YOU ARE THE BEST OF THE PRESS CONTRIBUTE

DAVID PAUL BLESTEIN ('96)

We, the Editors at The Loyola Reporter want your submissions! Students, staff and faculty members or even family members are welcome to submit.

It is true that we, the Editors, love our own material. But we're really here to enlighten and entertain the entire LLS community. Without you, what's the point of being here?

In the event some people may have contemplated submissions but aren't sure what we like, here are some guidelines:

1. We love anything relevant to the school, faculty and the students. These are general guidelines to aid the indecisive and the undecided.

2. If you took a great trip, write about it (pictures, too)

3. If you took in a great show, write about it.

4. The real biggie (blare of trumpets, roll of tympani)...

WORK EXPERIENCES!

If you had or have a legal or quasi-legal job, any job, paying or not, WRITE ABOUT IT.

Tell us all what's GOOD, GREAT, WONDERFUL: the pay, the hours, the location, the clients, the people you work(ed) with. If this job is the culmination of a lifelong dream, tell us about it. What issues did you deal with and why did they matter? If you liked this job better than a former one, tell why.

Tell us what's BAD, LOUSY, NASTY. If the position or some materially important aspect of it warrants an explative, plainly state it. (E.g., "sucks worse than death.") Then tell why. What did you hope to get that you didn't get? Did the bosses make promises they later broke without a good reason? Did the job cause you to suffer deep ethical dilemmas? If the position would be great for someone other than yourself, state why.

We realize that writing about unpleasant experiences is itself unpleasant. But you may save someone else from equal misery. Since almost no one goes here with the intention of being miserable, the warning will be greatly appreciated. If there were warning signs that should have tipped you off, tell us. We all want to help.

If your experience was really foul, you can remain anonymous (if you're anon, the employer will be too.)

If you can, please submit on a WordPerfect 6.1 compatible disk. Muchas gracias, danke schon, thanks a whole heapin' lot.

IMPROVING THE SCHOOL

GARY PFISTER ('95)

The Princeton Review, THE BEST LAW SCHOOLS 1995, gave Loyola Law School a good overall review but also said "Loyola law students voice strong criticism, however, of the law school's administration which many consider unresponsive to student needs." and "Most give credit for the success of the law school's reputation to the Loyola Faculty. 'Loyola is a better school than it's reputation. It has the second highest bar passing rate in California".

The Princeton Review is the newest of the three main Law School survey books. Barron's Guide and the LSAT's own book are number driven and have little editorial comment other than what is given them by the schools. The Princeton Review is not run by Princeton University but is published by a LSAT prep course company. These reviews are major marketing tools for the school.

We need to have the students feel that the administration does listen to them and does not ignore what it hears by having the administration listen and not ignore what it hears. Before Dean McLaughlin arrived at Loyola there weren't even Meehan for Assembly Rains, or Donovan. An attitude of "If you don't know where it is, it's your problem" was an unfortunate tone in parts of the school administration. With a legal job
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GARY PFISTER ('95)

When I came here in the evening division in 1990 there was a certain negative tension. It started to dissipate when Dean Gerald McLaughlin came on board. Signs went up on the Buildings to identify them and access to the administration opened up.

Then the earthquake hit in Summer of 1991. This made the old parking structure unusable and the administration had to scramble for parking, security, buses and how to pay for it.

CREATING A COMMUNITY
Domestic violence is the number one public health issue facing women and children in the U.S. today.

Domestic violence is the number one public health issue facing women and children in the U.S. today.

By now, nearly all first years are aware of the 40 hour pro bono requirement. The Battered Women’s Project, sponsored by the Women’s Law Association plans on marking this one way for students to fulfill their pro bono requirement. This will be a great opportunity to get some legal experience while providing a much needed legal service for someone.

Students will most likely spend their time answering family law questions, helping women fill out paperwork and filling restraining orders. There may also be an opportunity to be volunteer advocates for the women and act as a liaison between the women and the prosecutor. In their zeal to move cases through the system, prosecutors sometimes fail to see the woman’s point of view.

The Battered Women’s Project has already started work on this venture. Allison Regan was elected to be our official chairperson and Professor Pond was enlisted as our faculty advisor. We have set up a project where law students can volunteer at the Los Angeles County Bar Association’s Barristers Domestic Violence Project. Students will assist clients in obtaining a temporary restraining order, one of the first steps in preventing further physical harm. The Project also organized last month’s food and clothing drive in honor of National Domestic Violence Awareness Month. All the items were donated to a shelter the Project adopted. We would like to thank the Loyola community for its support.

If you have any questions or would like to help out, please contact Allison Regan at (818) 242-9327 or Professor Sande Pond at (213) 736-1031. You can also stop by the Women’s Law Association office. We look forward to helping you fulfill your pro bono requirement and providing a valuable service for the community.

Announcements and goings-on

VERMONT LAW SCHOOL (in Vermont) wants law students who want ENVIRONMENTAL EXPERIENCE to participate in their Environmental Semester in Washington, D.C. in the spring of 1995. This is a 14 credit externship. Students interface with various U.S. government agencies, environmental groups, House and Senate Environmental committees and law firms. VLS has been ranked number one in environmental law programs for four years running by the reviled-yet-sacred U.S. News and World Report survey. Interested students may call Martha Judy or Liz Ryan at (802) 227-1398 or (802) 763-8303.

