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O.J. ISSUE

[SORT OF]

EDITOR'S NOTE

DAVID PAUL BLEISTEIN

The trial of the century is finally over.

For those living in caves for the past month or so, football great, sportscaster, actor, and all-around celebrity personality O.J. Simpson was acquitted of the murder of his ex-wife and her friend, Mr. Ron Goldman. Apparently, LAPD is at a loss for leads, and the investigation continues.

The trial had all the ingredients of a fabricated tabloid tale of sordid doings among the well-to-do. But it wasn't made up. It was all the way real in our court rooms. Among other things, we learned a lot about O.J. that he [and, I suspect, many of the rest of us] would have preferred we didn't know. We saw just how truly ugly one retired LAPD detective was. We witnessed and shared the horror of the Goldman family's well-justified grief over the loss of their son.

It's tempting to curl your lip and sneer at the "media circus" the trial caused, what with all the catfights and LLS grad Eric Spitzer, amongst all available outdoors equipment, mountain-climbing gear, climbing apparatus, etc. The organizer would then announce where they were going and what kinds of photos they had to shoot.

continued on page 12

BOVITZ

AdventureLaw!

Scott Bovitz
LLS 1980

John Rogers '98

A quarterly column profiling LLS graduates, students and professors who engage in unusual & exciting outdoor sports.

Tuesday, October 3 - 1995

Los Angeles, California

Scott Bovitz, '80, is a rather self-effacing fellow: polite and soft-spoken. Not at all the sort of person one might judge at first blush an adventurer or a maverick. In his professional life, he is, as his wife, Susan Spitzer ('82), self-avowed DINK's (Double-Income, No Kids) operate a successful bankruptcy law practice. They don't take overseas war crimes cases or LL&S professors.

So, it comes as something of a surprise, you could even say shock, when Sovitz begins to relate some of his extracurricular activities. Many of them, as you will see, are, well, non-traditional. And a few are downright dangerous.

But before we explore that, a bit of a bio on the man. Bovitz received his B.A. (Double Major: Hist & Poli. Sci.) from UCSB in 1977. He attended the full-time day program here at LLS, graduating in 1980. He was awarded the "American Jurisprudence Award, Debtor-Creditor II (Bankruptcy)" and the "Seino M. Brink Award for Bankruptcy" during the course of his studies and was a staff member for the International and Comparative Law Annual.

After passing the California Bar in 1980, he worked his way up through several major Los Angeles bankruptcy firms (for example, Shea & Gould and Cervantes & Luna), eventually striking out on his own in 1991. His true calling is statutory-based law; things like UCC, tax, creditor & business law. In keeping with that, he taught bankruptcy & UCC law here at Loyola from '92 to '97.

Bovitz actually began his pursuit of excitement while in law school. He, ex-LLS Registrar Frank Reel ('70) and LLS grad Eric Joe ('81) formed a photography Contest Club. It worked on a simple rotation. One of the threesome would tell the others to show up at certain location (usually the top of parking garage or an abandoned lot). The others would have to show up with all their available outdoor equipment, mountain-climbing gear, etc. The organizer would then announce where they were going and what kinds of photos they had to shoot.

As might be expected, the verdicts aroused their share of opinions on this campus. Practically everyone has an opinion of some kind about the case. Frankly, I'm surprised we didn't get more submissions from students.

If you want to submit any article, letter, whatever, about the O.J. case, there's still time to do so for the November 30 issue [deadline is November 21, 1995]. We welcome any and all comments about the case and the pieces published in this issue of the Loyola Reporter. For example, if you disagree with someone's analysis, say so, and say why. We don't care what your opinion is, so long as you don't express it in a libelous manner.

The articles under the "O.J. ISSUE" heading range from the report of professional journalist on the African-American community's reaction, to proposals for jury reform and other more obliquely-stated expressions of opinion about the case. Any opinions are those of the authors, not the Loyola Reporter, or Loyola Law School.

continued on page 12
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(left) Scott Bovitz, '80 (right) Susan M. Spitzer, '82
Positions Available on The Loyola Reporter

Staff Reporters Wanted:
The Loyola Reporter has openings for the following positions. These posts have been designed to have minimum impact on study/class time. They will require a maximum of one hour per week calling the courts and other sources then summarizing events. Reporters operate independently; submitting type-written or diskette status reports every other Friday.

1) Menendez Trial Watch
2) Oklahoma Bombing Trials/Events Watch
3) Snoop Doggy Dog Trial Watch
4) LLS Event Watch
5) Sister Schools Watch (USC, UCLA, Pepperdine, Southwestern, Whittier)
6) Asian Sphere Watch
7) European Theatre Watch (Unavailable/Already Covered)
8) Latin/South America Watch
9) General North America Watch
10) L.A. Superior/Municipal Courts Watch
11) Post-O.J. murder trial Watch
12) Congressional Student Loan Action Watch
13) El Monte Thai worker case Watch
14) Cypress Park Gang slaying Watch
15) LLS administration watch
16) State Bar Abolition Watch

*Interested students should submit a short writing sample along with name, address & phone to the Loyola Reporter's box in the Information center on the second floor of the Burns Building, or stick it under the door at 122 Rains Building.

Jumpstart Your Legal Career

Read the paper that covers the law better than any other source: the Los Angeles Daily Journal.

