



Digital Commons@

Loyola Marymount University
LMU Loyola Law School

The Loyola Reporter

Law School Publications

4-1994

The Loyola Reporter

Loyola Law School Los Angeles

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/loyola_reporter

Repository Citation



Loyola Law School Los Angeles, "The Loyola Reporter" (1994). *The Loyola Reporter*. 74.
https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/loyola_reporter/74

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Publications at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Loyola Reporter by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

The Loyola Reporter

Volume 17, Number 4

April 1994



One Thing Before You Go. .

By Quentin Daniels ('94)

Over the past three years, I have been doing a lot of reading . . . not too much of it law related. Nevertheless, I do believe that I have learned a few things that will prove as useful to me, dare I say *more* useful, than that which I have (formally) spent the past three years of my life studying. I would like to share one of these things with you.

My article today will discuss three non-lawyers: Branch Rickey, Jack Palance and Malcolm Forbes. Who are these people? What do they have in common? And what do they have to do with a graduating law school class?

First, there's Branch Rickey. He was just another mediocre Major League Baseball player during the early 1900's, but he made it to the Hall of Fame for his prowess as a general manager. Still doesn't ring a bell? Well, one of Mr. Rickey's most famous accomplishments was breaking the major league color barrier by signing the great Jackie Robinson to play for the Brooklyn Dodgers in 1947.


For that reason alone, I believe that he is worthy of mention as our law school experience comes to a close. But there is another reason. It is the reason that enabled Branch Rickey to sign Jackie Robinson when no one else would. You see, Branch Rickey was a great believer in the individual, and individual ability.

In a famous speech that he delivered in 1926, he offered the following: "What is the greatest single thing in the character of a successful enterprise, in the character of a boy, in the character of a great baseball player? I think it is the desire to be a great baseball player, a desire that dominates him, a desire so strong that it does not admit of anything that runs counter to it, ***a desire to excel that so confines him to a single purpose that nothing else matters.*** . . . That is the greatest thing I know about baseball or anything else."

Throughout law school, it seems that it has been easy for us to lose sight of our "single purpose," if we even had one in the first place. We have been hit from every angle with arguments, policies, statutes and precedents. We now know that there are as many sides to an issue as there are parties involved in the case. It is almost like a bad commercial - - "You want arguments, we got arguments. Big arguments, small arguments, statutory arguments, policy arguments, honest arguments, not so honest - - but legal arguments. We've got whatever you need, just sign the retainer contract."

(Continued on pg. 3)

The Great Job Race



To The Real Class Of 1994: Some Unconventional Wisdom

By Joshua Solomon ('94)

When I entered Loyola three years ago I, like many of you, was hoping to be greeted by a brighter economic environment upon my graduation. Unfortunately, this doesn't seem to be the case. Jobs are scarce and good jobs are even scarcer. For many this is a cause for despair. I suggest, instead, that this should be an impetus for creativity - a challenge to those who dare to tread waters uncrossed by anyone before.

I was hardly a fan of the study of law. I was one of those students who was committed to completing the task before me but, I went through school kicking and screaming all the way. This does not mean, however, that I gained nothing from the experience.


Before I began law school, many people told me that the law would be a wonderful foundation for anything I chose to do. I was told that law school would open up new avenues and it would lead me to an exciting and solvent future. The actual practice of law held little intrigue for me but these promises convinced me to go ahead

and enter school.

It didn't take long for this illusion to be shattered. One day I went to a seminar on alternative careers in the law. From this I found that my prospects were, basically, to be a WESTLAW representative or a law librarian. I certainly don't mean to disparage these jobs but they are hardly creative examples of what to do with a law school diploma. Law school, for the most part, is a trade school. There is no question that the experience offers much to aid in personal growth but, law school's main (Continued on pg. 5)

In this issue:

•••••	
WHITEWATER-WHTEWASH	2
GOVERNOR STERN?	2
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR	3
MOVIE REVIEW	4
JUSTICES VISIT LOYOLA	5
MOST LIKELY TO	6
ON BEHALF OF LLSFL	5
WE STILL HAVE A LOT TO LEARN	9
PILF PREVAILS	10



WHITEWATER WHITEWASH

The most astounding fact about the Whitewater scandal is what is NOT happening.

The Democrat controlled Congress is NOT holding televised investigative hearings to "get to the bottom" of the Whitewater scandal.

The so-called Independent Council, Robert Fiske, is NOT releasing Whitewater material to the press, despite its legal requirement to do so under the Freedom of Information Act.

The FBI and the Secret Service are NOT investigating the mysterious death of Vince Foster, leaving the investigation in the dubiously competent hands of the National Park Service.

One begins to suspect that a double standard is operative here. When conservatives are accused of scandal, they are pilloried without mercy, e.g. Oliver North and Clarence Thomas. When Democrats are accused of scandal, e.g. the Clintons, those who demand a vigorous investigation are publicly accused of "playing politics" and "obstructing the President's domestic agenda." Essentially, no pillorying Hillary.

"Whitewater," we are told by Bill Press, Chairman of the California Democrat Party, is "for rafts and canoes. That's it." Funny. Bill, funny.

Let us be clear: a whitewash is in process -- a cover-up. The Democrats who control Congress appear

determined NOT to get to the truth behind Whitewater. It is shocking to consider that Bill Clinton, perhaps the most dishonest man ever to hold the Office of President, will once again evade the rules of morality that bind the rest of us.

Bill Clinton has been accused of using his power as Governor of Arkansas to keep regulators off Madison Savings and Loan, costing taxpayers millions of dollars. Clinton has also been accused of improperly using Arkansas State Troopers to procure numerous sexual liaisons. Clinton has been accused of sexual harassment by a former Arkansas state employee named Paula Jones.

Hillary Clinton has been accused of influence peddling, conflict of interest violations, and tax evasion. And recently we have learned that Mrs. Clinton made a \$100,000 profit trading cattle futures, on an original \$1000 investment! It strains credibility to believe this was an honest gain on an honest investment.

Vince Foster is dead, supposedly of suicide. He died on the day the FBI subpoenaed Whitewater files in his possession. Foster's blood was not even dry when three White House attorneys snuck into his office and purloined the Whitewater files. The files somehow ended up in the hands of the Clinton's personal attorney. Later, it was revealed that there was a "paper-shredding party" of Whitewater documents at the

Rose Law Firm, the firm where Hillary Clinton was formerly a partner.

But the plot gets thicker. The Dallas Morning News reports that three Arkansians have died recently under mysterious circumstances -- one was shot, and two died in plane crashes. Each of these men were linked to the Clintons and/or Whitewater. A reporter working for The New Republic, L.J. Davis, reports that he was in Arkansas investigating Whitewater when the following incident occurred: He was getting dressed in his hotel room, with his back to the door. The next thing he remembers, it was four hours later and he was on the floor, with a lump behind his ear. His watch and wallet were not stolen, but four pages of his Whitewater notes were torn out of his notebook. The office of The American Spectator has been broken into three times since its story on "Troopergate."

As accusations fly, what has been the Administration's response?

One would think the Clintons would be quick to prove their innocence by publicly releasing all the information they have regarding Whitewater. The Clintons have conspicuously NOT done so, only reluctantly throwing crumbs when pressed, and in fact have done everything within their power to ensure that the truth behind Whitewater remains hidden.

It becomes increasingly clear that the Clintons have something very serious to hide. When a member of the White House press core recently began to ask Mr. Clinton if he was evading questions about Whitewater, the President glibly retorted "What do you want me to do? Let the federal government grind to a screeching halt to answer questions about Whitewater?"