December 31, 1994, the software will be sold for the introductory price of $995 (U.S.). Interested persons can obtain LawTALK software through KOLVOX dealers and WEST Publishing. We don’t have a number for KOLVOX at this time, but you can call Dorothy Molstad at West Publishing at (800) 778-8090 ext. 77617 for more information.

Enjoy Thanksgiving dinner in the nude! The Happy Tanner Inn is a “nudist” resort in Palm Springs. They offer holiday rates from $68. This includes a full Thanksgiving dinner, full breakfast, sodas, beer and a complimentary barbecue. For more information call (619) 320-6984.

You can talk to WESTLAW, KOLVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. has joined forces with WESTLAW to offer LawTALK(TM) a voice-activated software system that will allow users to talk to their computers and use WESTLAW in plain English. From October 1 through December 31, 1994, the software will be sold for the introductory price of $995 (U.S.). Interested persons can obtain LawTALK software through KOLVOX dealers and WEST Publishing. We don’t have a number for KOLVOX at this time, but you can call Dorothy Molstad at West Publishing at (800) 778-8090 ext. 77617 for more information.

Enjoy Thanksgiving dinner in the nude! The Happy Tanner Inn is a "nudist" resort in Palm Springs. They offer holiday rates from $68. This includes a full Thanksgiving dinner, full breakfast, sodas, beer and a complimentary barbecue. For more information call (619) 320-6984.

You can talk to WESTLAW, KOLVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. has joined forces with WESTLAW to offer LawTALK(TM) a voice-activated software system that will allow users to talk to their computers and use WESTLAW in plain English. From October 1 through December 31, 1994, the software will be sold for the introductory price of $995 (U.S.). Interested persons can obtain LawTALK software through KOLVOX dealers and WEST Publishing. We don’t have a number for KOLVOX at this time, but you can call Dorothy Molstad at West Publishing at (800) 778-8090 ext. 77617 for more information.

Enjoy Thanksgiving dinner in the nude! The Happy Tanner Inn is a "nudist" resort in Palm Springs. They offer holiday rates from $68. This includes a full Thanksgiving dinner, full breakfast, sodas, beer and a complimentary barbecue. For more information call (619) 320-6984.

You can talk to WESTLAW, KOLVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. has joined forces with WESTLAW to offer LawTALK(TM) a voice-activated software system that will allow users to talk to their computers and use WESTLAW in plain English. From October 1 through December 31, 1994, the software will be sold for the introductory price of $995 (U.S.). Interested persons can obtain LawTALK software through KOLVOX dealers and WEST Publishing. We don’t have a number for KOLVOX at this time, but you can call Dorothy Molstad at West Publishing at (800) 778-8090 ext. 77617 for more information.

Enjoy Thanksgiving dinner in the nude! The Happy Tanner Inn is a "nudist" resort in Palm Springs. They offer holiday rates from $68. This includes a full Thanksgiving dinner, full breakfast, sodas, beer and a complimentary barbecue. For more information call (619) 320-6984.

You can talk to WESTLAW, KOLVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. has joined forces with WESTLAW to offer LawTALK(TM) a voice-activated software system that will allow users to talk to their computers and use WESTLAW in plain English. From October 1 through December 31, 1994, the software will be sold for the introductory price of $995 (U.S.). Interested persons can obtain LawTALK software through KOLVOX dealers and WEST Publishing. We don’t have a number for KOLVOX at this time, but you can call Dorothy Molstad at West Publishing at (800) 778-8090 ext. 77617 for more information.

Enjoy Thanksgiving dinner in the nude! The Happy Tanner Inn is a "nudist" resort in Palm Springs. They offer holiday rates from $68. This includes a full Thanksgiving dinner, full breakfast, sodas, beer and a complimentary barbecue. For more information call (619) 320-6984.
PILF wishes to thank all of you for making it possible for us to attend last weekend's National Association for Public Interest Law Conference. The following is a brief summary of the conference highlights:

Loyola PILF Honored at Awards Banquet

Five members from Loyola's Public Interest Law Foundation (PILF) attended the National Association for Public Interest Law (NAPIL) Conference in Washington, D.C. NAPIL is a national coalition of law student organizations that offer grants and fellowships to students and recent graduates engaged in public interest work. NAPIL is the nation's largest organization devoted to supporting the next generation of public interest attorneys. More than 1,000 students, attorneys, and activists attended this year's conference and awards banquet. Loyola's PILF received the award for the "Most Growth by a NAPIL Member School" for their outstanding fundraising efforts during the 1993-94 academic year. Loyola's PILF was honored for raising over $40,000 to fund seven student public interest fellowships as well as contributing $5,000 to the Center for Conflict Resolution and $10,000 to the Loyola Public Interest Loan Assistance Program. PILF was one of only three schools honored at this national awards ceremony. Accepting the award on behalf of PILF were Carlyle (Cary) Hall '96 (PILF Co-Chair), Katie Martin '96 (Secretary), John Klings '96 (Treasurer), Dana Butler '95, and Colleen Cox '96. Most recently, PILF raised more than $31,000 at its Second Annual Fall Festival & Auction, held October 8, 1994 (almost $10,000 more than that raised at 1993's auction!). Also honored at the awards ceremony was Brooksville Born (founder of the Women's Law Center and former Clinton Attorney General "short-list" candidate) and Clinton Lyons (Executive Director of the National Legal Aid and Defender Association) for their outstanding public interest advocacy.