Yes! Sign me up for one-month FREE of the Daily Journal as a law school student at Loyola.

NAME
SCHOOL Loyola
ADDRESS
CITY
STATE
ZIP
PHONE

YES! Sign me up for one-month FREE of the Daily Journal as a law school student at Loyola.

Los Angeles Daily Journal
915 E. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 • (213) 229-5425 • Fax (213) 680-3682

* Loyola Law School students only. Offer Expires 12/31/95.
INFORMATION ON MEETING YOUR PRO- BONO REQUIREMENT

LUCI CHUN

IF YOU ARE A SECOND-YEAR DAY STUDENT, YOU NEED TO COMPLETE YOUR PRO BONO REQUIREMENT BY DECEMBER, 1996. NO EXCEPTIONS!!

The following is a list of some ways in which you may fulfill this requirement.

1. Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) course is only offered in the spring semester. If you are planning to use VITA to fulfill your pro-bono requirement, then sign up with Lynne Green. VITA is a one-unit course that consists of 12 hours of training to be done on a weekend and 20 hours of on-site work that will be held in various locations around Los Angeles. VITA will fulfill 32 of the 40 hours of the pro-bono requirement. You will need 8 additional hours to meet the pro-bono requirement. It is preferred that the pro-bono requirement be completed in a single semester.

2. ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union) needs volunteers to do intake of clients. Please see Sande Pond for more information.

3. Asian Pacific American Legal Center & the ACLU need volunteers to help with legal research on the Thai Workers case.*

4. If you are interested in assisting victims of domestic violence, there are a number of organizations that need help.

These are only a few of the numerous pro-bono opportunities that arise. Please stop by the externship/pro-bono table in the library for more information.

* [Editor's note] The Thai workers case involves immigration deportation appeals by Thai garment workers who were held as virtual prisoners in a squallid compound in El Monte.

PHI DELTA PHI INITIATION

LLS Moot Court; Friday : October 27, 1995

The Aggeler Inn, Loyola Law School’s Phi Delta Phi (PDP) chapter hosted that fraternity’s Southern California initiation ritual in the Moot Court on the evening of Friday, Oct. 27. Present were the new initiates, their family members, the staff of LLS’s chapter, the fraternity’s National Council (there to preside over the event), Dean Gerald T. McLaughlin and the evening’s keynote speaker, Judge Stephen R. Reinhardt of the U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. The latter two were also honorary inductees (though McLaughlin had been initiated as a student at New York University some years ago).

Phi Delta Phi is the oldest and largest legal fraternity in the United States; established in 1869, nine years before the American Bar Association (ABA), and claiming a nation-wide membership of over 150,000. Membership, based solely on class standing, is open to students in the top 30% of any accredited school with an “Inn”. The term “Inn” refers not to a hospitality but, rather, to the old English word for hostelry but, rather, to the old English word for court of law.

The induction was not just for the Loyola students. Initiates from UCLA, USC, Pepperdine and Whittier were also in attendance.

After brief opening remarks from Vince Farhat, Aggeler’s president, and Irina Drill, its Vice President, the National Council, in black judicial robes, conducted the ceremony - each of the five members speaking on the various tenets and bylaws of the organization. Phi Delta Phi is generally regarded as more than a scholastic-excellence fraternity and the ceremony reflected as much, focusing on ethics and a moral commitment to justice.

Of note were the short post-induction reflections of PDP’s new President, Rodrigo Sanchez; Mejorado Velasco of Mexico City; Velasco, the first Mexican President of PDP and an articulate speaker, elaborated on the hope he had for the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) as a means through which Mexican, American and Canadian attorneys could increase and improve their level of mutual understanding.

Following that, Dean McLaughlin introduced Judge Reinhardt, Reinhardt, well-known for his left-leaning beliefs, delivered a strongly worded speech on re-building the image of the law through improved race relations, dispelling the distorted impression of the industry caused by Simpson trial and, essentially, working towards community betterment through public service.

Reinhardt was most effective in his impassioned endorsement of Affirmative Action and in his call to arms for young civil rights attorneys. Perhaps what made the words meaningful was the growing reluctance on the part of judicial figures to actually state liberal opinions in public anymore. Listeners didn’t necessarily have to agree with his point of view to concede the power of his message.

After the ceremony, a wine and sandwich reception, co-sponsored by BarBri, took place in the lobby of the Burns building.
Cigars Are A Passion, Not A Trend

As will become obvious, Mr. Comer interprets the fault-ridden but high-and low-life as experienced by R. J. Comer. Grendel is Reporter. It's this year that many of my customers than ten fucking years and will be fun-loving Grendel over that pain-in-the-ass Boy Scout Beowolt. Grendel's Notebook is Punch Super Rothschild Maduro or smoking them long after the. "cigar a Hoy o de Monterey Excalibur like a wet paper towel, young guys bartending at the PILF auction for become mere curiosities of the late once wrote: "Children of twenty-five involved with a cigar smoker, I offer an anecdote to illuminate the past

The result is a mixed-bag. If you live, as I do, by the maxim that even mediocre science fiction is better than good regular-old movies, you'll be pleased. If, on the other hand, you're looking for cracker-jack set-pieces, a strong plot and crisp scripting, you're in for something of a disappointment.