Here's the answer Mr. President: if it would help prove to us, one way or the other, whether we have a leader whose word we can trust or whether he's nothing more than common crook who's defiling the Oval Office, then yes, we wish you would.

GOVERNOR STERN?!

By David Rubin ('95)


In a move that has everyone laughing -- with the exception of incumbent Governor Mario Cuomo -- Howard Stern has announced he will seek the Libertarian party's nomination in the New York governor's race. Stern seems to be a shoe-in for the nomination -- it is widely believed that Stern's loyal fans will pack the party's nominating convention in late April.

Stern's platform consists of only three components: 1) reinstating the death penalty; 2) having road crews work only at night; and 3) employing more toll-booth workers, are likely winners with all but the limousine liberal Cappuccino crowd . . . and they're voting for Cuomo anyway.

The idea of a Stern victory isn't all that far-fetched. In recent years, Stern's endorsements of Senator Alfonse D'Amato and Governor Christine Whitman have been considered instrumental to their narrow victory margins. Stern stands to benefit from an unpopular incumbent governor and a New York Republican party that is perennially in disarray. In 1990, Cuomo was re-elected with only 53% of the popular vote after the G.O.P. put up a Manhattan economist named "Pierre" as its candidate. The G.O.P. candidate took only 26% of the vote, with third-party candidates taking up the rest.

Stern's candidacy will certainly provide employment for attorneys who will be looking for ways around the FCC's "equal time" rule, a rule that could potentially force Stern to either give opponents equal air time, or go off the air altogether. If nothing else, his candidacy might help end the harassment he has been receiving from the FCC, since if he is a candidate, his show could become protected speech.

Governor Howard Stern? Only in America!



(One Thing . . .
Continued from pg. 1)

So what's my point, and Mr. Rickey's point - - don't become a lawyer? No. My point is that if you choose to become a lawyer, desire to excel as a lawyer, desire and strive to be a good lawyer, a great lawyer. It is difficult. If you choose not to become a lawyer, then ask yourself "What is my single purpose?" If your answer is "I don't know," then please take the time to figure it out. Life is going by.

More recently, in the film comedy "City Slickers", Billy Crystal played a forty year-old character who, on the verge of a full-blown midlife crisis (and only months after being gored by a charging bull in Spain), takes off to a dude ranch with two of his best buddies. While riding the trail with Jack Palance's tough guy character, Curly, Billy asks Curly: "What's the meaning of life?" Jack holds up one index finger . . . and then rides on.

Later, Curly decides to elaborate. He explains to Billy that life is about one thing. "Great, what is it?" asks Billy anxiously. Well, that's the tough part. Each of us, as an individual, must decide. For Jackie Robinson, it was playing baseball. For Branch Rickey, it was making sure that Jackie could play baseball.

My question to each of my fellow graduates is this: "What is your One Thing? What is your life going to be about?" Is it going to be about getting more degrees after your name? If yes, then go for it. If no, then what will it be? If you put off deciding what your One Thing is, you will just keep trying to compensate with excursions outside your day to day life. And who knows, you may end up like Billy Crystal, getting stitches in the derriere from a Spanish Doctor who doesn't speak English . . . or worse.

The last person I want to talk about is the late Malcolm Forbes, one of the wealthiest individuals in America and the founder of "Forbes" magazine. Mr. Forbes was a noted philanthropist - - and he knew how to throw a party too! While raising his children, all achievement oriented individuals, Mr. Forbes stressed the

phrase, "With all thy getting, get understanding."

This phrase is particularly appropriate for goal-oriented law students. In fact, with all the talented, go-getters at Loyola, I've often felt like I'm a remora in a school of sharks. "Excuse me. Hey, I'm just along for the ride. I don't eat much." Or more appropriately, I've felt like actor Roy Scheider's character in the first "JAWS" movie, who, when he came face to face with the killer shark said: "[I'm] going to need a bigger boat!"

As we all race to graduation, to passing the bar, getting drunk, getting hired, getting fired, getting clients, getting out of debt, getting married, getting divorced, getting remarried, making partner, and so on, please take Mr. Forbes' advice and get understanding. Understand what you're doing, how your actions impact your life, and how your actions impact other peoples' lives. Understand what makes you laugh, understand what makes you cry. And most of all, understand that we are only here for a very brief amount of time, so enjoy your stay.

Well, that's it: Branch Rickey, Jack Palance and Malcolm Forbes. Three non-lawyers with good advice for a graduating class.

Finally, for those of you who have wanted to be a lawyer ever since you were two years old, and now prefer to think about how much we struggled and accomplished over the past three years to get to this point, and about how difficult it was to master that darn Rule against Perpetuities, please take the advice of a very wise first year property professor and - - - "Seriously, Get a life!"

LOYOLA REPORTER
Editor in Chief Jane Olivas
Associate Editors Craig Pedersen Mary Yin
Contributors Steve Baker Quentin Daniels Cary Hall Professor Kanner Thomas Larmore Anthony Ramos David Rubin Keith Sakimura

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

DON'T MISREPRESENT LOYOLA'S HISTORY

The article about Professor Martinez ("More than Just Another Law Professor," Feb. '94, p.5) — by casually asserting that Loyola had been on the "dirty dozen list" without elaboration — creates an untrue impression. Loyola has a long and praiseworthy non-discrimination record, and the article's failure to note that is unfortunate and misleading. In fact, Loyola Law School was founded in the 1920s in part to provide an alternative quality legal education to people virulently discriminated against by U.S.C. — then the only accredited law school in Los Angeles.

When I joined the faculty in 1974, the faculty included three women (Professor Smith, Robinson and Guggenheim), one black (Professor Hatter, now U.S. District Judge), and one Asian (Professor Ching, now holding a high-level position with the Arizona Attorney General). A few years later, we were joined by a Hispanic faculty member (Professor Macias, now in private practice). He was later joined by Professor Edith Friedler, born, raised and educated in Chile. She was, of course, on the faculty even as Loyola was wrongly added to the "dirty dozen" list.

Professor Martinez is a welcome addition to the Loyola community, but it serves neither him nor anyone else to misrepresent the history of this institution.

Gideon Kanner
Professor of Law Emeritus

SOMEONE LIKE US: A Response to Professor Kanner

"We mock what we do not understand." This was the first thought that came to mind when I first read Professor Kanner's response to the article in *The Loyola Reporter* regarding Professor Martinez ("More than Just Another Law Professor," Feb. '94, p.5). My second thought was that he missed the issue; therefore, he gets zero points. My third thought was that, while he may have missed the issue, we could agree on one point - - Professor Martinez is

a welcome addition to the Loyola community.

Notwithstanding Loyola's record of non-discrimination and Professor Friedler's contributions to the La Raza organization on campus, the fact remains that Loyola did belong on the "dirty dozen list" until this academic year. I believe we can agree on another point at this time. We can now celebrate the fact that Loyola is no longer on that infamous list. Even though Loyola is no longer on the list, I believe it is important to state why the "dirty dozen list" was created. It was created to inform the public of the fact that the named institutions did not have tenured Latino professors on their faculty. And being a law school in Southern California the implications of being on the list are magnified considering the great number of Latinos that make up the Los Angeles area.

However, despite the lack of understanding we as the Latino community receive on this campus, we can nevertheless celebrate the fact that we now have someone the we can go and talk to whom we can identify with. In short we have someone on the faculty who has similar life experiences as us, who knows what we went through to get to this point in our lives, how much this accomplishment means to us and our families - - who is genuinely interested in our academic success as well as our mental and physical well-being. In short, someone like us.

