,1}$ as in Proposition 12 involves some other triangle bxy and a new square $S \neq 3456$. If either x or y are the vertices 1 or 2, then bxy and $a12$ cannot be linked, meaning that they give rise to distinct triangle-triangle links with two other triangles. As before (when $a1b2$ and 3456 were not linked), this will lead to more than 25 links in our diagram. So, without loss of generality, we can assume that our other triangle square link is between $b34$ and 1256, and that $b34$ and $a12$ are linked.

By the same argument as before, this gives a square-square link of square $a3b4$ with 1256, and hence a new triangle-square link with either $ab3$ or $ab4$ linking 1256. So our total number of links is now 19.


Without loss of generality, say that $ab1$ has odd linking with 3456. Notice that in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - ab1)$, $[3456] = [3452] + [3256]$, so $ab1$ must also have odd linking with either 3452 or 3256, giving us a new triangle-square link. Similarly, we will get another triangle-square link involving $ab3$ or $ab4$. This gives us a total of 21 links.

So we have at least 4 links where a 3-cycle abx is linked with a 4-cycle S ; call the remaining vertex y . Then a, b, x and y form a tetrahedron; by Lemma 1, S links 4 cycles in this tetrahedron. In each case, one of these cycles gives a link we have not previously counted (we leave the details to the reader). This leaves us with at least 4 new links, for a grand total of 25. So $mnl(K_{3,3,1,1}) = 25$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let G be a graph which contains $K_{2,1,1,1}$ as a subgraph and let F be an embedding of G . If a cycle C has odd linking number with a triangle of $K_{2,1,1,1}$ in F , then it has odd linking number with at least 8 cycles in F .*

Proof. Notice that $K_{2,1,1,1}$ is the 1-skeleton of two tetrahedra joined along one face. This gives a two-cycle trivial in $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - C)$, so the sum of the faces is homologically trivial. This is shown in Fig. 6 (here a and d are the vertices of degree 3). This 2-cycle has six triangular faces: abc, ace, aeb, dcb, dbe and dec . Homologically, we have that $[abc] + [ace] + [aeb] + [dcb] + [dbe] + [dec] = 0$. Since C links at least one of these faces with odd linking number, it must link 2, 4 or 6 of the faces with odd linking number.

There are 9 squares in $K_{2,1,1,1}$, each formed by joining two adjacent faces. Three of these contain a but not d : $[abce] = [abc] + [ace]$, $[aceb] = [ace] + [aeb]$ and $[aebc] = [aeb] + [abc]$. Three contain d but not a : $[dbce] = [dbc] + [dce]$, $[dceb] = [dce] + [deb]$ and $[debc] = [deb] + [dbc]$. Finally, three contain both a and d : $[abdc] = [abc] + [bdc]$, $[acde] = [ace] + [cde]$ and $[aedb] = [aeb] + [edb]$.

Fig. 6. $K_{2,1,1,1}$.

There are also 6 pentagons in $K_{2,1,1,1}$, each formed by joining three triangular faces:

$$[abcde] = [abc] + [ace] + [dec] = [abe] + [dbc] + [deb],$$

$$[abdce] = [abc] + [dcb] + [ace] = [dce] + [abe] + [deb],$$

$$[abdec] = [abe] + [deb] + [aec] = [abc] + [dec] + [dcb],$$

$$[abedc] = [abe] + [dce] + [aec] = [abc] + [dbe] + [dcb],$$

$$[acbde] = [acb] + [abe] + [deb] = [ace] + [dec] + [dcb],$$

$$[acdbe] = [acb] + [abe] + [dbc] = [ace] + [dec] + [dbe].$$

There are several cases to consider:

- (1) (C links 2 faces sharing a 3-valent vertex) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$ and $[ace]$ are odd; then C has odd linking with 4 squares and 4 pentagons, for a total of 10 links.
- (2) (C links 2 faces *not* sharing a 3-valent vertex, but sharing an edge) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$ and $[bcd]$ are odd; then C has odd linking with 4 squares and 2 pentagons, for a total of 8 links.
- (3) (C links 2 faces not sharing an edge) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$ and $[dbe]$ are odd; then C has odd linking with 6 squares and 4 pentagons, for a total of 12 links.
- (4) (C links 4 faces, with three sharing a 3-valent vertex) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$, $[ace]$, $[abe]$ and $[dec]$ are odd; then C has odd linking with 4 squares and 2 pentagons, for a total of 10 links.
- (5) (C links 4 faces, all sharing a 4-valent vertex) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$, $[ace]$, $[bcd]$ and $[dec]$ are odd (the four faces sharing vertex c); then C has odd linking with 4 squares and 4 pentagons, for a total of 12 links.
- (6) (C links 4 faces, with two sharing vertex a , two sharing vertex d , and not all sharing a 4-valent vertex) Without loss of generality, assume that $[abc]$, $[ace]$, $[dcb]$

and $[dec]$ are odd; then C has odd linking with 6 squares and 2 pentagons, for a total of 12 links.