The story revolves around Lenny, a former LAPD officer dismissed under vague circumstances. Lenny, as played by Ralph Fiennes, won't be winning any Bafta Awards for his portrayal, but He's a rather meek, haunted little arelef'with the painful task of sift- ing through the ensuing wreckage of Los Angeles - as a- "powder-kag" motif. But he doesn't give us enough of a connection with the rap-star marries his plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing around in a collection of crates and lots of crazed freight trains. We're left with the painful task of sift- ing through the ensuing wreckage to find some measure of closure.

The main attraction in all this is Angela Bassett, who evidently trained for this role at the Linda Hamilton, Catherine Deneuve, Catherine Zeta-Jones. Her scenes are uniformly superb. Ralph Fiennes substantially delivers. If, on the other hand, you're looking for even mediocre science fiction is better than good regular-old - his plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing around in a collection of crates and lots of crazed freight trains. We're left with the painful task of sift- ing through the ensuing wreckage to find some measure of closure.

The main attraction in all this is Angela Bassett, who evidently trained for this role at the Linda Hamilton, Catherine Deneuve, Catherine Zeta-Jones. Her scenes are uniformly superb. Ralph Fiennes substantially delivers. If, on the other hand, you're looking for even mediocre science fiction is better than good regular-old - his plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing around in a collection of crates and lots of crazed freight trains. We're left with the painful task of sift- ing through the ensuing wreckage to find some measure of closure.
THE O.J. ISSUE:

O.J. AS ROLE MODEL FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS?

by STEPHANIE ONEILL

The thunderous, jubilant eruption that greeted the reading of the OJ Simpson verdict outside the Los Angeles Criminal Courts building Oct. 3rd was perhaps among the most visible displays of support for Simpson.

About 2,000 spectators - many of them black - danced, chanted and shouted their support for the man acquitted of double murder.

"Free at last! Free at last" yelled an elderly black woman, awash with emotion.

"Thank you Jesus," shouted another, "Hallelujah!"

In the days following the verdict, local African American activists challenged Simpson to come back and give back to the black community that stood by him through the trial.

"We don't expect O.J. to become the panacea for South Central Los Angeles," said Mays. "Simpson can be a little shot of penicillin," added Dr. James Mays, M.D., head of four inner-city medical clinics.

Mays said Simpson could help the community, not so much as a role model - in light of his record as a life abuser, but rather as a beacon of long overdue justice for blacks.

"We're just asking O.J. to simply rethink, if his thoughts have left the African American community," Mays said.

Sam Theus, head of the 27-year-old "Help Public Service Foundation," which uses role models to encourage youngsters to stay in school and live successful lives, said the community should instead celebrate and exult what he says is its real hero.

"Put a real role model in there," Theus said. "A young man that scuffled for an education, that went through all the trials and tribulations of living in the ghetto and still made it by staying away from gangs and staying away from drugs."

"But the black community is inclusive, it will accept that person back. The white community tends to be exclusive. We don't exclude easily."

"If O.J. comes back at this time, there's a possibility he could influence other celebrity types." The call to Simpson won support from the Reverend Cecil L. Murray, senior minister of the First African Methodist Episcopal Church in Los Angeles, which counts among its congregation members of the O.J. Simpson family and Simpson trial prosecutor Christopher Darden.

"Now there is no more terror in the black community and no one would think of his coming back as a negative thing," Murray said in an interview after Sunday services. "But the black community is inclusive, it will accept that person back. The white community tends to be exclusive. We don't exclude easily."

Still, Mays and other activists contend Simpson can do good in the black community and that giving something back could help the former football great with his own "rebirth."

"This is a challenge to O.J. Simpson," Mays said. "If O.J. Simpson is what the media and certain persons have depicted, then O.J. Simpson may be that evil person... but I have a feeling there's a lot of good about O.J. the world doesn't know about."

This article is a written version of Ms. O'Neill's worldwide radio broadcast on Monitor Radio (for the Christian Science Monitor).
THE O.J. ISSUE: DELIBERATIONS ON JURY REFORM

by ROD RUMMELSBURG

In the aftermath of the O.J. Simpson verdict, District Attorney Gil Garcetti has been talking about revamping the jury system. Others have been talking about revamping Gil Garcetti. But Mr. Garcetti is doing a fine job. Granted his office has been unable to win convictions in high profile murder trials, but he has kept Los Angeles streets safe from Beverly Hills madams. And he has cracked down on street crime. Granted Los Angeles is still besieged by gang-related drive-by shootings, but we no longer hear about aging inebriated actresses or Zsa Zsa Gabor slapping police officers. Obviously, actresses fear Mr. Garcetti's prosecutorial wrath. Now Mr. Garcetti wants to add another weapon to his arsenal: jury reform.

The theory is that jury reform will make it harder for a jury to acquit a guilty defendant. Also jury reform might shorten the length of trials. For example, if we allowed a 10-2 consensus for a verdict instead of requiring unanimous agreement, the O.J. jury deliberation would have been different. Instead of taking 4 hours, the jury would have returned in 4 minutes. The following are some jury reform suggestions for Mr. Garcetti:

1. In acquitting O.J. Simpson, the jury was sending an unmistakable signal to the prosecution: "You questioned us too long!" This was apparent from the grim faces when the jurors filed back into the courtroom with the verdict. Many pundits misinterpreted their solemn expressions as an indication that the jury found O.J. guilty. But the proper interpretation of the expressions was: "If you sequester us another day, we're acquitting Manson, too!" Jurors should not be sequestered for long periods. It is cruel and unusual punishment without due process. Jurors should be free to return home each evening. It should not matter that jurors will see news coverage of the trial. "The message should be clear. Jury consultants are effective. Both the prosecution and defense must rely on them, or else they may end up with an impartial jury. The problem is how the State will be able to afford to pay for jury consultants for indigent criminals. Perhaps in lieu of a jury consultant, the State can pay for one free phone call to the Psychic Friends Network.