SUMMER '94 AT THE NATIONAL WHISTLEBLOWER CENTER IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

R.J. COMER ('96)

Up and down the wrought iron steps of a clean, but nondescript row house in a rough D.C. neighborhood, lawyers and legal interns in shorts and sneakers hustle heavy briefcases, chasing case law on behalf of whistleblowers. This is the office of The National Whistleblower Center (NWC), where law/author/historian Stephen Kohn (the modern guru of whistleblower representation) is chief counsel. He's the short, stout guy in his early forties with wild black curls dressed like Bart Simpson. He does not look like a man who has published five books and won cases before the United States Supreme Court. When I met him, the near-manic glee of purpose in his eyes met the second-nature cynicism and aggression in him and I knew two things: 1) he and I will either hate each other or love each other before this Summer ends; and 2) either way, I will learn more from this guy than I could ever have imagined.

NWC is a non-profit law firm and public interest organization, which has argued and won many of the landmark cases regarding employment discrimination of whistleblowers and nuclear and environmental whistleblowers employed by public utilities and government agencies. Like many non-profits, NWC finds time to do very non-profit things. It has a staff of 15, but it has more than 50 volunteers spread across the country. The Center offers legal advice to whistleblowers, brochures, and a newsletter. In addition, NWC offers a referral service for whistleblowers. NWC has represented whistleblowers in cases involving the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of Commerce.

David Bleistein ('96)

In the September issue of THE LOYOLA REPORTER, I described my experiences clerking for the Appalachian Legal Research and Defense Fund (Applred) in Somerset, Kentucky during the summer of 1994. Here I'll describe how I got the position, and then lay out a strategy for you to follow if you would like to do the same.

I began looking for a position in the spring. After February of 1994, the Career Services office has a binder that contains information about public interest law firms. Applied was there, though they were not advertising for a position. Nevertheless, I inquired, found them interested and sent them the requisite resume and writing sample. Once I got an offer from Applied, I completed the PILF fellowship grant application. A few weeks later, I was awarded a grant. Сon't 'd on page 8 WHISTLEBLOWER

HOW TO GET A PUBLIC INTEREST CLERKSHIP

Ralph Nader, the renowned consumer advocate, gave the conference's closing keynote address on "Law Students as a Power for Systemic Change in America." In addition, emotional speeches on how innocent people can be sentenced to death were given by Stephen Bright and Rubin "Hurricane" Carter. Mr. Carter is the former Number 1-ranked middleweight fighter who lost his career and many years of his life when he was arrested on the charge of murder in June 1966. After spending 18 years in prison, Carter was acquitted of all charges. PILF is in the process of getting a tape of these remarkable speeches to show to its members and anyone else who is interested (future prosecutors and public defenders are especially advised to watch these speeches).

PILF thanks again all of those who made it possible for us to attend the conference including the SBA, the Dean's Office, and Pat Abracia.
LLS PROFESSOR AND STUDENT OPPOSITES IN ASSEMBLY RACE

David Bleistein ('96)

Two people from the same law school running opposite each other from the same law school for a non-school-related office is an unusual event. As everyone should know, both professors and students have considerable demands made upon their time. The obvious question then is why run? Why go to the hassle and heartache of running for office when the rest of the world is increasingly cynical about the political, i.e., democratic process? However you feel about either of these candidates, their statements here can provide some answers.

GOOD LUCK TO YOU BOTH

Michael T. Meehan ('95)

Many people have asked me why I would run for office while I am still in my third year at Loyola. Well, let me tell you.

I am running for State Assembly because California is my home and California is my future. I grew up in the San Fernando Valley, and have lived in the 41st District since graduating from UCLA. For the past for years I have worked as a Reserve Deputy Sheriff in Lennox and I have seen over and over again that the criminals no longer fear the criminal justice system in our State. The experience has convinced me that something is terribly wrong in our State - something I want to fix.

My brothers have both left this State to start families elsewhere. Many people in our generation plan their lives around when they can move out of California. For the past for years I have worked as a Reserve Deputy Sheriff in Lennox and I have seen over and over again that

M.S. Mittal to Loyola Reporter: 10/26/94

Yes on Prop. 187

Michael T. Meehan ('95)

I support prop. 187 as a legitimate statement of concerns by Californians about the cost of providing required services to people who come into our State illegally. The Clinton Administration estimates the cost to California of providing services to illegal immigrants is $1.3 Billion dollars. Governor Wilson says the cost is $2.6 Billion dollars. Somewhere in between is the real number and it is a huge amount of money to pull away from providing services to legitimate California residents.

Recently, during the campaign, I appeared before a group of disabled citizens. They were outraged that they had been cut back to only six Medi-Cal (that is, State funded) prescriptions month. They wanted me to promise them unlimited prescriptions if I was elected. They did not want to hear that their Medi-Cal service have been reduced because the State is forced to provide medical care to illegal immigrants. Prop. 187 tells the federal government: NO MORE FREE HEALTH CARE FOR THE ILLEGAL.

There are approximately 2 million illegal immigrants now living in California. More than one-third of the prison population is persons here illegally. There are approximately 300,000 illegal immigrants in our public schools and the cost of educating them is well of a Billion dollars. Prop. 187 tells the federal government: NO MORE FREE HEALTH CARE FOR THE ILLEGAL.