(7) (C links 6 faces) In this case, C has odd linking with all the 3-cycles, none of the 4-cycles, and all of the 5-cycles, for a total of 12 links.

So C links at least 8 cycles in $K_{2,2,2,1}$. □

Proposition 15. $mnl(K_{3,2,2,1}) = 28$.

Proof. The diagram for $K_{3,2,2,1}$ shown in Fig. 3 has 28 links (2 triangle-triangle, 10 triangle-square, 10 triangle-pentagon and 6 square-square), so we know that $mnl(K_{3,2,2,1}) \leq 28$.

Assume the vertices of $K_{3,2,2,1}$ are partitioned (123)(45)(67)(8). First, let's consider the square-square links. There are several subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ – edge, which always contains at least one square-square link [11]. First, partition the vertices (1234)(5678) to get a square-square link (where 5 is adjacent to 1, 2 or 3), and then repartition them (1235)(4678) to get another (where 5 is not adjacent to 1, 2 or 3). In both of these, 6 and 7 are each adjacent to at least one of vertices 1, 2, or 3. So we can partition the vertices (1236)(4578) and (1237)(4568) to get two new square-square links. In all of the links we have found so far, vertex 8 was adjacent to at least one of 1, 2, or 3 in one of the squares. If we consider the partition (1238)(4567) we have a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ in which 8 is not adjacent to 1, 2, or 3. By Proposition 9 this subgraph contains two new square-square links. So we have a total of at least 6 square-square links in any embedding of $K_{3,2,2,1}$.

Now we observe that $K_{3,2,2,1}$ contains two subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{3,3,2}$ —one obtained by partitioning the vertices (123)(458)(67), and the other by partitioning the vertices (123)(678)(45). Each of these subgraphs contains at least 13 triangle-triangle, triangle-square and triangle-pentagon links (involving two different triangles) by the proof of Proposition 12; the question is the extent to which these overlap.

We know that the copy of $K_{3,3,2}$ determined by the partition (123)(458)(67) contains two different triangles involved in links (and possibly others); denote these T_1 and T_2 (vertex 6 is in T_1 , vertex 7 is in T_2). We first consider the case that neither T_1 nor T_2 contain vertex 8 (the unique 7-valent vertex in $K_{3,2,2,1}$). Without loss of generality, say that $T_1 = 146$ and $T_2 = 257$, so T_1 links the square $S_1 = 2538$ and T_2 links the square $S_2 = 1438$. But since our graph contains edges 58 and 48, this means that T_1 must link either 258 or 358, and T_2 must link either 148 or 348. Call the new triangles T_3 and T_4 . Each of these triangles has a complementary pyramid in $K_{3,2,2,1}$ —since each links one face, they must each link at least 5 additional cycles in their respective pyramids (by Lemma 3), giving at least 10 new links. This means we have at least $6 + 13 + 10 = 29$ links, which is larger than the known minimum of 28.

So either T_1 or T_2 must contain vertex 8. Without loss of generality, say $T_1 = 146$ and $T_2 = 287$. But then T_2 is not a cycle in the copy of $K_{3,3,2}$ determined by the partition (123)(678)(45) (although T_1 is). So this graph must contain another triangle

T_3 passing through vertex 8 (but *not* vertex 7) which is involved in links, by the same argument as before. By the argument in the proof of Proposition 12, T_2 and T_3 are each contained in one triangle-triangle link (which must be different, since T_2 and T_3 are not disjoint cycles), 3 triangle-square links and 3 triangle-pentagon links. So we have a total of $6 + 7 + 7 = 20$ links.

It remains to count the links involving triangle T_1 . The subgraph induced by the other vertices is isomorphic to $K_{2,1,1,1}$ (i.e. K_5 – edge). By Lemma 4, T_1 links at least 8 cycles in this subgraph. However, to avoid introducing new triangles (which would force more than 28 links), it will link the faces T_2 and T_3 , which do *not* share any of the edges 78, 58 or 57; so if we look at the cases in the proof of Lemma 4, we see that T_1 must link at least 10 cycles. At most two of these are the triangle-triangle links we have already counted, leaving us with 8 new links. This brings our total to 28. Since we have an example with exactly 28 links, we know that $mnl(K_{3,2,2,1}) = 28$. \square

Proposition 16. $mnl(K_{2,2,2,2}) = 3$.

Proof. Say the vertices of $K_{2,2,2,2}$ are partitioned (12)(34)(56)(78). Then there is a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ by grouping the vertices (1234)(5678); by Proposition 9, this subgraph has at least 2 square-square links. Without loss of generality, say that one of these links uses edge 15; then we can get a different subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$ by grouping vertices (1256)(3478), which does not contain the edge 15. This subgraph *also* has at least two square-square links, and at least one of these must be new. So $K_{2,2,2,2}$ must have at least 3 square-square links. The embedding in Fig. 4 has exactly 3 square-square links, so $mnl(K_{2,2,2,2}) = 3$. \square

Proposition 17. $mnl(K_{4,1,1,1,1}) = 12$.