3. Preemptory challenges. Eliminating jurors for no particular reason is a well accepted trial practice. However, preemptory challenges have been limited to juror selection before the trial. They should be continued all through the trial. Based on juror body language, it is much easier to see how the jurors will vote as the trial progresses. Why require attorneys to do all the guess work up front?

4. Jury pool. Traditionally jurors have been selected from voter records or motor vehicle records. But the prosecution may need a law and order cross section of citizens. So jury pools could be taken from Rush Limbaugh studio audiences.

5. Limiting Closing Arguments. Traditionally the closing argument is a time for the prosecution and defense to weave new truths based on the testimony that survived impeachment and evidence that survived suppression. But the jury knows what is going on. The attorneys are not going to fool them. Let's spare them the recap and cut to the chase. The closing arguments should be limited to sound bites. For example, some sound bites a defense could use are: "If it doesn't fit, you must acquit." Or "There's a bucket of blood, but no nail, don't send O.J. off to jail." Or "A glove found from only one hand. Police should have been looking for a one-armed man." Or "Witness schmitness. The glove doesn't have fitness." At any rate, you get the idea.

Any of the above suggestions might be an improvement to our jury system. But the real problem may not be in our jury system, but in our adversarial system. The theory of the adversarial system is that the opposing sides will present all the facts to support their position such that the jury can make a finding of fact. And the fact in the O.J. case is that Columbian drug lords did it. O.J. Simpson had a fair trial under the adversarial system. But there must be a better way of getting at the truth.
# M. P. R. E. (MULTISTATE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAM) PREPARATION

Open to All Interested Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location Details</th>
<th>Contact Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>29 October, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>USD, Warren Hall Room 131</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 November, Wednesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>California Western School of Law, Room 2G</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>California Western School of Law, Room 2G</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>4 November, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Pepperdine University Extension (Irvine), Rm 23 2151 Michelson (1 block from J. W. Airport)</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>28 October, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Barpassers Lecture Hall</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 October, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>1231 Third Street Promenade</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Oct.-Nov., Mon.-Fri.</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p daily</td>
<td>Santa Monica</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Lower Level</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Nov.-9 Nov., Mon.-Thurs.</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>31 October, Tuesday</td>
<td>6:30p - 10:30p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Room 142</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Bannan 135</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Call for Room #</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>29 October, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Golden Gate University, Room 410</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Hastings College of Law</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>USF School of Law, Room 102</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Nov.-9 Nov., Mon.-Thurs.</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p daily</td>
<td>Barpassers Office, 282 Second St.</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Hastings College of Law</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>4 November, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Boalt Hall, Room 160</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Boalt Hall, Room 160</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>28 October, Saturday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>McGeorge School of Law, Classroom B</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>McGeorge School of Law, Classroom B</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>1 November, Wednesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>UC Davis, King Hall, Room 2004</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu</td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Pepperdine Law School, Room &quot;B&quot;</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyola Law School</td>
<td>2 November, Thursday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Loyola Law School</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Law School</td>
<td>30 October, Monday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Southwestern Law School, Room 523</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempe, AZ</td>
<td>5 November, Sunday</td>
<td>9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>ASU College of Law, Armstrong Hall, Room 119</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td>6 November, Monday</td>
<td>6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>U of A Law School, Room 139</td>
<td>LIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bring Yourself, a Pencil, and a Friend!

You do not need to be enrolled in Barpassers to attend. Everyone attending will receive a free flow chart and study guide. Barpassers enrollees will receive a Professional Responsibility outline and 200 sample MPRE practice questions.

BarPassers
1-800-723-PASS
barpassers@aol.com
# FINAL EXAM REVIEW LECTURES

“Early Bird” Series
Open to all students • Free of Charge • Enrollment in Barpassers not required
Bring Yourself, a Pencil, and a Friend! Everyone attending will receive a free flow chart or study guide where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
<th>TIMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL PROCEDURE</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 14</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 18</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 15</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 21</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIDENCE</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 21</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 22</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torts</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 29</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 5</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 11</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 12</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Orange County, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTITUTIONAL LAW</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 14</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 18</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 15</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 21</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 29</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>2:00p - 5:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>1:00p - 4:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 5</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 11</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 12</td>
<td>Hours 7-9</td>
<td>1:00p - 4:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLS</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 29</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINAL LAW</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 5</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTS</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 11</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 12</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATIONS</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles, Rm 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**San Diego**
California Western School of Law
Room 2C

**Los Angeles**
Barpassers Lecture Hall
1231 Third St. Promenade, Santa Monica
**Pacific Shore Hotel (Contracts lecture only)**
Corner of Pico and Ocean, Santa Monica

**Orange County**
Pepperdine University Extension
2151 Michelson Blvd.
One Block South of John Wayne Airport
(See Room numbers above)

