



Digital Commons@

Loyola Marymount University
LMU Loyola Law School

Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review

Volume 4 | Number 1

Article 3

1-1-1984

Survey Outline

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/elr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

, *Survey Outline*, 4 Loy. L.A. Ent. L. Rev. 1 (1984).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/elr/vol4/iss1/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

SURVEY OUTLINE

| | |
|--|----|
| I. MOVIES AND TELEVISION | 7 |
| A. Copyright..... | 7 |
| 1. Substantial Similarity | 7 |
| a. "Greatest American Hero" Not Substantially Similar to "Superman": <i>Warner Brothers v.</i> <i>American Broadcasting Co.</i> | 7 |
| b. "Star Wars" v. "Battlestar Gallactica" May Proceed to Trial: <i>Twentieth Century-Fox Film</i> <i>Corp. v. MCA, Inc.</i> | 11 |
| c. "Jaws" Promoters Enjoin Distributors of "Great White": <i>Universal City Studios v. Film</i> <i>Ventures</i> | 16 |
| d. "Blue Lagoon's" Move to Enjoin "Paradise" Fails: <i>Columbia Pictures Industries v. Em-</i> <i>bassy Pictures</i> | 20 |
| e. "Coming Home" Successful Against Copy- right Infringement Challenge: <i>Davis v. United</i> <i>Artists, Inc.; Jason v. Fonda</i> | 22 |
| f. Relief For Innocent Creators: <i>Giangrasso v.</i> <i>Columbia Broadcasting System</i> | 27 |
| 2. Fair Use | 30 |
| a. Work Not Protected as Parody: <i>Metro-Gold-</i> <i>wyn-Mayer, Inc. v. Showcase Atlanta Coopera-</i> <i>tive Production</i> | 30 |
| b. Television News' Use of Chaplin Film: <i>Roy</i> <i>Export Co. v. Columbia Broadcasting System</i> | 37 |
| c. Nonprofit Organization's Use of Videotapes: <i>Encyclopaedia Britannica Educational Corp. v.</i> <i>Crooks</i> | 41 |
| 3. Derivative Works | 49 |
| a. Hopalong Cassidy Movies—Exclusive Owner- ship in TV Rights Awarded: <i>William Boyd</i> <i>Enterprises v. TV National Releasing Corp.</i> ... | 49 |
| 4. Copyrightability | 54 |
| a. Person Has No Copyright in a Real Life Social Service Program: <i>Rowe v. Golden West</i> <i>TV Productions</i> | 54 |

