



**Digital Commons@**  
Loyola Marymount University  
LMU Loyola Law School

## Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review

---

Volume 5 | Number 1

Article 4

---

1-1-1985

### Casenotes Outline

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/elr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

, *Casenotes Outline*, 5 Loy. L.A. Ent. L. Rev. 129 (1985).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/elr/vol5/iss1/4>

This Notes and Comments is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@lmu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@lmu.edu).

# CASENOTES

## CASENOTES OUTLINE

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| I. VIDEO GAMES .....  | 132 |
| A. Copyright: .....   | 132 |
| 1. Copyright Infringement Of Video Games: When<br>The Chips Are Down: <i>Midway Manufacturing Co.<br/>           v. Strohon</i> .....   | 132 |
| 2. Copyright Protection Of Video Games: Pac-Man<br>And Galaxian Granted Extended Play: <i>Midway<br/>           Manufacturing Co. v. Artic International, Inc.</i> .....                                  | 143 |
| II. RIGHT OF PUBLICITY .....  | 149 |
| A. Exclusive Use Of Name And Likeness: .....  | 149 |
| 1. Commercial Exploitation Of A Celebrity's "Inden-<br>tity": Johnny Carson Unseats Here's Johnny Porta-<br>ble Toilets, Inc.: <i>Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable<br/>           Toilets, Inc.</i> ..... | 149 |
| 2. Right Of Publicity Clarified: <i>Eastwood v. Superior<br/>           Court</i> .....   | 158 |
| III. CABLE AND TELEVISION .....   | 165 |
| A. Copyright: .....   | 165 |
| 1. Videotaping Of Copyrighted Works For Temporary<br>Classroom Use: <i>Encyclopaedia Britannica Educa-<br/>           tional Corp. v. Crooks</i> .....  | 165 |
| 2. Copyright Royalty Tribunal's Second Distribution<br>Withstands Challenge: <i>Christian Broadcast Network<br/>           v. Copyright Royalty Tribunal</i> .....  | 176 |
| B. Constitutional Law: .....  | 188 |
| 1. Access Of The Hearing-Impaired To Television<br>Programming: <i>Community Television Of Southern<br/>           California v. Gottfried</i> .....  | 198 |
| 2. Miami Ordinance Regulating Cable Television<br>Transmission Of "Indecent" Material: The First<br>Amendment To The Rescue: <i>Cruz v. Ferre</i> .....   | 198 |
| 3. Evangelical Broadcasting: FCC Investigation of<br>Use Of Donations Does Not Infringe First Amend-<br>ment Rights: <i>Scott v. Rosenberg</i> .....  | 205 |
| C. Broadcast Regulation: .....  | 211 |

- 1. Sponsorship Identification: When Must A Broadcaster Disclose Who Really Pays For Those Political Advertisements: *Loveday v. Federal Communications Commission* ..... 211
- 2. Fairness Doctrine: Forecast Is Foul Weather: *Democratic National Committee v. Federal Communications Commission* ..... 218
- D. *Contract*:..... 224
  - 1. Broadcaster's Unjustified Refusal To Air Advertiser's Commercials Equals Breach of Contract: *Sam's Style Shop v. Cosmos Broadcasting Corp.* .... 224
- E. *Labor*: ..... 229
  - 1. California Talent Agencies Act Applied To Television Commercial Production Company: *Cummins v. Film Consortium* ..... 229
- IV. FILM AND MOTION PICTURES ..... 234
  - A. *Copyright*: ..... 234
    - 1. Copyright Infringement: Substantial Similarity Found Lacking: *Litchfield v. Spielberg* ..... 234
  - B. *Antitrust*: ..... 234
    - 1. Theater "Splits" Under Fire: The *Capitol Service* Case: *United States v. Capitol Service* ..... 241
- V. MUSIC ..... 245
  - A. *Copyright*: ..... 245
    - 1. Copyright Infringement: Temporal Remoteness Is No Defense: *ABKO Music, Inc. v. Harrisongs Music, Ltd.* ..... 245
  - B. *Contract*: ..... 259
    - 1. Enforcement Of Personal Service Contracts In California: The \$6,000 Minimum Compensation Requirement—Teena Marie Has Motown Singing the Blues: *Motown Records Corp. v. Brockert* ..... 250
  - C. *Attorney-Client Relationship*: ..... 258
    - 1. Conflict Of Interest And Attorney Disqualification In The Entertainment Law Field: *C.A.M. v. E.B. Marks Music, Inc.* ..... 258
  - D. *Trademark*: ..... 262
    - 1. Trademark Infringement And The Right Of Publicity: Protecting The "Persona": *Bi-Right Enterprises, Inc. v. Button Master* ..... 262
- VI. SPORTS ..... 269

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| A.   | <i>RICO Convictions:</i> .....  | 269 |
| 1.   | RICO, Reporter's Privilege And The Boston College Point-Shaving Scandal: <i>United States v. Burke; United States v. Mazzei</i> .....                       | 269 |
| B.   | <i>Tax:</i> .....   | 282 |
| 1.   | IRS Strikes Out In Attempt To Revoke Tax-Exempt Status Of Amateur Baseball Organization: <i>Hutchinson Baseball Enterprises, Inc. v. Commissioner</i> ..... | 282 |
| VII. | BOOKS AND MAGAZINES .....   | 287 |
| A.   | <i>Defamation:</i> .....  | 287 |
| 1.   | Jurisdictional Analysis: No Room For The First Amendment: <i>Calder v. Jones</i> .....  | 287 |