---

BarPassers
1-800-723-PASS (7277)
The Law: or How to Get Through Second Year

by ERIK MENYUK

As a second year evening student I am now sitting through reams of text on Civil Procedure, The Administration of Criminal Justice and Federal Civil Law. The text for Constitutional Law, Gunther, alone would drive even the most brilliant jurists to question their understanding of the legal system and their understanding of the English language. The real question that always comes up is how does this apply to law that anyone would practice? To help my fellow students understand the meaning of the law and how it applies to modern day litigation, I propose that we combine the classes, as they seem to be all interrelated. This would decrease confusion, and lower the amount of money spent on incomprehensible text books and overpriced outline materials. The next course could be called something like, "The Administration and Procedure of Criminal, Civil, and Constitutional Justice." How exactly are these courses related? As an example of demonstration, I have written a hypothesis that could appear on the final for such a class.

Dale's - Los Angeles. Last June ex-football star A.J. Simpson (known as "The Apple") slept in his bed while drunk and accidentally practicing his chip shots and carrying his bags out for an upcoming trip to Chicago. At the same time his ex-wife, Nickel Green and a friend, Bob Silverberg, were brutally murdered a few blocks away. A.J., returning home, was woken up, was off to Chicago for a speaking engagement at "The Men's Awareness Center for Human Order." nationally known group for men to raise their awareness of their more feminine side, and relate to each other openly and emotionally, while drinking much beer and told disgusting sex jokes. Shortly after arriving in Chicago, A.J., was notified of his ex-wife's murder, and immediately returned to Los Angeles. A few days later he was on his way to a much needed vacation in the South of Mexico. However, he never arrived. He was inexplicably arrested, charged, and tried for the murders of his ex-wife and friend.

In a sterilo example of the United State criminal courts the trial was conducted with dignity and reserve, presided over by Judge Ego. The only low point was when a scuffie broke out among Simpleton's attorneys. Apparently one, F. Lea "Irish" Cream dropped often been called a Strong-Arm Statute by many out-of-state attorney's. Nickel Green's attorneys now had to contend with a suit filed by the U.S. Government. Congress had passed the Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. This act prohibited the interstate travel of any one who was suspected of a felony. At his criminal trial there was conflicting testimony as to when the police suspected A.J. Even though in most homicides family members are the first to be suspected, there was testimony by L.A.P.D Detective Asp Van Trap that A.J. was not suspected until after his return to California. A.J.'s attorney's contested The Act itself as being unconstitutional on the grounds that A.J. was not guilty of a Felony Murder. Would a jury just say, "Hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Discuss New York's "Strong-arm Statute" and the likelihood of New York having jurisdiction to hear the case. Pay close attention to:

a. What difference A.J.'s business dealings in the state have?

b. The statute's constitutionality?

c. Who's going to protest? Oh, yeah? You, and what army?

8. Discuss The Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. How would a jury deal with the following issues?

The statute's constitutionality. The fact that according to testimony in the criminal trial, A.J. was not a suspect at the time of his flight. Discuss all relevant issues including but not limited to:

1. Even though the D.A. had not proven the Acts Reus, did they at least show that A.J. had the Mens Rea (or to be PC Persons Rea) necessary for a Felony Murder?

2. If there had been medical testimony at trial to the effect that A.J. had been born with a genetically malignant heart and a generally deprimed mind, would this have been enough to prove murder?

3. Suppose there had been testimony at trial that placed A.J. at the scene, and he testified that he only went there to eat some Rocky Road and had been shoving Nickel and Bob some neat knife tricks for his upcoming "Hearse" commercial, when he accidentally tripped due to his condition, stabbed and split them both repeatedly.

Would that be enough for a Malignant Heart Murder? Would that be enough for Voluntary Manslaughter? Would that be enough for Manslaughter? Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose A.J. testified that he went there to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder?

What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder?

b. Would that be Manslaughter?

c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that the dog, Rambo, killed the two, and that A.J. was actually the owner of the dog. Would malice be implied and A.J. found guilty?

Discuss New York's "Strong-arm Statute" and the likelihood of New York having jurisdiction to hear the case. Pay close attention to:

a. What difference A.J.'s business dealings in the state have?

b. The statute's constitutionality?

c. Who's going to protest? Oh, yeah? You, and what army?

8. Discuss The Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. How would a jury deal with the following issues?

The statute's constitutionality. The fact that according to testimony in the criminal trial, A.J. was not a suspect at the time of his flight. Discuss all relevant issues including but not limited to:

1. Even though the D.A. had not proven the Acts Reus, did they at least show that A.J. had the Mens Rea (or to be PC Persons Rea) necessary for a Felony Murder?

2. If there had been medical testimony at trial to the effect that A.J. had been born with a genetically malignant heart and a generally deprimed mind, would this have been enough to prove murder?

3. Suppose there had been testimony at trial that placed A.J. at the scene, and he testified that he only went there to eat some rocky road ice cream, and had been shoving Nickel and Bob some neat knife tricks for his upcoming "Hearse" commercial, when he accidentally tripped due to his condition, stabbed and sliced them both repeatedly.

Would that be enough for a Malignant Heart Murder? Would that be enough for Voluntary Manslaughter? Would that be enough for Manslaughter? Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose A.J. testified that he went there to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder?