Anthony M. Ramos

EDITORS NOTE: We found it ironic that Professor Martinez's profile article proved to be the most controversial piece in our February issue. The Reporter applauds both Professor Kanner and Anthony Ramos for making good points.

Unfortunately, as of this printing, Professor Martinez, for personal reasons, has announced that he will be leaving Loyola at the end of the semester. He will be returning to the University of Utah College of Law.

REALITY DOES BITE: A LITTLE REALITY AND A LOT OF ANGST

A Movie Review of "Reality Bites"

By Steve Baker ('95), and some commentators

Having just seen this film, we felt an imperative call to make some comments about its reflections on "our" generation. Although billed as fiction, we could see little bits of ourselves and our lives in its characters. You probably would too. Set in Houston, "Reality Bites" proves just as poignant for people living in Los Angeles or New York.

The film does portray "our" generation, "Generation X", as the angst-ridden generation. Ethan Hawke vividly portrays the brilliant, artsy, and defiant college graduate. The graduate without a real job, or any job for that matter. At law school we can all relate. Although very descriptive, Hawke's character was to archetypal as the rebel. He has no vices, unless you consider reading metaphysics and philosophy books a vice.

Everybody I have encountered in real life has some tie-in to mainstream society. Not Hawke's character. To him that is a vice. A vice that me and all of my friends possess. Be it Larry's golf, my love of cigars, or Steve #2's avid pro basketball game attendance. It just can't be helped, and for that matter doesn't need to be. Hawke was just too cool, too cocky, too unreal, pushing us to the edge of being alienated by his smug, know-it-all attitude. His 23 year-old character almost blew it for me at 24. For Steve #2, at 28, he crossed the line.

I did see positive aspects to Hawke's character. I wanted to be more like him in college. His "rebel with a brain" attitude was admirable back then. If he had been a little less over-the-top, you would have a valid role model in him. Many of my female friends fall for his type. This is not a generalization, just a description of the people I know. Being single through most of college, I was rather jealous of these guys.

Eventually we see that Hawke is human when his love for Winona Ryder is revealed. After walking in from her first amorous encounter with Ben Stiller's character, Hawke tells her he's totally in love with her; then he starts laughing. Ryder is shaken and shattered by this callous behavior. It was not a move I would make. I can't think of how many times I told somebody I liked them humorously, and then cracked on the inside when they laughed back. Hawke's character claims he has all he needs: unfortunately the audience finds that he left out a job, emotional security, and of course Winona Ryder, in coming to that conclusion.

The strength of the film is in the ever-talented and ever-beautiful Winona Ryder. Her character lives out the inevitable confrontation with parents that follows graduation. The proverbial "Now what are you going to do, sugar booger?"

question is asked. And of course the ever useful "Life is full of disappointments." Ryder's mother (played by Swoosie Kurtz) and stepfather were just a little too stupid to be believable. But then again, you should meet some of the parents of my friends. At one point the stepfather tells her to work at "Burger World" when she is fired from her real job. He snappily responds to her protest that valedictorians don't work at Burger World with "You don't have to put that on the application" - and then sucks down a chili dog. Ryder's real father lets her learn life's hardships by refusing to loan her needed money. This guy must know my father. I have been fortunate enough not to be in that position, but I can see the same reaction coming from my

parents.

Ryder is the lost waif after college. She wants to be in communications. Specifically, a documentary writer and producer. But working on "Good Morning Grant", the local morning show, she feels distanced from her aspirations. To pragmatists, she is actually a lot closer than most. Having once had career dreams myself, I could thoroughly relate to her character. As a matter-of-fact, that part of the storyline rang true for about 90% of the people I talked to about it. I write the other 10% off as cynics beyond redemption or as plain liars. The notion that life should go a certain way can't be lost. This point is



poignantly clear from Ben Stiller's script.

Stiller turns in a relatively accurate portrayal of a yuppie with a heart. Though well-intentioned, he eventually gets snubbed by the very "Generation X'ers" that he is supposed to know so much about in his executive capacity with "IN YOUR FACE", an "MTV with an edge" cable program. He falls for Winona and somewhat wins her over, but their relationship is jeopardized by the insecure but nevertheless "too lucky to be real" Hawke, as well as his own garbling of her graduation documentary which he tries to get aired on his show.

"IN YOUR FACE", itself, provides the perfect

commentary on the media world we live in today. It caters to short attention spans with short flashes, cool tunes, and few facts. It's a synthetic reality being sent to the masses. The reality of the emotional triangle between Stiller, Hawke, and Ryder is the reality we hate to face - the reality we've glossed over to escape. You know, the one that bites.

To me, Stiller is the most real character of the movie. He is cool and successful but fallible. In other words, he is human. We still love him for all his faults. I have to give Stiller credit for not writing himself in as some sort of "superguy".

Gene Garaffalo does a commendable job playing the supporting sidekick, a college graduate who doesn't leave her college job, but becomes manager of the local GAP. To her character, this is a big move in the working world. She has high hopes and low expectations. She also appears to be the female equivalent of a college buddy who measured his love life by the sheer number of sexual encounters he had. The movie opens with a scene in which she writes the name of a man sneaking out of her room in a book, adding it to a list of sixty others. Pretty impressive numbers. On second thought, pretty scary numbers. Living in an AIDs generation that behavior doesn't seem too cool. Not to mention how emotionally barren it is. Despite her faults, she is integral to the movie as the entity of stability around which the other characters revolve.

Bottom line: I highly recommend this movie as a well-done commentary on our times. On the downside, it does alienate other age groups to a degree, but I highly identified with these characters. I either was them, or knew them at my graduation. Why title this thing "Angst"? Why not? The undercurrent of the movie really is that we twentysomethings are ridden with anger for the past, frustration with the present, and scarce hopes for the future. Essentially angst.

"Reality" is telling. It can be what we are, or what somebody portrays us to be. In my mind a huge difference.

CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT JUSTICES VISIT LOYOLA

By David Rubin ('95)

Rarely does one get the opportunity to meet a member of this state's highest court. On April 5th, however, two California Supreme Court justices, Armand Arabian and Marvin Baxter, visited the Loyola campus.

The justices were invited by the Armenian Law Student Association to discuss their heritage, and how it has affected their professional lives.

Rather than being subjected to the usual lecture format, the justices treated the audience to a narrative of how they came to be where they are now. While both justices are Armenian and share similar moderate-to-conservative leanings, they come from vastly different backgrounds.

Justice Baxter answered what many in the audience were wondering: how did an Armenian come to have "Baxter" as his last name. The answer is really one typical of many immigrants to this country. Desiring to avoid discrimination, immigrants would typically anglicize their names. Moreover, many were eager to shed ties of the world they left behind. In marked contrast, most of the Armenian students in attendance were young people trying to retake their heritage.

Justice Baxter grew up in the heavily Armenian farming community of Fresno. It was here that he met another Armenian who would be going places, George Deukmejian. When Deukmejian went to the governor's mansion, Baxter abandoned a lucrative law practice to work with the newly-elected official. Thereafter, Deukmejian rewarded Baxter's service through a series of appointments that eventually landed him on the California Supreme Court.

Justice Arabian grew up in New York, the only Armenian in his community. During the discussion, Arabian related how his family came to the United States to

escape the 1915 genocide at the hands of the Turks.