| | | |
|----|--|-----|
| b. | "Exclusive Story" Must Be Original And Substantiated: <i>Sellers v. American Broadcasting Cos.</i> | 59 |
| c. | Notice of Termination Strictly Construed: <i>Burroughs v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc.</i> | 63 |
| 5. | Satellite Retransmission | 75 |
| a. | Program Retransmission Via Satellite: <i>Eastern Microwave, Inc. v. Doubleday Sports, Inc.</i> | 75 |
| b. | Vertical Blanking Interval on Retransmission: <i>WGN Continental Broadcasting Co. v. United Video, Inc.</i> | 79 |
| B. | Antitrust | 83 |
| 1. | "All Rights" Acting Contracts Preclude Future Royalties: <i>Rooney v. Columbia Pictures Industries</i> | 83 |
| 2. | Participation in Split Agreements Constitutes Unlawful Price-Fixing: <i>General Cinema Corp. v. Buena Vista Distribution Co.</i> | 86 |
| C. | Breach of Contract | 91 |
| 1. | Director's Right to Receive Sole Film Credit: <i>Nuchtern v. Vanderbes</i> | 91 |
| 2. | Restrictive Covenant Protects a Television Station's Image: <i>Beckman v. Cox Broadcasting Corp.</i> | 94 |
| 3. | Actor's Right to Receive Credit: <i>Smithers v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc.</i> | 97 |
| 4. | First Right of Refusal Provision: <i>Columbia Broadcasting System v. French Tennis Federation</i> | 103 |
| D. | Constitutional Law | 108 |
| 1. | Adult Entertainment Zoning Ordinances: <i>Castner v. City of Oakland</i> | 108 |
| 2. | Viewers' Right to Compel TV Broadcast: <i>Muir v. Alabama Educational TV Commission</i> | 112 |
| E. | Blind Bidding | 117 |
| 1. | Ohio Film Trade Regulation Challenge: <i>Allied Artists v. Rhodes</i> | 117 |
| 2. | Pennsylvania Film Trade Regulation Challenge: <i>Associated Film v. Thornburgh</i> | 123 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| F. | Television Regulation | 125 |
| 1. | Repeal of Three-Year Trafficking Rule: <i>Federal Communication Commission's Amendment of Rules</i> | 125 |
| 2. | Super Stations—Local or National Broadcasters?: <i>ABC Sports, Inc. v. Atlanta National League Baseball Club, Inc.</i> ; <i>Cox Broadcasting v. NCAA</i> . | 129 |
| G. | Personal Injury | 139 |
| 1. | Producer Not Liable For Injuries Inflicted on Movie Goer: <i>Bill v. Superior Court</i> | 139 |
| II. | MUSIC | 155 |
| A. | Copyright | 155 |
| 1. | Jukebox Copyright Royalty Fee Held Lawful and Reasonable: <i>Amusement and Music Operators Association v. Copyright Royalty Tribunal</i> ... | 155 |
| B. | Breach of Contract | 160 |
| 1. | Personal Service Contracts: <i>In re Noonan</i> | 160 |
| 2. | Explicit Drafting in Royalty Contracts: <i>In re Miller</i> | 162 |
| C. | Nuisance | 168 |
| 1. | Rock Concert Injunction Unconstitutional: <i>State ex rel Pizza v. Tom</i> | 168 |
| D. | Antitrust | 175 |
| 1. | Price Discrimination—Records: <i>Zoslaw v. MCA Distributing Corp.</i> | 175 |
| III. | BOOKS AND MAGAZINES | 183 |
| A. | Copyright | 183 |
| 1. | Agreements With Authors Regarding Idea Submissions Should Be Specific: <i>Werlin v. Reader's Digest Association, Inc.</i> | 183 |
| B. | Constitutional Law | 192 |
| 1. | Child Pornography Not Protected Speech in New York: <i>New York v. Ferber</i> | 192 |
| IV. | SPORTS | 197 |
| A. | Antitrust | 197 |
| 1. | Baseball Remains Exempt From Antitrust Laws: <i>Henderson Broadcasting Corp. v. Houston Sports Association</i> | 197 |
| 2. | Cross-Ownership Ban: <i>North American Soccer League v. National Football League</i> | 203 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 3. NFL's Home Game Black-Out Exemption: | |
| <i>WTWV, Inc. v. National Football League</i> | 209 |
| 4. NFL's Membership Admissions Procedure: <i>Mid-South Grizzlies v. National Football League</i> | 213 |
| B. Constitutional Law | 216 |
| 1. Boxer's Right to Fight for Championship Title: | |
| <i>Duva v. World Boxing Association</i> | 216 |
| V. RIGHT OF PUBLICITY | 229 |
| A. Descendability and Sufficiency of Exploitation During Life: | 229 |
| 1. <i>Martin Luther King, Jr. v. American Heritage Products, Inc.</i> | 229 |
| 2. <i>Commerce Union Bank v. Coors; Factors Etc., Inc. v. Pro Arts, Inc.</i> | 234 |
| 3. <i>Groucho Marx v. Day & Night Co.</i> | 242 |
| B. "Forum" Violates Cher's Right of Publicity: <i>Cher v. Forum International, Ltd.</i> | 246 |