What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder?

b. Would that be Manslaughter?

c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that the dog, Rambo, killed the two, and that A.J. was actually the owner of the dog. Would malice be implied and A.J. found guilty?

Discuss New York's "Strong-arm Statute" and the likelihood of New York having jurisdiction to hear the case. Pay close attention to:

a. What difference A.J.'s business dealings in the state have?

b. The statute's constitutionality?

c. Who's going to protest? Oh, yeah? You, and what army?

8. Discuss The Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. How would a jury deal with the following issues?

The statute's constitutionality. The fact that according to testimony in the criminal trial, A.J. was not a suspect at the time of his flight. Discuss all relevant issues including but not limited to:

1. Even though the D.A. had not proven the Acts Reus, did they at least show that A.J. had the Mens Rea (or to be PC Persons Rea) necessary for a Felony Murder?

2. If there had been medical testimony at trial to the effect that A.J. had been born with a genetically malignant heart and a generally deprimed mind, would this have been enough to prove murder?

3. Suppose there had been testimony at trial that placed A.J. at the scene, and he testified that he only went there to eat some Rocky Road ice cream, and had been shoving Nickel and Bob some neat knife tricks for his upcoming "Hearse" commercial, when he accidentally tripped due to his condition, stabbed and sliced them both repeatedly.

Would that be enough for a Malignant Heart Murder? Would that be enough for Voluntary Manslaughter? Would that be enough for Manslaughter? Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?
DAY TRIPS and Night Crawls: finding your way around Amsterdam

by R.J. COMER

You Find Postcards:
Where ancient churches whisper secrets to resistant souls...
Where every amber afternoon is a mother's kiss on a child's wound...
Where orange awnings over white windows are flower beds, pampered like royalty,
catching light and veil in the generous spray of candid fountains...
Where tall beautiful women ride bicycles in mini skirts
and high heels
and no brassieres
and no hose
and no make-up
wearing only what's required by what little law exists...
Where the great American 'frontier is born dead
and surrender is just another word
for knowing there are things more valuable than pride...
Where cobblestones, relentlessly tortured into silence,
have long ago learned to drum...
Where light floating Klimtly on obsidian canals
is Jesus saving fishers
in a storm...
Where fearsome brown birds with sabre black beaks fly through your chamb-
er window,
perch on your headboard and demand attention ev-
every morning at day-
break...

You Find The Small World:
Where blind cops kick no ass...
Where stressed is frantic
and cool just can't have to many O's...
Where the smoke transforms imagined foes into demons
and smiles into prurient overtures...
Where age limits of any kind are more foreign than U.S. currency...
Where German sounds strangely like English with a thick Scottish accent
when you're lifting on your third Kopstoot at The Bulldog,
higher than KLM ever promised...
Where walls dance paint above covens of innocents
exploring Nepal and Morocco with matchsticks
and smoke grows beards on kids from everywhere...
Where tongues probe recent molars
and silken lids shade unbattered vulnerabilities...
Where the faces of the young are Van Gogh's lunacy of lionblossoms
rising from the serpent green...
Where the sounds of bombs guide you to a symphony for orchestra and
fireworks
above the bay...
Where spiral staircases in three- story hash houses present a uniquely
Dutch challenge...

You Find Gratification:
Where the streets run like the passions of angry women
and navigation instincts become frighteningly accurate...
Where vice is cheap and virtue is beyond comprehension...
Where sex costs two rolls of color film or just one Cuban cigar...
Where red neon washes sex- streaked burns on near naked women filling
doorways
in narrow alleys as throngs of non-Johns file past, eyeballs wedged into
corners...
Where the professionals are professional
and exiting one of those doorways, rejoining the parade, takes more guts
than going in...

You Find Libration:
Where the bottles hang like bats
and the richness of wood shines like the skin of bluesmen
playing guitar for guilders on the Liedsplein...
Where every well-stocked bar is a Wachol...
Where once young Dutch barmaids hoist themselves up there,
smoking Caballero cigarettes, crossing thirty years in an instant at the knee
with plenty of time- machine thigh
and somewhere tulips start blooming out of season...
Where desperate guys in bars older than my country
pitch the same lines to tolerant uninterested women
who half- smile the universal language of annoyance...
Where the best beer is Belgian and Dutch gin is a blow to the head...
Where the laughter of young Dutch women is a knife in the ribs of the lone-
ly...

You Find Companionship:
Where the faces of young Dutch women in love is why men paint bowls of
fruit
catching rays on mahogany window silts...
Where young Dutch women hit on men by standing next to them silently...
Where stroking the torn netted thighs of some
St. Pauli Girl gone punk
in The Melkveg is just the beginning...
Where she takes you on a tour into the bowels of an onyx night..
where she wakes to her speaking Dutch with the mean brown bird
suddenly doolce,
and you ask her what they're talking about
and she says "When you learn Dutch you can speak to the birds
yourself..."
Where the mean brown bird remains to witness your first taste of
Dutch culture,
and her kiss is a hundred doves lifting into flight
beside a sunlit fountain making rainbows at Vondel Park...
Where loneliness finally gives way to a tulip on the pillow of a tough
guy...