Proof. Say that the vertices of $K_{4,1,1,1,1}$ are partitioned (1234)(5)(6)(7)(8). Then, by grouping the vertices (1234)(5678), we have a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$; so $K_{4,1,1,1,1}$ has at least 2 square-square links by Proposition 9.

There are also several subgraphs isomorphic to $K_{3,3,1}$. For example, if we remove vertex 4, we can group the remaining vertices (123)(567)(8); by Proposition 4, this subgraph will have a triangle-square link. Without loss of generality, say this link is between cycles 158 and 2637. But then we can also look at the subgraph induced by the same seven vertices using the partition (123)(568)(7). This subgraph does not contain the edge 58, so it gives us a second triangle-square link, with a new triangle. Similarly, we get two new triangle-square links by removing each of vertices 1, 2 and 3, so we have a total of at least 8 triangle-square links.

Finally, consider once again the link between cycles 158 and 2637. In $K_{4,1,1,1,1}$, we also have the edge 67, so, by our usual homology argument, 158 must link either triangle 267 or 367. Moreover, if we now look in the subgraph induced by (234)(567)(8),

we get another triangle-triangle link which does not involve vertex 1. So we have at least 2 triangle-triangle links.

So an embedding of $K_{4,1,1,1,1}$ must contain at least $2 + 8 + 2 = 12$ links. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has exactly 12 links (2 triangle-triangle, 8 triangle-square and 2 square-square), so $mnl(K_{4,1,1,1,1}) = 12$. \square

Proposition 18. $34 \leq mnl(K_{3,2,1,1,1}) \leq 43$.

Proof. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has exactly 43 links (3 triangle-triangle links, 16 triangle-square links, 10 square-square links and 14 triangle-pentagon links), so $mnl(K_{3,2,1,1,1}) \leq 43$.

The graph $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ has $K_{3,2,2,1}$ as a subgraph, and hence every embedding contains at least 28 non-split links, by Proposition 15 (2 triangle-triangle, 10 triangle-square, 10 triangle-pentagon and 6 square-square). Suppose $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ is partitioned (123)(45)(6)(7)(8), and the subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,2,2,1}$ is (123)(45)(67)(8). Then any link using edge 67 will be new. We can find a subgraph of the form $K_{4,4}$ by partitioning (1236)(4578), and by Lemma 2, there is a square-square link using edge 67, in which 7 is adjacent to 1, 2 or 3. Partitioning (1237)(4568) we find another $K_{4,4}$ containing edge 67, but in the square-square link that uses this edge, 7 is not adjacent to 1, 2 or 3. Thus, we have found two new square-square links that appear in every embedding of $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ in addition to those arising from $K_{3,2,2,1}$, so the lower bound for $mnl(K_{3,2,1,1,1})$ is at least 30. Since $K_{3,2,2,1}$ has at least 6 square-square links, $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ has at least 8 such links.

Now we consider subgraphs of $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ isomorphic to $K_{3,3,2}$. There are four such subgraphs, induced by the partitions (123)(456)(78), (123)(457)(68), (123)(458)(67) and (123)(678)(45). Each of these subgraphs contains a pair of linked triangles, by Proposition 12. However, there is no triangle which appears in all 4 subgraphs, so these links require at least 4 distinct triangles in $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ (3 triangles would require one of the triangles to appear in all four subgraphs, since there is no set of three mutually disjoint triangles among the four subgraphs). By Proposition 12, each of these triangles is involved in at least 7 links, at least three of which are triangle-square links. So $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ has at least 12 triangle-square links. Moreover, each of these triangles either links 3 pentagons, or one pentagon and a new triangle which itself links a pentagon, inducing an additional $3 \cdot 4 = 12$ links. Together with the eight square-square links, this means that an embedding of $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ has at least $2 + 12 + 12 + 8 = 34$ links. \square

Proposition 19. $30 \leq mnl(K_{2,2,2,1,1}) \leq 42$.

Proof. Say that the vertices of $K_{2,2,2,1,1}$ are partitioned (12)(34)(56)(7)(8); then there is a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,2,2,1}$ obtained from the partition (127)(34)(56)(8). By Proposition 15, we will have at least 28 links in $K_{2,2,2,1,1}$, none of which involve edges 17 or 27.

There is also a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$, using the partition (1234)(5678). By Lemma 2, there are square-square links involving edges 17 and 27. If these are different, we have two new links. If these are the same link, then there is a square-square link between square $172x$ and another square S , where $x \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$. Since vertices 7 and x are adjacent in $K_{2,2,2,1,1}$, S will also link either $17x$ or $27x$, and neither of these triangles are in $K_{3,2,2,1}$. So, once again, we have at least two links which were not in $K_{3,2,2,1}$.