Chris Kuehl

LLS Professor Shiela Kuehl is not pining away for lack of things to keep her busy these days. Aside from being an adjunct professor at LLS, she is running for the state Assembly.

In a phone interview, I asked her what she wanted to accomplish if elected. Like her opponent, Michael Meehan, she is concerned about the quality of life of the residents of her district. Unlike him, she shuns a hammer down approach to dealing with crime, she is opposed to proposition 187. She identified four areas of particular importance to her constituents that she wanted to focus on: crime, education, the local economy and the environment.

Crime

Kuehl is and has long been an advocate for changes in the justice system's treatment of domestic violence, sex crimes and juveniles. She has authored over 40 pieces of legislation. She authored a bill that made domestic violence a crime. Another bill eliminated mutual restraining orders. Another changed the evidence code to permit the admission of prior sex crimes against accused rapists. Kuehl's aim is to make laws that will cause us to take such crimes more seriously, rather than blaming the victim.

Such laws will focus on and reduce the violence that is now a warp thread of our culture. Instead of simply punishing offenders, Kuehl advocates "prevention, intervention, treatment and punishment." She wants to deal with offenders "before strike one and strike two." She wants to develop an approach in the laws that will treat the illness inherent in a society the glorifies violence.

Education

Kuehl also wants to stop the rape of public education, both in state-funded universities and in grades Kindergarten through 12. Funding for education has been cut severely, in spite of state lottery revenues. One problem has been an insistence by state and local government upon raising money for schools through bond issues rather than needed taxes. There is now far too much debt for education. The interest on bonds will make investment banks and bondholders rich but is oppressive to schoolchildren. As it is, new taxes will be needed merely to pay off prior bonds, let alone maintain necessary resources in the classroom.

Kuehl also notes that education budgets are being raided to finance prison construction. That's a poor bargain by any estimate. It will cause the falling "war on crime" to appear less ruinously expensive than it is and rob the schools at the same time. If elected, Kuehl would serve or start education committees that will address these issues. Her focus will be to maintain and increase money resources available for instruction.

Environment

Kuehl wants to maintain the Santa Monica Mountain Conservancy. The Conservancy is a source of funds that will buy up land in the Santa Monica Mountains that is now in private hands. This will allow the preservation of land in its natural state while honoring the property rights of landowners. Kuehl says that a strong and vital Conservancy is needed to continue work to remedy the "patchwork" of pro-
DAVID PAUL BLEISTEIN ('96)

PROP. 181 NO
This will authorize millions of dollars in debt to finance a massive boondoggle of a mass-transit scheme. Electric trains are sleek, futuristic even sexy. They're way cooler than buses. And far more expensive. And far less reliable.

I know from first-hand experience. In the fall of 1993, I lived in Long Beach and took the Blue Line back and forth every day. It "fainted" -- stopped dead -- in the middle of South Central six times in three months, with me aboard. What fun.

Buses are ugly. They make diesel fumes. They have a low-life connotation about them. But they go wherever roads go. And best of all, they're reliable. In the year I spent riding buses all over the place on a student pass never once did a bus break down and leave me stranded.

There is a lot wrong with the bus system. Too many lines don’t run 24 hours. They don’t run often enough. Many buses are rude. Many buses obviously could stand more care than they’re getting.

But the money that would be spent on trains under 181 could be better spent on more buses, better maintenance and even psychiatric care for the drivers.

PROP. 183 NO
This measure will allow recall elections to be consolidated with regularly scheduled elections. Recall elections can now be held 60 to 80 days after the Secretary of State certifies the petitions. The idea is to save money and increase voter participation.

But how much money are we really going to save? Do we recall elected officials that often that it should make a difference? It seems like a much better idea to be able to use the extraordinary remedy of recall as soon as possible after its need becomes manifest.

PROP. 184 NO
This is the famous “three strikes and you’re out” measure. It will create an enormous balloon in our already swollen prison population.

This measure will make money for prison contractors, prison guards and the assorted agencies and bureaus that back them up. It will cost the rest of us a fortune. Do we want to raise full of lifers who are in there for non-violent offenses? I don’t. And you can be sure that there will be a flood tide of litigation.

PROP. 185 NO
This measure authorizes a 4-cent-per-gallon increase in gasoline taxes that will be used to fund clean-air buses and electric light rail systems. I don’t care about a small increase in the gas tax, since our passionate love of cars is at the root of our transportation woes. The solution is to get people out of their cars.

But I’d like this better if the money would not be wasted on such projects that have a way of developing into giant pork barrel projects. (See also PROP. 181 above.) Bus systems as they are will work just fine if they cease to be the poor stepchildren of transportation planning. Why not spend what we have for less money? For a third of the cost of the Hollywood Underground Railroad we could buy new buses for the entire MTA.

PROP. 186 YES
This will create a Canadian-style single-payer health insurance program for all Californians. A majority of people who do not now have insurance will have it. It will increase access to health care for millions of uninsured Californians.

There is the inevitable bleating about the evils of government involvement where there was none before. But there's an abundance of evil in the present scheme of things. A healthier population will benefit all of us.

Emergencies are expensive and unnecessary. A large proportion of ailments can be treated much better if dealt with before they become emergencies. We are now experiencing a rise in certain infectious diseases, including wholly preventable "childhood diseases" like measles, whooping cough and meningitis. There is no excuse for this.