And You Find Your Way Home:
Where deceptively accessible beauty goes the way of dances danced,
reduced to new drinks named for brief loves...
Where hazy smiles of red- washed women end pressed in books...
Where the tales of a thousand brick serpents posing thinly as streets
run with canals and sing duets in languages yet unlearned.
The above-average intelligence of a defendant, certainly shows recklessness, because any reasonably prudent person, with the duty to be in class on time, might nor show a specific intent to inflict distress. However, it most certainly for such emotional distress.

The defendant walking to class, after the start of the lesson, might not show a specific intent to inflict distress. However, the defendant usually shows recklessness, because any reasonably prudent person, with the average intelligence of a law student would be aware not only of the duty to be in class on time, but of what time it is. Furthermore, the defendant is fully aware of the duty to be in class on time, even if the professor has begun his lecture, heedless of the effect defendant's act causes.

The act of tardiness is also, without a doubt, an example of extreme and outrageous conduct.

The General test for extreme and outrageous conduct again deals with the reasonable person's reaction. The reasonable person will say, "So?" then I add the fact that the professor had begun his lecture, and the defendant's act causes. The reasonable person will say, "Outrageous!", and interrupting the professor's train of thought. The particular case at hand is that the professor must elevate his intellectual level to merely look like a twig in Death Valley at the peak of summer.

CONCLUSION: defendant is guilty of intentional infliction of emotional distress by entering class after a lecture has begun. Defendant will put on probation, but upon next offense must hand in a written assignment of the professor's choice, or wear a dunce cap for the rest of class.

NOTE: If other professor of this fine learning institution decide to join Professor Selmi in this, we will most likely be faced with a class action suit. So, please be considerate, and don't be late. This includes all classes.

Rules:
1) Submit analysis only
2) Must be Loyola Law Student
3) Must be individual effort
4) Must be returned to newspaper office by January 10, 1996

Submit to: John Rogers, Associate, Editor Room R122 Raines

Hypothetical Case Series

Case #002

People v. Kiveg-Ho

Supreme Court of the Exxon-ADM Mars Colony, 2104
232 Mars 1002, 10 O.P. 3rd 2254

Cunningham, Justice.

The outgrowth of, organized, violent crime from our sister planets, most notably old Earth and its primary natural satellite, Luna, has been a source of concern and worry for this court for some several decades now. Raincourse, many offenses considered felonies accompany mankind everywhere it accompanies man, and many of the offender's offenses feloned off-handiman everywhere it accompanies man, and many of offenders considered felonies accompany mankind everywhere it accompanies man, and many of the offender's offenses feloned off-hand. mad

CONTEST

CRIMINAL LAW
"ANALYTICAL ABILITY" CONTEST

by JOHN ROGERS
Sometimes they would sail out to Catalina, then others climb mountains, skyscrapers and the like.

BOVITZ from page 1

Sovitz has developed a capacity to focus completely on a single crux, a single line up the face. He claims this ability to unify his thoughts has seeped over into his professional life, enabling him to maximize his time working through complex fact patterns, etc. Of all the different specialized versions of rock climbing, Sovitz says he is torn between leading normal faces and chimneying. Now, by chimneying he means actual chimneys. You might stay that steep...

Mountain Trails... cont'd from page 5

(right or east as you debooch onto the saddles). Your path takes strikes up the slope on the right- a sandy ridge route. Don't worry - it won't stay that steep.

Stay with the path for about 3/4 of a mile - passing over some exciting minor faces along the way. The final ascent, through the gray boulders along the class 3 route, is what hiking is all about. This is without doubt the finest half-hour of fan-technical climbing in Southern California (Anteck Rock out near Desert Divide is close). Don't get intimidated. Just take your time.

Follow Walt Wheelock's old green arrows - but, if you can't seem to find them (I lose them myself frequently), the rule is: always follow a droptoway too far either left or right. The summit is about twenty five minutes away.

Follow the same route back to the car.

Generally speaking, I believe you will find this six hours infinitely superior to the thirty hours you will have to spend with your two tutors, Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Emanuel.

Be sure to crack one of those Pedo-hike beers for me...

Acknowledgments: Special thanks to Professor Stanley Goldman for his assistance in
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armor, were unhurt. None struck any hostages.

However, fourteen hostages were struck and killed by the "seek and find" ammunition, which, apparently malfunctioned at a basic operative level, defaulted to proximity, and sought them out relentlessly.

Follow the same route back to the car.

The defendant appeals from a conviction, by jury, of first-degree felony murder as the result of the deaths which occurred during the armed robbery. The court of appeals affirmed, in United States v. Redding, Wright and Sears. For reasons hereinafter following, we reverse and remand for trial at the colonial adversary level in keeping with this decision.

Analysis...
EXPERIENCE

Over 25 years of experience with the California Bar Exam.

#1 choice among California Bar Exam applicants.

Last summer, more students took BAR/BRI than any other course.

OUTLINES

Outlines written by ABA Law School Professors, many of whom are casebook and hornbook authors.

BAR/BRI's Bar Exam oriented outlines are clear & concise. Separate outlines for each subject tested.

Students receive a black letter law capsule summary of all subjects. This Mini Review includes flow charts and comparison charts.

FACULTY

Distinguished ABA Law School Professors lecturing in their area of expertise. Professors include: Charles Whitebread (USC); Willie Fletcher (Boalt Hall); Richard Sakai (Santa Clara); Therese Maynard (Loyola); John Diamond (Hastings); Richard Wydick (U.C. Davis); Peter Jan Honigsberg (USF); Erwin Chemerinsky (USC).