Usually the deaths resulted from forced marches across barren desert. Those who managed to survive these marches were usually either hacked by Turkish soldiers or beaten to death by angry mobs. The brutality of these marches was brought home when Arabian described how his grandmother was forced by the Turkish overseers to decide which of her two sons would live.

Based on the brutality experienced by Armenians - the murder of a people and the destruction of a homeland - it is not surprising that Arabian is proud that Armenia is once again an independent nation, and has been able to recapture much of the land that was so violently taken away.

Arabian excoriated the audience to remember who they are and where they came from. To simply forget is an invitation of extinction of one's very culture.

(Class of 1994 - Continued from pg.1)

function is to create lawyers it offers little guidance as to creative uses for the degree.

Now, I am not suggesting that not practicing law is the best thing to do. Obviously, most of us will practice. However, with jobs as rare as they are, a similar challenge is created for those of us who want to practice and those of us who may not stand out or expect to be passed over. I have friends who have sent out literally hundreds of resumes to no avail. The paucity of available positions leaves even the most qualified students scrambling for work. Gone are the days when top grades and a position on a journal would guarantee a job.

So, what's the solution? Perhaps it is innovation. Dare to be different. In my lifetime I have been lucky enough to have had contact with a number of truly outstanding and successful individuals. They all have certain

things in common. Not one was afraid to take risks - not one was satisfied with, or was willing to follow in another's footsteps - and, not one felt bound by convention. An example is my father. Over thirty years ago he recognized the connection between the mind and the body's ability to fight disease. Back then, he was scoffed at. Today the field he created, Psychoneuroimmunology, is a burgeoning area of research. In fact, his theories have become part of our everyday knowledge. Who here doesn't realize that they are more prone to disease when they are under psychological stress? The point of this is that without his courage and ability to combine novel ideas Dr. George Solomon might have been just another psychiatrist today - and in today's society, being but one of many won't get you far.

Being different, making yourself stand out, can help in a variety of areas. Never discount what makes each of you an individual. Capitalize on your uniqueness. Even when your goals are traditional it can pay off to display your wares - even those wares which may seem unconnected to your goals. My best friend was recently admitted to a prestigious dental school because he included photographs of furniture that he had designed and built with his application. A member of the admissions committee told him specifically that it was these photographs which put him over the top. Without them he was simply another qualified applicant in a sea of qualified applicants.

At this point I'm sure that you are all wondering what you can do to make yourselves noticed. Sadly, I don't have any specific answers. I've already told you to take risks. With opportunities so scarce, what do you have to lose? Anything you do will add to your value as an employee and as a human being. Don't be afraid to do the strange or the unusual. Take that job from the firm in Tibet - learn Sudanese and put it to good use - open up a combination art studio and law firm - expand your horizons - take the path less travelled and see where it leads. You've got little to lose and everything to gain. At the barest minimum you'll have a more

interesting life but, perhaps, you'll find your way to a genuinely one-of-a-kind, rewarding career. Ask anyone you know whom you view as a success; I would venture to say that they will tell you that playing it safe can be very dangerous when you are trying to find your place in this world. The ability to be creative and the courage to make use of it is the key to success. How you apply these characteristics is a function of your own individuality. As hackneyed as it may sound, the saying "nothing ventured, nothing gained" is a truism we should all take to heart.

Now, there are those of you who may doubt the professional advantages of what I am saying but, there is one unquestionable advantage to blazing your own trail - you will experience personal satisfaction. There is no reason for any of us to be shackled by boredom, anonymity and voicelessness. Make your career your own. Do what you truly want to do. Do this and you can avoid being trapped by a job which stifles. One of our professors, Sheila Keuhl, once said that the day you dread going to work is the day you should quit. Many of you may think that this is irresponsible. I suggest that it is simply being true to yourself. It is not as preposterous a concept as it may seem. Professor Keuhl has done it, more than once, and so can any of us so long as we aren't afraid to take that risk. Never abandon reason but, also, never allow yourself to live a life that makes you unhappy. This degree can be the key that opens a huge variety of doors. Choose one that suits you and never feel bound by convention.

*The Loyola Reporter
Would Like To Thank
The Following People
For Their Support
And Invaluable
Contributions
This Year:*

**Marti Reynolds
Rhonda Tartaglio
Anthony Ramos
The Staff at Tri
Graphics
"1st Yr" Contest
Sponsors
Our Advertisers
And all those who
submitted articles.**

6 MOST LIKELY TO . . .

Most Likely To Wear High Top Sneakers To Federal Court:
Gabe Ganor

Most Likely To Win Leslie Abramson's Notable Hair Award:
Susan Pollyea

Most Likely To Have His Name Mispronounced In Court:
Amr Amer
Amer Yariv

Most Likely To Represent Howard Stern In A Lawsuit Against the FCC:
Erik Gunderson

Most Likely To Annoy Chief Justice Rehnquist:
Andi Liebenbaum

Most Likely To Annoy Justice Scalia:
Therese Tuttle

Most Likely To Marry a Klingon:
Judith Heinz

Most Likely To Star On "L.A. Law" as a Tough Young Prosecutor:
Cris Armenta
Cassandra Shivers
Cristine Escobedo

Most Likely To Find The Holy Grail:
Jonathon Fairtlough

Most Likely To Marry A Nuclearastrophysicist:
Jean Ho

Most Likely To Star As a Coast Guard JAG Attorney On an Episode of "Baywatch":
Tim Byer

Most Likely To Burn Her Bra:
Patrice Bishop

Most Likely To Be Willie Wonka:
Doug Pocrass

Most Likely To Join Starfleet:
Allen MacNeil

Most Likely To Be Indicted For Running Guns To The Contras:
Erik Gunderson

Most Likely To Assassinate Castro For The CIA:
Braulio Montesino
Most Likely To Make Up His Mind:
Kevin Razban

Most Likely To Pay Off All Their Loans:
Hector Cuevas

Most Likely To Start An Organ Bank:
Victor Luk

Most Likely To Defend Steven Spielberg Against Tort Liability For An Escaped T-Rex:
Pauline Rosen

Most Likely To Open A Greek Restaurant:
Greg Kouvanas

Most Likely To Have Imputed Income:
Kevin Martin
Megan Bark
George Hawley

Most Likely To Refer To Justices Ginsburg and O'Connor As "Honorable Babes":
Greg Rappetto

Most Likely To Cry In The Bathroom Before And After The Bar:
Clara Kim

Most Likely To Try To Revive the Billionaire Boys Club:
Earl Wallace
Mark Hattel

Most Likely To Think "Equal Justice" is a Sugar Substitute:
Nicole Blohm

Most Likely To Have Their Cellular Phone Ring During the Bar Exam:
Anthony "Is that my phone ringing?" Ramos
Joe Shabani
Alex Lampone
Pauline Rosen

Most Likely To Sell Cattle Futures To Hillary Clinton:
David Van Havermaat
Bill Jonas

Most Likely To Think Barbara Boxer Is Joe's Brother:
Cliff Goodman

Most Likely To Teach Law At Mammoth Junior College:
Vic Cooper

Most Likely To Still Be Self-Actualized and Eating Oatzels Ten Years From Now:
Anna Phillips

Most Likely To Compile A Set of Legal Encyclopedias:
Michael Hart

Most Likely To Be "Fragged" By His Own Troops:
Kurt Schlichter
Davis Bae

Most Likely To Become the Next Marlboro Man:
Frank Crance
Yvonne Simon

Most Likely to Send a Resume to MacKenzie & Brackman:
Greg Kavounas

Most Likely To Take Over For Judge Wapner:
Davis Bae
Joan Burns

Most Likely to Get Disbarred:
John Lock

Most Likely To Comfort Evil Incarnate:
Kristina Weaver
Bill Daniels

Most Likely To Contract a Computer Virus:
Manuel Del Pomar
Michael Morris
Kent Walker