I have no health insurance. cont'd on page 9 VOTE LIKE ME

G. DAVID RUBIN ('95)

THE '94 ELECTION

With the 1994 midterm elections only days off, we are looking at perhaps the most radical electorate since the election of 1860. Indeed, this election has the potential of returning two-party rule to Congress. It promises to provide challenges and opportunities to the Republican Party, which has been heavily outnumbered in Congress for the past 40 years.

In California, we are presented with several radical ballot measures. Among these are Proposition 184, which if passed, would mandate a life sentence for a petty two-bit crook whose third felony is stealing a Ding Dong from the corner 7-11. Proposition 186 would hand over California's health care system to the very same people that brought us the D.M.V. Proposition 187 stands for the positively outlandish notion that law breakers need more money and are not entitled to free education, health care, and welfare benefits.

Among the less radical measures on the ballot is Proposition 185. Proposition 185's backers would have you believe that the disastrous subway boondoggle in L.A. needs even more money -- money in the form of yet another highly regressive gas tax hike.

Proposition 188 is a "get tough on smoking" initiative sponsored by the tobacco lobby. Proposition 188's backers claim it will increase penalties for selling cigarettes to minors, while its opponents think it's just a smoke screen (excuse the pun).

This is why he is calling for the end of welfare as we know it today.

HUFFINGTON DESERVES YOUR SUPPORT

Huffington rightly sees the State, on its present statist course, as the true disaster that we face. This is why he is calling for an end to welfare as we know it today.

Huffington is the famous "childhood disaster". With the 1994 midterm elections only days off, we are looking at perhaps the most radical electorate since the election of 1860. Indeed, this election has the potential of returning two-party rule to Congress. It promises to provide challenges and opportunities to the Republican Party, which has been heavily outnumbered in Congress for the past 40 years.

In California, we are presented with several radical ballot measures. Among these are Proposition 184, which if passed, would mandate a life sentence for a petty two-bit crook whose third felony is stealing a Ding Dong from the corner 7-11. Proposition 186 would hand over California's health care system to the very same people that brought us the D.M.V. Proposition 187 stands for the positively outlandish notion that law breakers need more money and are not entitled to free education, health care, and welfare benefits.

Among the less radical measures on the ballot is Proposition 185. Proposition 185's backers would have you believe that the disastrous subway boondoggle in L.A. needs even more money -- money in the form of yet another highly regressive gas tax hike.

Proposition 188 is a "get tough on smoking" initiative sponsored by the tobacco lobby. Proposition 188's backers claim it will increase penalties for selling cigarettes to minors, while its opponents think it's just a smoke screen (excuse the pun).

This is why he is calling for an end to welfare as we know it today. Truly, our current welfare system has been nothing but an unmitigated disaster. Our welfare system is always poor, used to have a thriving culture - theatre, religion, a true sense of community. If Huffington can make even a dent in this national disgrace, he will truly be a hero.

Feinstein is content to tinkering with our welfare system, to "reform" it. Sadly, her idea of reform is to spend even more tax dollars on this failure.

For all of Feinstein's talk of being a moderate, her record is nearly identical to Huffington.
Dear Sandra,

I have a question for you. How do you address someone's hermaphroditic spouse? It is so hard to tell what's correct these days. You just never know when someone is going to get very angry over a perfectly innocent mistake of etiquette that the person has invented on the spot. I lay awake nights worrying about, say, misintroducing a senior partner's indeterminate significant other and having it adversely affect my career prospects.

(initials withheld by request)

Dear (initials withheld by request),

I am deeply flattered that you trust me, Sandra, to guide you in an area that is so far beyond my expertise. I'm not Emily Post the arbiter of manners. But I also find myself thinking, "Yowza Maria, what were the drugs?" as I did when I first visited the LLS campus and saw the buildings and sculptures. But, because I'm deeply touched and honored, I will answer your question, strange and disturbed as it is.

My research shows that hermaphrodites are not obvious. That is, they are not the half-man/half-woman freaks you might see in circuses or on "Flintstones" reruns. Rather, such people usually elect to assume either a male or a female identity early in life along with the appropriate surgery to avoid the kind of bizarre, awkward and improbable situation you've described.

Position, not mind you, that I worry too much about such things or that I'd want to inquire too closely in any event. As far as I know, no state has ever required anyone to put "H" in that little box marked "SEX" on their driver's license.

I dearly hope that I've relieved your anxiety and that you don't know where I live.

A female the last time I looked, ?

Sandra

Cheers to the Registrar and Dean of Academic Affairs for early registration. We still hope Law of Politics will be offered.

Cheers to facilities for the Kiosk for notice posting. Let's face it, with the parking lot we are focused that way. Check out the coming events without the hypnotic charm of the TV. The postings are for meetings and activities. Not ads for products, reviews, or 1985 copies of text books. Thank you for your support.

Cheers to the Administration for getting the Credit Union on campus. You should really look into this before graduating. Having an extra account stop commingling before you have funds to commingle.

Cheers to whoever put the ATM on campus. Now you can go to an ATM without worrying about getting raped, robbed or messed with. The ATM offers plenty of services no matter what your bank. And best of all, THE FEES ARE RELATIVELY REASONABLE. Kudos, backslaps, horras, jumps and shrieks of joy.