| VI. LIBEL AND PRIVACY | 251 |
| A. Fictional Work Not Defamatory: <i>Springer v. Viking Press</i> | 251 |
| B. Lyrics of Song Not Defamatory: <i>Valentine v. Columbia Broadcasting System</i> | 255 |
| C. Topless Pro Boxer—"Public Figure" Standard: <i>Davis v. High Society</i> | 259 |
| D. Ms. Wyoming Not Defamed By "Penthouse": <i>Pring v. Penthouse International, Ltd.</i> | 266 |
| E. Falsely Portraying Person As a Prostitute is Defamatory: <i>Clark v. American Broadcasting Cos.</i> | 271 |
| VII. TRADEMARKS AND UNFAIR COMPETITION..... | 281 |
| A. Books and Magazines | 281 |
| 1. Magazine Enjoined From Use of "Playmen" Title: <i>Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Chuckleberry Publishing, Inc.</i> | 281 |
| 2. Jeans Counterfeiter Liable for Accounting and Attorney's Fees: <i>Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Baccarat Clothing Co., Inc.</i> | 289 |
| B. Television and Radio | 296 |
| 1. "107" Not Valid Service Mark for Radio Station: <i>Walt-West Enterprises, Inc. v. Gannett Co.</i> | 296 |
| 2. Television Slogan Not Valid Service Mark of | |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| | Slogan-Creator: <i>Invisible Ink v. National Broadcasting Co.</i> | 303 |
| C. | Music | 306 |
| 1. | Pied Piper's Service Mark Abandoned: <i>Yocum v. Covington</i> | 306 |
| 2. | Former Platter Enjoined From Using Service Mark: <i>Five Platters, Inc. v. Williams</i> | 310 |
| D. | Character Merchandise | 313 |
| 1. | Drawings of Cartoon Characters Found Valid Trademarks For Toy Dolls: <i>In re DC Comics, Inc.</i> | 313 |
| 2. | MORK & MINDY Valid Trademark for Decals: <i>In re Paramount Pictures</i> | 317 |
| E. | Toys and Games | 320 |
| 1. | Rubik's Cube Puzzle Protected From Counterfeits: <i>Ideal Toy Corp. v. Plawner Toy Manufacturing Corp.</i> | 320 |
| 2. | SIMON Trademark Found Valid: <i>Entex Industries, Inc. v. Milton Bradley Co.</i> | 324 |
| F. | Sports Merchandise | 328 |
| 1. | Showing of Consumer Association Between a Mark and its Source Sufficient to Establish Secondary Meaning and Likelihood of Confusion: <i>National Football League Properties, Inc. v. Wichita Falls Sportswear, Inc.; University of Pittsburgh v. Champion Products, Inc.</i> | 328 |
| VIII. | CABLE AND SUBSCRIPTION TELEVISION | 341 |
| A. | Sellers of Decoder Boxes Enjoined: <i>Home Box Office, Inc. v. Advanced Consumer Technology; American T.V. & Communications Corp. v. Western Electronics, Inc.</i> | 341 |
| B. | Effect of FCC's Deregulation of Subscription T.V.: <i>Federal Communication Commission's Deregulation of Subscription Television</i> | 350 |
| C. | Cable T.V. Installation Constitutes a Taking Requiring Just Compensation: <i>Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.</i> | 355 |
| D. | Cable T.V. Industry Challenges Royalty Rate Structure: <i>National Cable T.V. Association v. Copyright Royalty Tribunal; Adjustment of the Royalty Rate for Cable Systems</i> | 360 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| IX. ADVERTISING | 375 |
| A. Television Programming and Advertising Standards: <i>United States v. National Association of Broadcasting</i> | 375 |
| B. Consumer Reaction Testing and Comparative Ad- vertising: <i>Coca Cola Co. v. Tropicana Prod.; Vidal</i> <i>Sassoon, Inc. v. Bristol-Meyers, Inc.</i> | 378 |
| X. TAX | 389 |
| A. Unincorporated Foreign Organizations Taxed as Partnerships: <i>MCA, Inc. v. United States</i> | 389 |
| B. Nonprofit Corporation Granted Tax Exempt Status Despite Partnership With Profit Corporation: <i>Plum-</i> <i>stead Theatre Society, Inc. v. Commissioner of Inter-</i> <i>nal Revenue</i> | 392 |
| C. Nonresident Alien Personal Service Income: <i>Stemkowski v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> ... | 401 |
| D. Assignment of Income: <i>Johnson v. Commissioner</i> ... | 410 |
| XI. LABOR | 415 |
| A. Producers and Associates Are Not Employees Under NLRA: <i>Alliance of Motion Pictures and TV Produ-</i> <i>cers v. Producer's Guild</i> | 415 |
| B. Standup Comedians Are Independent Contractors: <i>The Comedy Store</i> | 418 |
| C. Hotel Musicians Are Not Employees Under the NLRA: <i>Hilton v. NLRB</i> | 423 |