This gives a lower bound of 30 links in $K_{2,2,2,1,1}$. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has 42 links (3 triangle-triangle, 15 triangle-square, 15 triangle-pentagon and 9 square-square). \square

Proposition 20. $53 \leq mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}) \leq 82$.

Proof. Partition the vertices of $K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}$ as (123)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8). There are three subgraphs of the form $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$, obtained by deleting one of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Each of these subgraphs contains at least 3 triangle-triangle links. If such a link is contained in two of these subgraphs, then it cannot be contained in the third. Thus we have at least $\lceil 9/2 \rceil = 5$ triangle-triangle links.

To count triangle-square links, we delete vertices one at a time. Deleting one of vertices 1 through 3 leaves a copy of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$, which contains at least 6 triangle-square links. Since there are three such graphs, this give 18 triangle-square links. Deleting one of vertices 4 through 8 leaves a copy of $K_{3,1,1,1,1}$ which contains at least 2 distinct triangle-square links, for a total of 10. Thus, every embedding of $K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}$ contains at least $10+18 = 28$ triangle-square links.

There are 5 distinct ways to form a $K_{4,4}$ subgraph of $K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}$, these are of the form (123i)(****). Each copy of $K_{4,4}$ contains at least two square-square links. Notice that as vertices 1 through 3 must be in the same partition, each of these square-square links is contained in only one of the $K_{4,4}$ subgraphs above, so we have 10 distinct square-square links.

We now examine subgraphs of the form $K_{3,3,2}$. We may choose to partition the vertices such that any vertex from $\{4 \dots 8\}$ is in the partition of size 2. Thus, these vertices are contained in at least one triangle that is used in a triangle-pentagon link, by Proposition 12. Suppose it is ijk . Then partition the vertices $(ij)(***)(123)$. This contains a new triangle-pentagon link, with i used in the triangle. So vertices 4 through 8 are used in at least two such triangles. However, one vertex of each triangle is taken from the partition (123). Thus there are $10/2 = 5$ such triangles involved in triangle-pentagon links. Since one of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is used in the triangle, the vertices of the pentagon form a $K_5 \setminus e$. Thus each of the triangles links at least 2 pentagons (by Lemma 4), for a total of 10 distinct triangle-pentagon links.

This gives a lower bound of $5+28+10+10 = 53$ links. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has 82 links (10 triangle-triangle, 34 triangle-square, 24 triangle-pentagon and 14 square-square), so $53 \leq mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}) \leq 82$. \square

Lemma 5. *Let G be a graph which contains K_5 as a subgraph, let F be an embedding of G , and let P be a 5-cycle in this K_5 . If a cycle C has odd linking number with P in F , then it has odd linking number with at least three 5-cycles in F .*

Proof. Notice that $K_5 - \text{edge} = K_{2,1,1,1}$. Say that $P = abcde$. Consider the $K_{2,1,1,1}$ formed by removing edge ad from the K_5 induced by the vertices of P , as in Fig. 6. This gives the homology element $[P] = [abcde] = [abc] + [ace] + [dec] = [abe] + [dbc] + [deb]$. Since $[P]$ is odd, so are an odd number of each set of three triangles, so C links at least one of the faces.

By Lemma 4, this means C links at least 8 cycles in $K_{2,1,1,1}$, including at least one other pentagon. This pentagon shares at most 3 edges with P , and so has at least two edges which P does not. We can form a new $K_{2,1,1,1}$ by restoring edge ad and removing one of these two edges. C will then link another pentagon on the boundary of this new 2-cycle, distinct from the other two. So C links at least 3 pentagons. \square

Proposition 21. $54 \leq mnl(K_{2,2,1,1,1,1}) \leq 94$.

Proof. Say the vertices are partitioned (12)(34)(5)(6)(7)(8). An embedding of $K_{2,2,1,1,1,1}$ contains 4 distinct copies of K_6 . Thus, the embedding contains at least 4 triangle-triangle links.

Eliminating one of the vertices 1 through 4 leaves a subgraph of the form $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$. Each of these contains 6 distinct triangle-square links by Proposition 8. Eliminating one of vertices 5 through 8 leaves a graph of the form $K_{2,2,1,1,1}$, each of which contains at least one triangle-square link by Proposition 7. This gives a minimum of 28 distinct square-triangle links in any embedding of $K_{2,2,1,1,1,1}$.

By deleting the edges between vertex 8 and vertices 1 and 2, we have $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ as a subgraph of $K_{2,2,1,1,1,1}$. There are at least 8 square-square links in every embedding of $K_{3,2,1,1,1}$ by Proposition 18. In addition, any square-square links that use edges 18 or 28 will be distinct from these. Form a $K_{4,4}$ by the partition (1234)(5678). There is a square-square link in this graph that uses edge 18. If it does not also use edge 28, we have two new square-square links. So, suppose that this link does use edge 28. Then without loss of generality, the link is 1825-3647. Form the partition (1256)(3478). This copy of $K_{4,4}$ contains edge 18 but does not contain the square 1825, so there must be some other square-square link using this edge. This also gives two new square-square links for a total of at least 10 in every embedding.