JEERS to whoever lets the ATM run out of money ("temporarily unable to dispense funds"). Another transaction? Yeah, refill the thing.

Justice Ruth Ginsberg, DISSENTING and CONCURRING

Dear (initials withheld by request),

Bubby, darling, baby, sweet-thing, sugar-pie, listen to your aunt Ruth.

It is wonderful that you are concerned about the feelings of others. I think that kindness has become a dying art, being replaced by "honesty." At the same time, it certainly is getting harder and harder all the time to figure out the proper mode of formal address, given the current attention being paid to people's unknown, unknowable and sometimes bizarre preferences. And the competition in the job market is sharper than my dear, beloved and cherished colleague's tongue (or pen).

But I must concur with Sandra narrate as it is well settled that your question suggests a far deeper malaise than a mere matter of manners. An inquiry like yours is not unusual on the eve of exams, but that is quite a while off at this point. And, OCI has been over for over a month. I suspect that this law school thing is getting to you, dear.

I therefore suggest that you IMMEDIATELY cancel your study sessions, lock away your outlines and tell someone you trust to hide the key for a weekend. Then, locate the loudest, wildest, most irredeemably raucous dance club you can find. Go over to USC or UCLA, find a fraternity on probation and invite them along. (Do be sure to buckle your seatbelts!) Finally, go inside the club with the fraternity on probation and dance till you get cramps.

Hang- in- there- babe Ruthie

Dear Sandra,

Settle a major bet. Does anyone on the Court go, you know, NAKED under their robes?

An Inquiring Mind

Dear M.,

First, zip it up.

Second, the answer is, you know, NO. Those solemn black robes we wear are made of this tacky rayon acetate material that feels like a plastic bag against the bare skin. Naked? Under those robes? UGH!

Third, you're lucky to be anonymous; otherwise I'd tell your mom she'd make you see a shrink.

CASE DISSED.

No dudes is good dudes

Sandra

Cheers to the wonderful people who gave over $31,000 to PILF at the 1994 PILF auction and party on October 8.

JEERS to those of you who didn't come to the auction. It was only $8.00, and the food was great, prepared by LLS students. Besides, you can always tape those "LaVerne and Shirley" reruns.

Cheers to facilities for the new coffee machines in the cafeteria. Now we can get better coffee with two smaller brewers and evening students, who need it most, can get better coffee later. Never shut the coffee off before closing.

Cheers to Professor Victor Gold for commentary on TV. LLS is getting like MGM. More stars than in the heavens. More marketability for our law degrees. Happier more marketable students means more donations for the old alma mater.

JEERS to whoever allowed the library to hemorrhage 7 out of 12 members of its professional staff last year. There have been a few replacements hired but you can sometimes shoot a cannon through the library and not hit anyone who can help you with your research.
Businesses are streaming out of the State while illegal aliens are streaming in and while criminals appear to have the upper hand in designing our communities. This is not the California I love.

After graduating LA public schools I went to UCLA where I became very involved in student activities and in student government. In my senior year I was elected Student Body President. By virtue of holding that office I became the Chair of the Board of Directors of a $70 million dollar business - the Associated Students of UCLA (ASUCLA). As Student Body President I was able to focus the government on the needs of the students at UCLA. After all, the students had fees collected to support the student government and I believed that the government had to be responsive to them directly.

My experience in student government taught me that a government can be a positive source of change in society. I decided to run for the State Assembly, partly because I felt it was the right time to get involved and make a difference. The seat was open, and with term limits that will only happen every six years, I won my primary with 63% of the vote and continue to run a grass-roots, volunteer-intensive campaign. I have had help from a lot of my friends, including a number of Loyola Law Students.

In this campaign I am running against a left-wing Democrat who has described herself to an author this way: “My life is much better not acting and being a radical feminist activist attorney,” (Signorile, *Queer in America*, Random House, 1993, pg. 322). She was the only woman in her primary and defeated her six male opponents by spending $400,000 on a campaign that was almost exclusively to female Democrats - this got her 41% of the vote in an easy win. The L.A. Times calls her a “Child of the Sixties” and she is enthusiastically endorsed by the penultimate politician of the Sixties, Tom Hayden.

My opponent’s campaign focuses on her more than 30 year old role as a TV sitcom character, (The Many Loves of Dobie Gillis - a show which went off the air before I was born. Ms. Kuehl asks the voters to send Zelma (her former character) to Sacramento but neglects, in her literature, to inform them of her positions on the issues.

Ms. Kuehl opposes the “Three Strikes and You’re Out” initiative, she supports the “Single Payer Health Care Initiative,” and she opposes 187 the “Save Our State measure.

My campaign is focused on the need for change in the way our State is run. My experience policing the streets of Los Angeles county, my experience as Chairman of the Board of ASUCLA, and the knowledge gained from living and working in Southern California have prepared me to work for change in Sacramento. If the voters of the 41st Assembly District like the way the State is now, if they are happy with our State legislature and feel safe on our streets then they should vote for my opponent. A vote for Ms. Kuehl is a vote for more of the same style of government we have had for the past twenty years. If the voters of the 41st Assembly District want a change, if they want a legislator who will be tough on crime then, clearly, they should vote for me.

I.-... 