Most Likely To Try To Bust Open Whitewatergate:
Tim Byer
Thomas Larmore
David Lehman
Erik Gunderson
Ralph Flick

Most Likely To Combine Bodybuilding & Litigation As A Spectator Competition Sport:
Greg Marsh ("Muscles")

Most Likely To Have Their Dead Hand Control From the Grave:
Kurt Stenzel
Kelly Sakir

Most Likely To Become Editor of GQ:
Christina Young
Janette Chase

Most Likely To Sleep With the Enemy:
Manuel Del Pomar
Charles Oakes
Jonathon Losk

Most Likely To Make An Indecent Proposal:
Patti Gonzalez
Gary Cramer

Most Likely To Be Confused With Kate Jackson:
Judy Lam

Most Likely To Be The Next Bill Clinton:
Guy Leemhuis
Burt Hensley

Most Likely To Be The Next Rush Limbaugh:
Kurt Schlichter
Scott Vick
Stevin Groth
Alan Goldstein
Tom Larmore
Joe Shabam
Brad Weeks
Adam Rossmon
Erik Gunderson

Most Likely To Be Involved In A S&L Scandal:
Paul Goldman
Stevin Groth
Rusty Weiss
Gary Pfister

Most Likely To Name Their Firstborn Learned Hand:
Selim Mounedji
Clark Peterson
Todd Hunt
Andi Leimsau

Most Likely To Make It A Woman's Issue:
Andi Libenbaum
Rusty Weiss
Robyn Sherain

Most Likely To Have Invested Money In Whitewater:
Shannon Wright
Michael Schwartzman
Patrice Bishop
Davis Bae

Most Likely To Be Held In Contempt Of Court:
Daphne Preat
Tom Larmore
Greg Apt
Ken Sousa
Brad Pierce

Most Likely To Take The Rap For A Crime They Didn't Commit:
Taylor Nagle
Ian Ullman
Michelle Futterman

Most Likely To Die A Martyr:
Jane Olivas
Raffi Minasian

Most Likely To Eat Small Firms For Lunch:
Lisa Ward
Jennifer MacDonald
Cris Armenta
Josh Solomon
Frank Crance
Jim Whitelaw

Most Likely To Get A Nipple Ring:
Dave Morrow
Kurt Schlichter
Anna Phillips
Laura Wasser

Most Likely To Advertise Legal Services On Television:

Glenn Schubb
William Perkowski
Andrew Jameson
Brad Pierce
Dave Cheng
Selim Mounedji

Most Likely To Think Of Loyola As The Best Years Of Their Life:

Laura Maglinger
Cindy Shapiro
Margaret Ng
Scott Vick
Michelle Futterman

Most Likely To Convince People that Coming To Loyola Was Worth It Even If You Never Find Employment:

Matthew McGrath
Craig Pedersen

Most Likely To Sleep Through Graduation:

Gabe Ganor
Fred Choi
Celia Francisco
Ken Sousa
Craig Marshall
Chris Molnar

Most Likely To Become Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court:

Shannon Sullivan
Selim Mounedji
Clark Peterson
Cris Armenta
Ellen Farrell
Tom Riordan
Dave Van Havermaat
Carla Goldstein
Kevin Razban

Most Likely To Smoke Marijuana - But Not Inhale:

Joe Cane
Ian Ullman
Gabe Ganor
Adam Reed

Most Likely To Marry Shannon Doherty For A Couple Of Weeks:

Raffi Minasian
Joe Montes
Craig Marshall

Most Likely To Follow "The Grateful Dead" On Tour:

Brett Locker
Yoshiko Inoue
Dave Peck

Most Likely To Become A Dictator In A Third World Nation:

Chung Mar
Margaret Ng
Selim Mounedji
Gordon Gray

Most Likely To Own A Professional Sports Team:

Lavetta Willis
Alex Lampone
Alan Goldstein

Most Likely To Get Caught By The ABA For An Ethical Violation in the 1st Year Of Practice:

Alain Chu
Laura Wasser
Pat Hurley & Don Harris (sexual misconduct with a client)
Leigh Otsuka
Gary Park
Fred Choi

Most Likely To Contact David Burcham For An Ethics Question:

Mitch Kalcheim
Alex Lampone
Gary Park

Most Likely To Advocate Surfers' Rights:

Adam Reed
Adam Rossman
Chris Molnar
Vic Cooper
Marc Willick
Tim Byer
Dave Peck

Most Likely To Advocate Latino Rights:

Cristine Escobedo
Claudia Alvarez

Most Likely To Chant "House on fire! House on fire! Put it OUT! Put it OUT!":

David J. Van Havemaat
Mitzie Reynolds
Guy Leemhuis

Most Likely To Marry Their Secretary:

Duke Chau
Mike Heimbolt
Sean Rice

Most Likely To Take Over Their Parents' Business:

Paul Goldman
Mary Yin
Peter Fan
Joe Shapani
Leigh Otsuka

Most Likely To Start A Bar Review Course:

Dale Thomas
Phoebe Leigh- Sueflow
Maira Sethi
Alan Castillo
Barbara Wiprud- Sousa
Brad Pierce

Most Likely To Inherit a Law Firm:

Damon Bonesteel

Most Likely To Enjoy Cafeteria Food:

Greg Apt
Fred Choi
Larry Chang
Nick Karapetian

Most Likely To Head a Mafia Family:

Joe Carlucci
Eric Francisconi

Most Likely To Become A Senator And Bounce Checks:

David Lehman
Stevin Groth
Dave Peck
Alan Lippincott
Eric Perrodin

Most Likely To Keep Their Books From Law School:

Shannon Sullivan
Clara Kim
Doug Frank

Most Likely To Save Their Outlines For Their Kids:

Kay Peterson
Shannon Wright
Rich Otera
Brad Weeks

Most Likely To Work For The Medellin Drug Cartel:

Paul Nagy
Chris Lalli
Gabe Ganor
Paul Neinstein

Most Likely To Defend The Medellin Drug Cartel:

Mark Simpkins
Devin Uzan
Nancy Wheeler
Mike Pence

Most Likely To Be Arrested For Civil Disobedience:

Fred Choi
Yvonne Simon
Maria Morrison
Cassandra Shivers
Elva Nunez
Phil Lam

Most Likely To Commingle:

Adam Reed
Matthew Pakkala
Dave Peck
Christina Angeles
Rusty Weiss
Leigh Otsuka
Cris Armenta
Jonathon Losk

Most Likely To Pronounce Demurrer Wrong:

Gary Park

Most Likely To Advocate Latin Rites:

Scott Vick
Chris Lalli
Jonathan Fairtlough
Tom Larmore
Bill Daniels
Andre Araujo

Most Likely Not To Cut Their Hair To Get A Job:

Michael Pentz
Diane Reyes
Brett Locker
Clark Petersen (once is enough)
Chris Molnar

Most Likely To Become A Law Professor:

David Van Havermaat
Tom Riordan
David Newdorf
Frank Crance
Pauline Rosen
Mary Beth Rhoden
Carla Goldstein

Most Likely To Become A Dean of Students:

Mary Yin

Most Likely To Marry Their Law Professor:


Kelly Furuya- Frakt
Kay Peterson
Kim Boucher
Therese Tuttle- Lazaroff
Liz Lyon
Mylene Brooks
Damon Wright

Most Likely To Carry Out A Bomb Threat:

Steve Shonack
Gordon Gray
Matt Ciesla
William Perkowski
Stevin Groth
Therese Tuttle
Gabe Ganor
Christina Angeles
Victor Luk

Most Likely To Defraud The Government:

Erik Gunderson
Mitch Kalcheim
Manuel Del Pomar
Gloria Noh
David Lehman
Dave Morrow



Most Likely To Be President Of The NRA:

Kurt Schlichter
Scott Karol

Most Likely To Become A Flight Attendant:

Lisa Herold
Brad Pierce
Mitch Smelkinson
Taylor Nagle

Most Likely To Brandish A Weapon:

William Perkowski
Kurt Schlichter
Scott Karol
Chris Molnar
Mark Simpkins

Most Likely To Allow Poor People To Swim In Rich People's Pools:

Guy Leemhuis

Most Likely To Not Play By The Rules:

Gabe Ganor (don't W.I.G. out, Gabe)
Pam Dansby
Melissa Deleissegues

Most Likely To Have Their Mouth Get Them In Trouble:

Larry Chang
Joe Montes
John Ricci
Scott Karol
Kurt Schlichter
Jim Pickel

Most Likely To Make A Comment Completely Unrelated To The Topic:

Gary Park
Tal Kahana
Cliff Goodman
Therese Tuttle
Anne- Marie Hackel

Most Likely To Work Well In Groups:

Anthony Ramos

Most Likely To Buy A Station Wagon:

Donna Brown
Joe Montes
Byron Mauss
Peter Nitschke

Most Likely To Be Confused With Ben Stiller:

Scott Karol

Most Likely To Sleep With Opposing Counsel:

Greg Marsh
Marc Haroupian
Ellen Martin
Mignon Adolph
Greg Apt
Leigh Otsuka

Most Likely To Bring A Dozen Bagels And A Two Liter Bottle Of Soda To Graduation:

Cliff Goodman

Most Likely To Name Their Kid Porsche:

Mercedes Lee

Most Likely To Suffer From Back Problems:

Tal Kahana

Most Likely To Have A Nixon Mask Handy:

Dana Flaum

Most Likely To Ask "Why?":

John Denny
Dave Morrow
Jonathan Fairtlough
Tal Kahana
Brian Bauer

Most Likely To Discover Victoria's Secret:

Mark Goldzweig
Chris Dueringer
Sean Rice
Vince Martinez
Dave Peck
Yi Hwa Kim

Most Likely To Pioneer The Tort Of Wrongful Speculation:

Chris Menjou
Jodi Prior
Dave Van Havermaat
Vera Bennett- Grubbs

Most Likely To Commit a 10(b)(5) Violation:

Karl Greissenger
Jacqline Wede
John Losk
Jim Whitelaw

Most Likely To Become A Model:

Cathryn Law
Cliff Goodman
Nicole Blohm
Rusty Weiss
Chris Adishian

Most Likely To Marry A Model:

Dave Peck
Brett Hagadorn
Manuel Del Pomar

Most Likely To Make A Million Dollars And Spend It All On Toys And Comics:

Ian Ullman
Greg Apt

Most Likely To Get A Job:

#1: 0
#2 (tie):
Michelle Leonard &
Cris Armenta

Most Likely To Jump Out Of A Cake:

Kim Boucher
Edgar Coronado
Ada Rud
Anna Monteleone
Patty Gonzales
Christina Angeles
Law Review Sue

Most Likely To Open A Chain Of Pizza Restaurants:

Dave Van Havermaat
Seth Flaum
Vic Cooper
Dave Koerner

Most Likely To Boldly Go Where No One Has Gone Before:

Brad Pierce
Tonya Graham
Susan Chandler
Phil Lam
Daphne Pereat
Ellen Farrell

Most Likely To Get Kicked Out of The Loyola Reporter Office:

Anthony Ramos
Tom Larmore

Most Likely To Succeed In Business Without Really Trying:

Paul Goldman
Matthew McGrath
Allison Rose
Bill Peters
Ann Body
Linda Hatcher
Greg Raffetto

Most Likely To Be Killed By An Angry Mob Of Loyola Students:

Kurt Schlichter
Phoebe Leigh- Sueflow
Andi Liebenbaum
Gary Werner
Davis Bae

Most Likely To Work For A Living:

Don Harris
Kemble Chen

Most Likely To Ask The Most Questions In Law School:

Ken Sousa

Most Likely To Give The Best Answers In Law School:

Barbara Wiprud- Sousa

Most Likely To Name His Child "Save the...":

Davis Bae

Most Likely To Swallow Live Goldfish:

Mark Goldzweig
Dave Simon
Rusty Weiss
Kathy DuLac
John Makarewich

Most Likely To Take The Money And Run:

Jo Anna Ball
Tom Riordan
Linda Baek
Dave Morrow
Veronica Cheney
Jonathan Zelnick (@ IRS)
Paul Goldman
Brian Wolf
Joe Shabani

Most Likely To Adopt A Tree:

Andi Liebenbaum
Joshua Solomon
Terese Tuttle
Nicole Thomas
Yoshiko Inoue

Most Likely To Miss The Forest By Focusing On The Trees:

Duke Chau
Therese Tuttle
Clara Kim

Most Likely To Be Related To Each Other:

Paul Goldman & Joe Cane
Carla and Alan Goldstein
Barbara Wiprud and Ken Sousa
Maira Sethi and Tejas Patel
Clark Peterson, Kay Peterson & Craig Pedersen

Most Likely To Have The Winning Lottery Ticket And Lose It:

Judy Kim
Larry Leistein
Glenn Shubb
Craig Pedersen
Cliff Goodman
Susan Yoon
Dana Flaum

Most Likely To Deal Cards In Vegas:


Alan Goldstein
John Ricci
Joe Carlucci
Seth Flaum
Gary Cramer
Clay Brust
Fred Choi
Joe Carlucci
Doug Pocrass

Most Likely To Live Long and Prosper:

Anthony Guiliano
Allen MacNeil
Nancy Wheeler
Hector Cuevas
Darrell Vienna

Most Likely To Buy A Porsche:

Mitch Kolchier
Mitch Smelkinson
Sean Rice
Paul Neinstein
Mark Horoupian
Reon Amendola
Most Likely To Thrash A Porsche:
Mitch Kalcheim
Gabe Ganor
Sean Rice



On Behalf of Loyola Law Students For Life . . .

By Thomas Larmore ('94)

In January 1992, I co-founded Loyola Law Students For Life, and now serve as President of this student group. For two and a half years LLSFL has sponsored pro-life speakers and events, to open minds and hearts to the truth about abortion.

On behalf of LLSFL, I thank those of you who have stood by unborn children by participating in this student group. At Loyola, this is not easy, because the pro-life movement is not loved on this campus. For each of us there has been a cost: lost friendships, perhaps words of scorn or ridicule. Certainly, all of us have suffered because of our empathy with the suffering of the unborn. Be assured, your love for the children will be remembered - - long after everything else about your experience at Loyola is forgotten.

Most of you love children. Some of you will dedicate your lives and careers to helping children, as social workers, or children's advocates, or as teachers. Many of you have children of your own. You love your children more than life itself. I ask you: is there any mother or father who would not willingly die for their children?