We count triangle-pentagon links by studying subgraphs of the form $K_{3,3,2}$. Any vertex can be placed in the partition of size 2, so every vertex is contained in a triangle that is part of such a link. Suppose vertex 1 is contained in triangle $1jk$. Then form the subgraph (12)($jk*$)($***$) to get a second triangle-pentagon link. A similar argument can be made for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, thus each of these vertices is contained in at least 2 distinct triangles, while vertices 5, 6, 7 and 8 are each contained in at least one. This gives a total of $8 + 4 = 12$ triangles (not all distinct). So, we have

at least $\lceil 12/3 \rceil = 4$ distinct triangles used in triangle-pentagon links. If there are only four triangles, then each triangle T contains one vertex from (12) and one from (34). Thus, the complement of these triangles is K_5 , so each T links at least 3 pentagons by Lemma 5. If some triangle contains only one of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then the complement of that triangle is $K_5 \setminus e$, so it links with only 2 pentagons, but then, as each of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is contained in two triangles, there is at least one more triangle-pentagon link. In either case, we have at least 12 triangle-pentagon links.

This gives a lower bound of $4 + 28 + 10 + 12 = 54$ links. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has 94 links (8 triangle-triangle, 34 triangle-square, 34 triangle-pentagon and 18 square-square), so $54 \leq mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}) \leq 94$. \square

Proposition 22. $111 \leq mnl(K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}) \leq 172$.

Proof. Say the vertices of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}$ are partitioned (12)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8). Given an embedding of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}$, vertices 1 through 7 form a copy of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$, which contains 3 triangle-triangle links by Proposition 8. Clearly, these triangles use vertices 1 through 7. There are 10 K_6 subgraphs that contain vertex 8, formed by omitting one of $\{1, 2\}$ and one of $\{3 \dots 7\}$, and one formed by vertices 3 through 8. Each of these contains a triangle-triangle link using vertex 8, so there are at least $3 + 11 = 14$ triangle-triangle links.

Omitting vertices one at time, we obtain two distinct copies of K_7 , and six copies of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 8, each K_7 contains 14 distinct triangle-square links, and $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1}$ contains 6 such links. Thus the embedding of $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}$ has at least 64 triangle-square links.

To find subgraphs of the form $K_{4,4}$, we will choose two of $\{3 \dots 8\}$ and group them with (12). This gives $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ copies of $K_{4,4}$. Each copy of $K_{4,4}$ contains two square-square links, but once again, each such link could be contained in two distinct $K_{4,4}$'s. Thus, we have at least 15 distinct square-square links.

Look at graphs of the form $K_{3,3,2}$. We may choose partitions so that every vertex is in the partition of size two for some subgraph. Thus every vertex is in a triangle that is used in a triangle-pentagon link. Suppose vertex i is in such a triangle, and that triangle is ijk . Then we can look at the partition $(i*)(jk*)(***)$ to get a second triangle-pentagon link with i in the triangle. If $i = 1, 2$, then we can get a third triangle as follows. If the first two triangles containing vertex 1 are of the form $1jk$ and $1kl$, form $(12)(jkl)(***)$. If they are of the form $1jk$ and $1lm$ form $(12)(jk*)(lm*)$. Thus, vertices 1 and 2 must be contained in at least three distinct triangles that are used in triangle-pentagon links, and these triangles contain only 1 or only 2. Now we have $18/3 = 6$ distinct triangles used in triangle-pentagon links, and furthermore each of them must contain either vertex 1 or vertex 2. Thus, the complement of one of these triangles in $K_{2,1,1,1,1,1,1}$ is K_5 , so by Lemma 5, each triangle links at least 3 pentagons. This gives a total of 18 triangle-pentagon links.

This gives us a lower bound of $14+64+15+18 = 111$ links. The embedding shown in Fig. 4 has 172 links (16 triangle-triangle, 64 triangle-square, 60 triangle-pentagon and 32 square-square), so $111 \leq mnl(K_{3,1,1,1,1,1}) \leq 172$. \square

Theorem 2. $217 \leq mnl(K_8) \leq 305$.

Proof. K_8 contains $\binom{8}{6} = 28$ different copies of K_6 , so at least 28 different triangle-triangle links. It also contains $\binom{8}{7} = 8$ different copies of K_7 , so at least $8 \cdot 14 = 112$ different triangle-square links (by Theorem 1).