MULTISTATE EXAM PREPARATION

Gilbert 6-Day Multistate Workshop

Offered to BAR/BRI enrollees at no additional cost. Each day covers a separate Multistate subject. The format consists of an exam followed by a lecture which reviews the answers and highlights the areas most tested on the Bar Exam.

BAR/BRI Multistate Workshops

These workshops are integrated with your substantive law lectures throughout the BAR/BRI course. Substantive lectures sequentially followed by Multistate workshop (e.g., Crimes and Torts substantive lectures followed by a Crimes and Torts Multistate workshop). This is designed to give students the maximum benefit from these workshops.

Gilbert 3-Day Multistate Workshop

Offered to BAR/BRI enrollees at no additional cost. The format consists of a full-day simulated Multistate Exam, that is computer graded and analyzed, given under exam conditions followed by two days of thorough analysis of each question, subject by subject, reviewing substantive law as well as methodology and technique.

Multistate Materials

Over 3000 practice Multistate questions, including 700 ACTUAL MBE QUESTIONS from the National Conference of Bar Examiners and a simulated Multistate Exam.

COMPUTER SOFTWARE

Revolutionary "STUDY SMART™" personalized software. Sophisticated software package includes various options:

• Multiple Choice Questions
• Outlines
• Capability for immediate review of key bar exam principles
• Instantaneous diagnostic feedback in 30+ MBE subareas
• The ability to customize program with personal notes

ALL AT NO ADDED COST

ESSAY EXAM PREPARATION

Essay Exam Workshops

BAR/BRI's essay workshops, featuring Professor Richard Sakai, develop skills in writing essays specifically for the California Bar Exam. These skills are emphasized through in-class exercises.

Practice Essay Exam Materials

Graded and critiqued practice essay exams. Over 110 actual past California Bar Exam essay questions with model answers.

PERFORMANCE TEST PREPARATION

Performance Test Workshops

Featuring Professor Peter Jan Honigsberg, BAR/BRI teaches a time/data management system necessary to cope with this unique portion of the Bar Exam.

Practice Performance Test Materials

Graded and critiqued practice performance tests. 10 actual past California Bar Exam performance tests with model answers.

GRADED SIMULATED BAR EXAM

A simulated Bar Exam given over two consecutive weekends that includes all three sections on the California Bar Exam (Essay, Performance and Multistate). This split exam format helps prevent student "burn out" which may occur when students take a full simulated Bar Exam a few weeks prior to the actual Bar Exam.

Essay Performance and Multistate simulated exams are graded and critiqued.

STUDY SCHEDULE

Students utilize a structured Paced Program™ that gives a daily study schedule organized so that their performance will peak at the most important time: the 3 days of the Bar Exam.

LECTURES

Live lectures and workshops are offered at most major locations throughout California.
"I Heard PMBR Was Excellent..."

March 8, 1995

Dear Mr. Feeney:

Your score is a complete success! I am a PMBR graduate who took the three-day Multistate Review Course in preparation for the July 1994 Texas Bar Exam. Because of PMBR, I scored a 188 on the Multistate section of the exam and, thankfully, passed the Bar. I had heard that PMBR was excellent preparation for the Bar. Now, I believe it!

There are a few things I really liked about PMBR. First, the PMBR books really prepare you for the kinds of questions on the MBE. Apart from having literally thousands of practice questions, the books are packed with charts, summaries, and explanations that explain why a particular answer is correct. Second, the classroom instruction is excellent. Believe me, many of the MBE questions test timeline, distinction, and going over these distinctions and by teaching us skills for answering such tricky questions.

As anyone who has taken the bar will tell you, during the last days of the bar review, the value of points of trial and confidence is unmeasurable. Many of my friends who did not supplement with PMBR thought that the MBE exam was extremely difficult. Having reviewed the PMBR questions, however, I was not surprised by the difficulty of the MBE. In fact, I felt the first day of the Bar was not particularly difficult, in comparison to the difficulty of the MBE. In fact, I felt the first day on the Bar was not particularly difficult, in comparison to the difficulty of the MBE. I am very pleased with the products that I have received my results. I can strongly recommend PMBR to all students wanting an "edge" on the MBE.

Thanks Again,
Amy Hampton
(940 Univ. of Texas Law Grad.)

Now I Believe It!"

NATIONWIDE TOLL FREE: (800) 523-0777

Can You Fail Every Essay & Still Pass Cal. Bar Exam?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>150 MBE Score</th>
<th>155 MBE Score</th>
<th>160 MBE Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 1:</strong> Multiply MBE score by 10 (150 x 10 = 1500)</td>
<td><strong>STEP 2:</strong></td>
<td><strong>STEP 3:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 2:</strong> 1550 = 1450</td>
<td><strong>STEP 2:</strong> 1600 - 150 = 150 points</td>
<td><strong>STEP 3:</strong> 52.5 MBE points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 3:</strong> 35 MBE points</td>
<td><strong>STEP 4:</strong> 35 points then &quot;carry over&quot; to the essay section.</td>
<td><strong>STEP 3:</strong> These 52.5 points then &quot;carry over&quot; to the essay section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>Add MBE pts. = 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YOU PASS!</strong></td>
<td><strong>YOU PASS!</strong></td>
<td><strong>YOU PASS!</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If You Nail The MBE!