Outlaw the phrase “We don’t have to spoon feed it to them” because it usually means “I don’t have the time to do my job right.”
This summer was an experience, in the fullest and richest sense of the word. I attended a Cincinnati Reds game, went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO (if you're a first year student) tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

Don't be afraid to apply to firms elsewhere in the country.

Do mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Whistleblower

One of six legal intensive this summer at NWC, and only one of two first-year students. My work is funded by Loyola's Public Interest Law Foundation, to which I will always be grateful. The schools represented ranged from Yale to Tulane to others I've never heard of. I worked closely with one of the several staff lawyers. For some reason, Stephen had me working with him all summer on a variety of cases. Perhaps it was happenstance, maybe it was the potomac. And that's why we can't play very well in birkenstocks and they just can't seem to bring themselves to cooldown with any passion at all.

I was one of six legal intensive this summer at NWC, and only one of two first-year students. My work was funded...

Among many issues I worked on, the issue I dealt with most deeply was whether a joint Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Secretary of Labor (Secretary) even got to cut my being one of the staff lawyers. The issue of whether the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Secretary of Labor (Secretary) even got to cut my being one of the staff lawyers.

Among many issues I worked on, the issue I dealt with most deeply was whether a joint Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Secretary of Labor (Secretary) even got to cut my being one of the staff lawyers.

From page 10

Do (if you're a first year student) tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

Do try to do a variety of different public interest work during the school year if you can. Aside from being a better experience for you, it will make you a more attractive candidate.

Don't be afraid to mention any relevant undergrad or non-school related work, e.g., the Peace Corps. You usually have activities planned so that people can get to know one another.

DO Keep in mind that some PI firms in rural areas, like Applied, are in places that have no law schools immediately nearby. Therefore, they must look further afield. Also, like law schools, firms like to boost about drawing interns from all over.

DO (Especially) Talk to others who have done it, especially PILF members.

This summer was an experience, in the fullest and richest sense of the word. I attended a Cincinnati Reds game, went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.

If you're a first year student, tie in your mandatory pro-bono work to the position you can. This will make fulfilling your pro-bono requirement easier.

DO Mention personal interests, families, ties and other factors that make you particularly interested in the firm if it's far from L.A. For example, if you're an avid mountain climber, mention this fact if you're applying in western Colorado or in West Virginia. If you have a wide range of extra-curricular interests, note the particular one that makes you particularly interested in clerking in a particular place, making it worth your trouble and expense in traveling there.

Don't expect a vacation. You will be expected to work.

But do plan on spending some time getting to know the place in which you'll be working. PI firms don't work much and they don't have activities planned so that people can get to know one another. For example, I attended a Cincinnati Reds game in Cincinnati (the Reds lost), went on a boat/camping trip on Lake Cumberland, went gar fishing with a bow and arrow and went to the Great Smoky Mountains.

Don't worry about your grades. In general, public interest firms are not grade fetishists.
I don't care how rich they are. I don't care what religions the candidates' respective spouses embrace. I don't care if one or the other's spouses sold some handcuffs to thugs. I don't care how much one or the other's sons got on enhanced parole relative to the other. Hell, if they want to dance naked in the Senate once in a while, it's fine with me as long as they're effective.

But I do care what they have done before. Mr. Huffington advertises himself as a political outsider. He certainly acts like an outsider. (From space, maybe?) Huffington has spent most of his time in Congress avoiding interviews and shredding documents. His pledge not to be a career politician may be fulfilled whether he likes it or not.

Feinstein, by contrast has been busy. Among other things, she has fought for health care for all of us, and appropriated the money that helped us deal with the earthquake. Career politician or not, she manages to avoid sounding like Forrest Gump. She will represent us in the Senate, not just sit there saying she'll only run for two terms. If an employee's effective, you want to keep them, don't you?

GOVERNOR BROWN V. WILSON

Sneaky Pete is a marvelous panderer. He seems to know how to push a lot of mean-ass buttons in many white middle-class voters.

I'm not as certain as I'd like to be that Ms. Brown isn't simply a less effective panderer than Mr. Wilson. But anyone who shows such obvious hostility to immigrants by backing PROP 187 as Wilson has is not fit to be governor of a state populated by the large proportion of immigrants in the U.S. Therefore I vote for Brown.

COMMUNITY from page 1

Then they had to deal with all those problems we got from the Fall of 1991 through June this year. The feeling of improved atmosphere dissipated. I noted it at the time and, having been out of school for a year, came back to a less positive campus then when I had left. I thought it was a just a small blip to what was before.

I now think the negative feelings came out because of the necessary diversion of administration's attention from its original path to meeting the crises of parking, riots, the death of indoors and the problems of being in Los Angeles. Students were irritated by the inconvenience, job market and the legal community problems we saw on TV each day.

The closure of the parking structure did more than divert progress, it broke community. It broke it by making it harder to park, harder to go back to your car to get your books, harder to want to be on campus, harder to want to come to campus, and harder to want to be a part of the school. It also broke community by dividing people into Beacon Street (Groan by the Union garage people in the shuttle) Union, and Columbia parking lots.

We now have a new parking structure, a new focus for the community of Loyola Law School, and a new start back on the road we started in Spring of 1991.

VOTE LIKE ME cont'd from page 5

Forget for a moment that this measure may well be unconstitutional. Forget for a moment the harm it will cause. There are an abun-
dance of reasons to vote NO.