To find square-square links, we look at copies of $K_{4,4}$ in K_8 . There are $(1/2)\binom{8}{4} = 35$ ways to partition the 8 vertices of K_8 into two sets of 4, so there are 35 distinct copies of $K_{4,4}$ in K_8 . Each copy of $K_{4,4}$ will contribute at least two square-square links to an embedding of K_8 , by Proposition 9. However, each link will be contained in two different copies of $K_{4,4}$ —for example, a link between squares 1234 and 5678 would be in the $K_{4,4}$'s arising from the partition $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ and from the partition $\{1, 3, 6, 8\}\{2, 4, 5, 7\}$. So there will be at least 35 different square-square links in K_8 .

To count triangle-pentagon links, we look at copies of $K_{3,3,2}$ inside K_8 . By Proposition 12, an embedding of $K_{3,3,2}$, where a and b are the vertices of degree 6, contains at least one triangle-pentagon link with a in the triangle and b in the pentagon, and another with b in the triangle and a in the pentagon. Let M be the set of triangles we know are involved in triangle-pentagon links (so initially $M = \emptyset$). Let i be a vertex which does not appear in a triangle of M . Then we can choose a subgraph of K_8 isomorphic to $K_{3,3,2}$ in which i has degree 6, so there is a triangle containing i which is part of a triangle-pentagon link. Continuing until every vertex is used, we get at least $\lceil 8/3 \rceil = 3$ triangles.

These triangles have a total of 9 vertices, so some vertices are used only once. Say vertex i is only in triangle ijk . Then we can choose a partition $(i*)(jk*)(***)$ of the vertices of K_8 to get another copy of $K_{3,3,2}$ which does not contain the edge jk . So i will be in a different triangle in another triangle-pentagon link, and we can add this new triangle to M . We can continue in this way until every vertex is used at least twice, giving at least $\lceil 16/3 \rceil = 6$ triangles.

These triangles have a total of 18 vertices, so some vertices are used only twice. Say that i is in triangles $T_1 = ijk$ and $T_2 = ilm$. There are two cases, depending on whether T_1 and T_2 share an edge, or only a vertex.

(1) $T_1 = ijk$ and $T_2 = ijl$, so the two triangles share an edge. Then we consider the $K_{3,3,2}$ inside K_8 formed using the partition $(i*)(jkl)(***)$, which contains neither T_1 nor T_2 , but will contain a triangle-pentagon link involving a third triangle T_3 through vertex i . We can add T_3 to M .

(2) $T_1 = ijk$ and $T_2 = ilm$, so the two triangles only share the vertex i . Then consider the $K_{3,3,2}$ inside K_8 formed using the partition $(i*)(jk*)(lm*)$, which contains neither

T_1 nor T_2 . Then, as in the last case, we will get a new triangle T_3 containing i which we can add to M .

Continuing until every vertex is used three times, we get at least $\lceil 24/3 \rceil = 8$ triangles in M .

These triangles have a total of 24 vertices, so some vertices are used only three times. Say vertex i is in triangles $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ilm$ and $T_3 = ino$. We have several cases.

(1) $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ijm$ and $T_3 = ijo$, so all three triangles share an edge ij . Then use the partition $(ij)(***)(***)$ to form a new $K_{3,3,2}$. In this subgraph, there will be a triangle-pentagon link where i is in the triangle and j is in the pentagon, so the link does not contain edge ij . This gives us a new triangle for M .

(2) $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ijm$ and $T_3 = imk$, so each pair of triangles shares an edge. Then use the partition $(i*)(jmk)(***)$.

(3) $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ijm$ and $T_3 = imo$, so two pairs of triangles share an edge. Then use the partition $(ij)(mok)(***)$.

(4) $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ijm$ and $T_3 = ino$, so just one pair of triangles share an edge. Then use the partition $(ij)(mk*)(no*)$.

(5) $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ilm$ and $T_3 = ino$, so no triangles share an edge. Then use the partition $(ij)(lm*)(no*)$.

So we can continue until every vertex is used 4 times, yielding at least $\lceil 32/3 \rceil = 11$ triangles in M .

These triangles have a total of 33 vertices, so some vertices are used only 4 times. Say vertex i is in triangles $T_1 = ijk$, $T_2 = ilm$, $T_3 = ino$ and $T_4 = ipq$. The vertices $i, j, k, l, m, n, o, p, q$ cannot all be distinct; the “worst case” is when only two are the same, say j and l . In this case, use the partition $(ij)(no*)(pq*)$ to get a new triangle with vertex i . Any other case can be dealt with more easily. So we can continue until every vertex is used 5 times, yielding at least $\lceil 40/3 \rceil = 14$ triangles in M .

Now we need to determine how many pentagons each of these triangles must link. Consider a triangle-pentagon link with triangle T and pentagon P . The vertices of P induce a subgraph of K_8 isomorphic to K_5 ; so by Lemma 5 T links at least 3 pentagons (including P). So there are at least $14 \cdot 3 = 42$ triangle-pentagon links.