What I am asking you to do, is take that love you already have for children, and extend it to children who are still in the womb. We cannot love some children and refuse to love others. We must love all children, for love cannot discriminate.

Now I know that this truth is hard for many of you to hear. And I know why - - many of you have had a personal experience with abortion. Perhaps you are the mother who, years ago had an abortion. Or perhaps, you are the father who convinced your girlfriend to have an abortion. Or perhaps you have a friend or a family member who has had an abortion.

I used to wonder why emotions always got so heated whenever I brought up the topic. I wonder no more. After

three years, I now know that those who show the most anger toward pro-lifers are those who have been hurt the most by abortion. The truth behind our words pierce their hearts and souls. Unable to forgive themselves, they hurl their grief at us in the form of harsh words.

If you're hurting because of an abortion, do you think we pro-lifers don't know how you feel? I assure you, many of us do know. In the last three years, I have learned that many women who are most involved in the pro-life movement have had abortions themselves. Most of these women suffered years of anguish before they learned to accept God's forgiveness. They are determined that other women not suffer as they have.

Now some have asked me: "Why do you care so much about unborn babies? Why don't you care about people who are already here?" More specifically, we pro-lifers are accused of not caring about homeless people, orphans, the poor, and other people in need. I think that's not a fair argument, but it is often directed at pro-lifers, so I must address it.

The charge that pro-lifers don't care about "people who are already here" is simply not true. In the last three years, I have learned that dedication to the pro-life cause kindles in the heart a love for all human beings - - whatever their race, religion, or social circumstances. This love becomes action, on many fronts.

Now let me respond to the first part of the question: "Why do you care so much about unborn babies?" The answer to this is this: "What kind of person would I be if I didn't care?"

Thirty million babies have been aborted in the last 21 years. The loss of each baby was a loss of enormous proportions, because each one was a unique human individual - - who can never be replaced. Each missing child creates a hole in our lives - - a hole which can never be filled. One child in

three is aborted. Quite literally, we are destroying our nation, one child at a time.

The loss of so many lives, however, is insignificant compared to the loss of a single soul. And on this, let me be clear: our nation is losing its soul. As Abraham Lincoln

We Still Have A Lot To Learn

By Craig Pedersen ('94)

Life is full of lessons, and its amazing how, even this late in the law school experience, those lessons are still being learned. The lessons that I am talking about have come straight out of events that have been happening on campus, and they deserve our attention.

The first event was a forum held by the Womens' Law Association in response to an unrehearsed, strip-tease performance which occurred at Libel & Slander and its degrading effect on women. Most everyone I talked to was alarmed about the possible repercussions of such a "hearing". Rumors circulated about the extreme measure of withholding funds for Libel & Slander in the future.

I originally thought hosting such a forum was hypocritical: I didn't see how the WLA had any right to make such a challenge. After all, last year it was a former President of the WLA, Kelly Sakir, who performed in a similar number. My feeling was that before the WLA goes around telling the rest of us to run our lives - - and our student activities - - they should "get their own house in order".

As convincing as that logic seemed at the time, I later ended up being troubled by it. I realized I was presuming that everyone involved in a student organization ascribes to the same monolithic collection of views. I was falling into a trap - - making a generalized judgment about others that I would be upset by if made of me. Not every woman that belongs to the Womens Law Association has to have exactly the same ideas about how they are going to reach the goals which have brought them together as a group in the first place. To think that they do all share the same views is not only unrealistic, but would also make for a very boring

9
once said, it should cause us to tremble when we consider that God is a just God. If we do not stop the violence, committed every day against the unborn, our nation will be destroyed by violence.

world. So, I learned my lesson - - one which I probably should have gotten long ago.

But I soon realized I'm not the only one that needs to do some learning - - I'm not the only one that has failed to respect individuals for what they are - - a fact that was shockingly brought home in another recent, hotly-contested controversy on campus - - the selection process of the third year (day student) speaker.

The controversy began during the first round of general voting. I say the "first" round because when some members of the third year class were found not to have had sufficient notice of the process, the SBA, left in a quandary, had to decide a course of action that would be fair to those that wished to submit new speeches and yet preserve the rights of those already selected.

In the midst of figuring out a palatable solution, meetings were held. In the course of those meetings, one of the issues bandied about was the role of the committee of third year students that selected the first round of speeches. In that discussion, one of the "second shot" speechwriters argued that the committee, which consisted of eight Loyola students, was "too conservative" and that none of the original four speeches submitted by it represented Loyola students - - they were also "too conservative" and not "cynical" enough. This, despite that the committee was broken down evenly along gender and ethnicity lines, and that the speeches were written by people who are all over the political road map and had been anonymously chosen.

Even so, that's not the real issue. The real issue, to borrow a phrase utilized by a friend elsewhere in *The Reporter* - - is that the person who bombasted the speeches (Continued on pg. 10)

PILF Prevails

By Cary Hall ('95) and
Keith Sakimura ('95)

On March 21-31, the Loyola Public Interest Law Foundation (PILF) held its 2nd Annual Spring Pledge Drive. PILF wishes to thank all its members, and the faculty, staff, and students who made the Pledge Drive an enormous success. In only ten days, the Drive raised over \$18,000 to fund the PILF Summer Grant Program and Loyola's Public Assistance

Loan Program. (This year's loan recipients were announced April 15th).

One of the most popular events during the Drive was the PILF Fiesta, which featured a free dinner (thank's to Bar-Bri's generous sponsorship) catered by a local El Salvadorean restaurant, music, beer, sangria, and a presentation to Dean McLaughlin of a \$5,000 check from PILF for the school's Center For Conflict

Resolution.

In addition to the Fiesta, PILF coordinated an International Food Fair (see photo spread), two live lunch hour concerts, and free donuts and coffee during the first week of the Drive. The overall success of the Pledge Drive confirms Loyola's growing commitment to Public Interest Law. In fact, Loyola was recently proclaimed by *The National Jurist* as ninth among the nation's top ten

public interest law schools.

PILF once again thanks all those who took part in the Drive and looks forward to continued success in the future. PILF encourages anyone who's having trouble finding summer employment and needs something to spice up their summer to contact the PILF office. Opportunities are available to help organize next the Fall Festival and Auction. Call (213)736-8116 for details.

(Continued from pg. 9)

missed the issue, and therefore gets zero points. The issue had nothing to do with being conservative or liberal. None of the existing speeches even contained political overtones. The issue was that these people wrote about what the last three years of Loyola was about. I submit that no one can capture every aspect of three years of struggle, of three years of the law school experience, but the fact remains that these writers actually did capture a great deal - a point borne out by the fact that when the second round of speeches came in, while equally good, they weren't, for the most part, that different.

I'm sorry if the speeches didn't meet the "cynicism threshold" of the person who argued that they represented the graduating class so poorly. However, cynicism doesn't have to be in the forefront of everything we do. We shouldn't be penalized for failing, unlike the recently-departed Kurt Cobain, to choke on the pathos of our generation.



I'm glad that person got the opportunity to write their speech, and if that meant including what they wanted to make it truly "unconservative" and "cynical", that's fine. But I think it is unfair for anyone to unilaterally decide that only they can represent their campus, and to insist that twelve of its other members, from some of the most diverse backgrounds possible, are all off-base.

The moral of the story: I was ignorant to jump to the conclusion that everyone in the Womens' Law Association had the same viewpoint.

Someone else also made a mistake: failing to see that the people involved in the graduation speech process were sufficiently diverse. Both were unfair presumptions.