First, the solution will create more problems than it will solve. Second, the problem is nowhere near as clear or as shrilly shrieking bigots would have you believe.

A draconian measure like this requires a very compelling reason. Cost to the rest of us is given as has been busy. Among the problems we saw from Feinstein's "successes" it has to give one pause. Feinstein has defined her senator's office in the Burns building or to the Loyola Reporter office in the Burns building or behind the Moot Court (or (213) 736-8117).

When one looks at Feinstein's "successes" it has to give one pause. She has repeatedly理想ized the Crime Bill as a success. Among its crime-fighting measures is funding for gymnasi-

ums and sports fitness centers - basically a place for hoodlums to hang out between drive-by shootings. It also creates 60 new crimes eligible for the death penalty. What's wrong with this is not just creating countless new crimes eligible for the death penalty (which will never be enforced anyway). The outrage here is the nearly unchallenged federalization of common street crime.

Somebody has to stop this, and Huffington deserves a chance to do just that.

When one looks at Feinstein's "successes" it has to give one pause. She has repeatedly idealized the Crime Bill as a success. Among its crime-fighting measures is funding for gymnasiums and sports fitness centers - basically a place for hoodlums to hang out between drive-by shootings. It also creates 60 new crimes eligible for the death penalty which will never be enforced anyway. The outrage here is the nearly unchallenged federalization of common street crime.

Somebody has to stop this, and Huffington deserves a chance to do just that.
First, Kuehl noted that there already are laws on the books that will act to discourage illegal immigration if they were enforced, such as firing employers for hiring undocumented workers. It is abundantly clear that illegals are finding work here in large numbers which is their reason for coming in the first place. Therefore, Kuehl believes that anyone "really serious" about controlling illegal immigration will simply step up enforcement of existing laws that for the fining of employers who hire illegals. Kuehl also feels that 187 will cause many more problems than it will solve.

Illegal immigrant children who are evicted from the public schools "will not simply go away and disappear."

187 will also add to health problems because sick people will not seek treatment for disease because health doctors are required to act as spies for the INS. This in turn raises the horrible specter of an epidemic of virulent infectious disease that could otherwise be detected and contained. Simply stopping illegal immigration will not solve this problem. Illegals have no monopoly on infectious disease; illegal immigrants and American nationals can carry disease, too. (For example, bubonic plague is endemic to the western U.S.; a number of people die of it every year. Editor’s note.)

Crime will rise because people will be less likely to call the police if they are the victims of crime if they feel they will end up being deported.

Illegal immigrant children who are evicted from the public schools “will not simply go away and disappear.”

WHISTLEBLOWER

cont’d from page 8

R.J. Comer was nominated for a 1994 Outstanding Student Whistleblower Service Award by the National Association for Public Interest Law for his work at the National Whistleblower Center. In Washington, D.C., should contact the Office of Career Services.

FREE SCHOOLING FOR THE ILLEGALS.

California cannot afford to provide for all of those in the world who wish to come here. My ancestors came to this country legally, through Ellis Island. They waited in line, they followed the rules and they worked hard once they were allowed in. I have the greatest respect for the courage and hard work and commitment of the people who immigrate to the USA legally - it is a difficult process and we demean their adherence to the laws of this country if we simply roll over and provide services to those who sneak across the border in violation of the law.

HUFFINGTON from page 9

Feinstein also touted her “Desert Protection Act” as one of her successes. A more apt name for this is the “Desert Land Grab Act.” When Bill Clinton signs this bill into law, 800,000 acres of land which had previously been in private hands will be handed over to Big Brother. Nowhere in the bill is there any allocation of funds to compensate the people who have had their land stolen from them. I can only conclude from this that Feinstein holds the just Compensation Clause with as much contempt as she holds the Second Amendment. Can we trust someone who is so eager to abrogate our Constitution for cheap political gain?

Huffington’s charge that Feinstein is a career politician who will say or do anything to stay in office is proven by her conduct throughout this campaign. It began with her campaign manager sending letters to Jewish contributors stating that Huffington was anti-Semitic. Feinstein denied knowledge of this attack. Her denials are not credible when viewed in the light of repeated race-baiting on her part. She is willing to do this because she cannot win without the overwhelming support of the African-American community.

Her race-baiting was most evident when she brought up the issue of a racial covenant on a home previously owned by Huffington. To achieve her divisive aims, she plucked Tom Bradley out of his corporate law firm in order to declare that the covenant showed Huffington to be a shameless racist on the level of a David Duke.

Only days after this assault occurred, it was revealed that Feinstein had the very same racial covenant on her own palatial estate! Politicians are well known for their hypocrisy, but Feinstein has to be the world champion. The hypocrisy is not nearly as bad as her unforgivable injection of race in the contest. With our state already deeply divided, why does she find it necessary to divide it even further? Perhaps it is because she is a career politician who will say or do anything to stay in office.

Mike Huffington deserves the chance to represent California in the United States Senate. He has run on his ideas to bring California into the next century. Dianne Feinstein violently clings to the past. This is why the only way she can win is to viciously attack Huffington, in the hopes of making the electorate so fearful and uncertain, she will win another six years on the public dole.

There is reason to believe her tactics are succeeding; Huffington is trailing her in all recent polls. Still though, the entire weight of history is going against her. Her ideas are as dead as the dodo - and come November 8th she too might join the ranks of the extinct.
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