Adding this up, we have at least $28 + 112 + 35 + 42 = 217$ links in K_8 . The example shown in Fig. 4 (motivated by a minimal crossing diagram found by Guy [9]) has 305 links (28 triangle-triangle, 112 triangle-square, 56 square-square and 109 triangle-pentagon). So $217 \leq mnl(K_8) \leq 305$. \square

5. Counting links in complete bipartite graphs

In this section we will consider complete *bipartite* graphs. In this case, there is a relatively natural spatial embedding of the graph which we conjecture gives the minimal number of non-trivial links. We prove this result for the graphs $K_{4,n}$.




Fig. 7. Fan embedding of $K_{4,4}$.

We will call this the *fan* embedding. As an example, the fan embedding of $K_{4,4}$ is shown in Fig. 7.

For a general complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$, we can describe the embedding by describing its projection in the plane, as follows. Denote the two sets of independent vertices by a_1, \dots, a_m and b_1, \dots, b_n . Place the vertex a_i along the x -axis at $(i, 0)$ and the vertex b_j along the y -axis at $(0, j)$. Draw the line segments $\overline{a_i b_j}$. Consider two segments $\overline{a_i b_j}$ and $\overline{a_k b_l}$, where $k > i$. Then these segments cross if and only if $j < l$, and in this case $\overline{a_i b_j}$ crosses *over* $\overline{a_k b_l}$.

Theorem 3. $mnl(K_{4,n}) = 2\binom{n}{4}$, and the minimum is realized by the fan embedding.

Proof. Label the two sets of independent vertices in $K_{4,n}$ by a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and b_1, \dots, b_n . The four vertices a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 together with any subset $b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, b_{j_3}, b_{j_4}$ of the b_j 's induce a subgraph of $K_{4,n}$ isomorphic to $K_{4,4}$. By Proposition 9, this subgraph contains at least 2 square-square links. Since each of these links use all 8 vertices in the subgraph, a different subgraph will give different links. There are $\binom{n}{4}$ ways to choose the vertices $b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, b_{j_3}, b_{j_4}$, so $K_{4,n}$ must contain at least $2\binom{n}{4}$ links.

However, in the fan embedding of $K_{4,n}$, the embedding of any such subgraph is isotopic to the fan embedding of $K_{4,4}$ in Fig. 7, which is isotopic to the embedding of $K_{4,4}$ in Fig. 3, and hence has exactly 2 links. Moreover, any link in $K_{4,n}$ must involve all the a_i 's and four of the b_j 's, and so is contained in one of these subgraphs. Therefore, the fan embedding has exactly $2\binom{n}{4}$ links. So $mnl(K_{4,n}) = 2\binom{n}{4}$, realized by the fan embedding. □

This result inspires the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *The fan embedding of $K_{m,n}$ realizes $mnl(K_{m,n})$.*

However, it is not so easy even to compute the number of non-trivial links in the fan embedding. It clearly suffices to consider the case of $K_{n,n}$, since any link in $K_{m,n}$, $m > n$, is contained in a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{n,n}$.

QUESTION 1. How many non-trivial links are in the fan embedding of $K_{n,n}$?

We know that there are two non-trivial links in the fan embedding of $K_{4,4}$, and a computer calculation shows that there are 150 non-trivial links in the fan embedding of $K_{5,5}$ (50 square-square links and 100 square-hexagon links). The number of non-trivial links is increasing very rapidly, so computer calculations quickly become infeasible.

6. Minimal book embeddings

We define an n -book B_n as the subset of \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of a line L (the spine) and n distinct half-planes S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n (the pages) with L as their common boundary.

DEFINITION 2. Let G be a graph. An n -book embedding of G is a tame embedding $f: G \rightarrow B_n \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that:

- (1) $f(V(G)) \subset L$.
- (2) For each edge $e \in E(G)$, there is exactly one sheet S_i such that $f(e) \subset S_i$.

A *minimal book embedding* of a graph G is a book embedding which minimizes the the number of pages; the *pagenumber* of G is the number of pages in a minimal book embedding. Book embeddings, and particularly minimal book embeddings, minimize the entanglement among the edges of the graph (for example, a book embedding of a graph cannot contain any local knots along the edges). So it is reasonable to think that minimal book embeddings will also minimize the linking or knotting in an embedding.

Otsuki [14] gave the first results along these lines. Since Conway and Gordon [2] showed that every embedding of K_6 contains a pair of linked triangles, and every embedding of K_7 contains a knotted 7-cycle, it is immediate that every embedding of K_n contains at least $\binom{n}{6}$ pairs of linked triangles, and $\binom{n}{7}$ knotted 7-cycles. Otsuki constructed a particular minimal book embedding of K_n called the *canonical book representation*, which has the property that removing any vertex gives a canonical book representation of K_{n-1} . He used this property to show that the canonical book representation of K_n contained *exactly* $\binom{n}{6}$ pairs of linked triangles and $\binom{n}{7}$ knotted 7-cycles, attaining the minimum possible.