This doesn't mean that we can't have our own opinions and express our own views. But just because we hold them doesn't mean we get to automatically make someone else the enemy, and it doesn't mean that we get to automatically conclude that we alone hold the truth.


It's not a new lesson. But I think, in the context of what's transpired on campus this spring, it's worth repeating. After three years of law school, we should have already learned them.



Int'l Food Fair: BLSA members lend their hands to sell hot links (top left); members of the Armenian Law Students Association take a break after the "lunch rush" passes to strike a pose (top right); and Anna Phillips decides to go for another egg roll while pal Anthony and Nancy look on.

**CONGRATULATIONS
LIBEL & SLANDER!**

THE CAST DID AN AWESOME JOB!



Dean Michi
(Mary Yin)

Dean McLaughlin
(Gary Pfister)

FLEMING'S

Fundamentals of Law

First Year Review and Advanced Seminars Be Prepared and Pass Your Final Examinations

What FYR/Advanced Seminars Will Do For You...

- Review specific areas of Law through Outlines designed for each area covered. This material is not available in published form.
- Provide Exam Approach and Checklist for each area covered.
- Provide Exam Analysis and Issue Spotting for each area covered.
- Develop Outline Organization techniques for each area covered.
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the IRAC format.
- Provide Writing Technique for each area covered.
- Outline and Analyze two final exam hypotheticals for each area covered.
- Provide Excellent Review for Multistate Examinations.
- Most of all, train you to Write Superior Answers.
- In addition, each student will have the opportunity to Write one Exam Hypothetical in each subject area. The completed exam may be sent to Fleming's Fundamentals of Law, 21661 Criptana, Mission Viejo, CA 92692, along with a blank cassette tape and enclosed self-addressed envelope (required for its return). The exam will be critiqued extensively through audio cassette and returned to the student.

SCHEDULE OF CLASSES

Orange County

Monday, April 25, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm CONSTITUTIONAL LAW II <small>(Procedure, State Action, Thirteenth/Fifteenth Amendment, First Amendment Rights: Speech, Association, Press, Religion)</small>	Wednesday, April 27, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm EVIDENCE II <small>(Hearsay, Privileges)</small>	Thursday, April 28, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm CRIMINAL LAW	Friday, April 29, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm CONTRACTS II-U.C.C. <small>(Assignments/Delegations, Third Party Beneficiaries, Conditions, Breach, Remedies)</small>	Friday, April 29, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm CRIMINAL PROCEDURE Video: Room 215
Saturday, April 30, 1994 9:30 pm to 9:30 pm REAL PROPERTY II <small>(Sale of Land, Recording Act, Easements, Profits & Licenses, Covenants, Equitable Servitudes, Eminent Domain)</small>	Saturday, April 30, 1994 5:30 pm to 9:30 pm REAL PROPERTY I <small>(Concurrent Interests, Future Interests, Adverse Possession, Class Gifts, Landlord/Tenant)</small> Video: Room 215	Sunday, May 1, 1994 1:00 pm to 5:00 pm CONTRACTS I-U.C.C. <small>(Formation, Defenses, Third Party Beneficiaries, Breach, Remedies)</small>	Sunday, May 1, 1994 1:00 pm to 5:00 pm CIVIL PROCEDURE I <small>(Jurisdiction, Venue, Choice of Law, Pleadings, Joinder, Class Actions)</small> Video: Room 215	Sunday, May 1, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm TORTS I <small>(Intentional Torts, Defenses, Negligence-Causation Emphasis, Defenses)</small>
Sunday, May 1, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm EVIDENCE I <small>(Relevancy, Opinion, Character, Impeachment, Best Evidence, Types of Evidence, Burdens/Presumptions, Judicial Notice)</small> Video: Room 215	Monday, May 2, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm REMEDIES II <small>(Damages, Rescission, Restitution, Reformation, Specific Performance)</small> Video: Room 215	Tuesday, May 3, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm CIVIL PROCEDURE II <small>(Class Actions, Discovery, Summary Judgement, Attacks on the Verdict, Appeal, Collateral Estoppel, Res Judicata)</small>	Wednesday, May 4, 1994 6:30 pm to 10:30 pm TORTS II <small>(Negligence Defenses, Strict Liability, Vicarious Liability, Products Liability, Nuisance, Misrepresentation, Business Torts, Defamation, Invasion of Privacy)</small>	

Pre-Registration Gurantees Price & Outline: \$50⁰⁰ per seminar • Group Rate: \$45⁰⁰

(Group Rate available to groups of 5 or more who register together at least one week before the desired seminar.)

Registration at Door (if Space Available): \$55⁰⁰ • The Registration Price for Each Video Seminar is: \$25⁰⁰ (Half Price)

All live courses will be held at Pacific Christian College, 2500 E. Nutwood Ave. (at Commonwealth), Fullerton (across from Cal State University Fullerton) Room 205

All video courses will be held at Pacific Christian College, 2500 E. Nutwood Ave. (at Titan), Fullerton (across from Cal State University Fullerton) Room 215

All Bar Courses are Available on Cassette Tape with Corresponding Outline. Call for Information. Price \$59.26 per Set (includes Sales Tax)

NO TAPE RECORDING PERMITTED • NO EXCEPTIONS MADE • Endorsed by Williston Senate Delta Theta Phi

Course Lecturer:

PROFESSOR JEFF A. FLEMING

Attorney at Law • Legal Education Consultant

For the past thirteen years, Professor Fleming has devoted his legal career towards the development of legal preparatory seminars designed solely to aid Law Students and Bar Candidates in exam writing techniques and substantive law.

Mr. Fleming's experience includes the Lecturing of Pre-Law School Prep Seminars and First, Second and Third Year Law School Final Reviews. He is the Organizer and Lecturer of the Baby Bar Review Seminar and the Founder and Lecturer of the Legal Examination Writing Workshop. Both are seminars involving intensive exam writing techniques designed to train the law student to write the superior answer. He is the Founder and Lecturer of Long/Short Term Bar Review. In addition, Professor Fleming is the Publisher of the Performance Examination Writing Manual, the Author of the First Year Essay Examination Writing Workbook, the Second Year Essay Examination Writing Workbook, and the Third Year Essay Examination Writing Workbook. These are available in Legal Bookstores throughout the United States.

Mr. Fleming has taught as an Assistant Professor of the adjunct faculty at Western State University in Fullerton and is currently a Professor at the University of West Los Angeles School of Law where he has taught for the past eleven years.

REGISTRATION FORM

(Please Type or Print)

Name: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Telephone: (_____) _____

Law School: _____ Semester in Which Currently Enrolled: _____

Seminars and Locations to be Attended: _____

Form of Payment:

Check Money Order (Make Payable to: Fleming's Fundamentals of Law)

Visa MasterCard (Additional 3% Service Charge for MasterCard/Visa orders)

Credit Card # _____ Credit Card Expiration Date _____

Driver's License # _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____

FLEMING'S FUNDAMENTALS OF LAW

21661 Criptana, Mission Viejo, California 92692

California Toll Free: 1 (800) LAW EXAM • 714/770-7030 • Fax: 714/454-8556

Summer 1993 Bar Exam First Time Taker Pass Rate

Overall Loyola
Pass Rate:

87%

Loyola Students
Supplementing With PMBR:
(114 of 121 Passed)

94%

Loyola Pass Rate:
(Students NOT
Supplementing With PMBR)

82%

*Increase Your MBE Score...
Increase Your Odds Of Passing!*

***pmb*r**
MULTISTATE SPECIALIST

Can You Afford Not To Supplement With PMBR!