In fact, the embedding of K_7 shown in Fig. 2 is a canonical book representation of K_7 ([14], Lemma 3.1). So we can use Theorem 1 to extend Otsuki's result:




Fig. 8. Canonical book representation for K_8 .

Corollary 1. *A canonical book representation of K_n contains exactly $14\binom{n}{7}$ triangle-square links, attaining the minimum possible.*

Proof. Every K_7 -subgraph of a canonical book representation of K_n is a canonical book representation of K_7 , and is therefore ambient isotopic to the embedding of K_7 shown in Fig. 2 (by [14], Theorem 1.2). Therefore, each such subgraph contains 14 triangle-square links, and the embedding of K_n contains $14\binom{n}{7}$ triangle-square links. By Theorem 1, this is minimal. \square

We can also look at a canonical book representation for K_8 , as shown in Fig. 8. We can compute that this embedding contains exactly 305 non-trivial links—28 triangle-triangle links, 112 triangle-square links, 112 triangle-pentagon links and 53 square-square links. This is the same total number of links as the embedding shown in Fig. 4, which leads us to conjecture:

Conjecture 2. *For any graph G , there is a minimal book embedding of G which realizes $mnl(G)$.*

However, notice that the embeddings of K_8 in Figs. 4 and 8, while they have the same total number of non-trivial links, do *not* have the same number of non-trivial links of each type; so an embedding which minimizes the total number of non-trivial links may not minimize the number of non-trivial links of each type.

QUESTION 2. Given integers k and l , is there an embedding of K_n ($n \geq k + l$) which minimizes both the total number of non-trivial links and the number of non-trivial links between a k -cycle and an l -cycle?




Fig. 9. An embedding of K_8 with only Hopf links.

Our discussion of complete bipartite graphs in Section 5 also provides some evidence in favor of Conjecture 2. The fan embeddings discussed there are easily seen to be book embeddings, although not minimal (the fan embedding of $K_{n,n}$ has a page-number of n , while Enomoto et al. [5] have shown that the page-number is at most $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil + 1$). So once again, it seems reasonable to focus on book embeddings, and if possible on minimal book embeddings, when trying to minimize the total number of non-trivial links in a graph embedding.

There are also issues about the interplay between different measures of the complexity of the linking in a graph embedding. For example, while the embeddings of K_8 in Figs. 4 and 8 each have 305 non-trivial links (the smallest number we have found), they also each contain a link with linking number 2. On the other hand, there is an embedding with only Hopf links (all links have linking number ± 1), shown in Fig. 9, but this embedding contains 330 non-trivial links. So it seems there may be a tradeoff between the number of non-trivial links and the complexity of the individual links.

References

- [1] F. Bernhart and P.C. Kainen: *The book thickness of a graph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **27** (1979), 320–331.
- [2] J.H. Conway and C.McA. Gordon: *Knots and links in spatial graphs*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), 445–453.
- [3] T. Fleming and A. Diesl: *Intrinsically linked graphs and even linking number*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **5** (2005), 1419–1432.
- [4] T. Fleming and B. Mellor: *Intrinsic knotting and linking in virtual spatial graphs*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), 583–601.
- [5] H. Enomoto, T. Nakamigawa and K. Ota: *On the page-number of complete bipartite graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **71** (1997), 111–120.

- [6] E. Flapan: *Intrinsic knotting and linking of complete graphs*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **2** (2002), 371–380.
- [7] E. Flapan, J. Foisy, R. Naimi and J. Pommersheim: *Intrinsically n -linked graphs*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **10** (2001), 1143–1154.
- [8] E. Flapan, B. Mellor and R. Naimi: *Intrinsic linking and knotting are arbitrarily complex*, to appear in *Fund. Math.*
- [9] R.K. Guy: *Latest results on crossing numbers*; in *Recent Trends in Graph Theory* (Proc. Conf., New York, 1970), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **186**, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 143–156.
- [10] B. Johnson and W. Johnson: *On the size of links in $K_{n,n}$, $K_{n,n,1}$, and K_n* , *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **11** (2002), 145–151.
- [11] R. Motwani A. Raghunathan and H. Saran: *Constructive results from graph minors: linkless embeddings*; in *29th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, IEEE, 1988, 398–409.
- [12] D.J. Muder, M.L. Weaver and D.B. West: *Pagenumber of complete bipartite graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **12** (1988), 469–489.
- [13] R. Naimi: *personal communication*.
- [14] T. Otsuki: *Knots and links in certain spatial complete graphs*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **68** (1996), 23–35.
- [15] N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas: *Sachs' linkless embedding conjecture*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **64** (1995), 185–227.

Thomas Fleming
Department of Mathematics
University of California
San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093–0112
USA
e-mail: tfleming@math.ucsd.edu

Blake Mellor
Mathematics Department
Loyola Marymount University
Los Angeles, CA 90045–2659
USA
e-mail: bmellor@lmu.edu