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Scott Bovitz, '80, is a rather self-effacing fellow; polite and soft-spoken. Not at all the sort of person one might judge at first blush an adventurer or a maverick. In his professional life, he is the partner, with his wife, Susan Spitzer, of Debar-Dealer-Debtor II (Bankruptcy) and the "Senate M. Brink Award for Bankruptcy" during the course of his studies and was a staff member for the International and Comparative Law Annual.

After passing the California Bar in 1980, he worked his way up through several major Los Angeles bankruptcy firms (for example, Shea & Gould and Cervantes & Luna), eventually striking out on his own in 1991. His true calling is statutory-based law; things like UCC, tax, creditor & business law. In keeping with that, he taught bankruptcy & UCC law here at LLS, graduating in 1980; 1982, self- avowed DINK's (Double-Income, No Kids) operate a successful bankruptc' law practice. including Attorney General Janet Reno and a number of LLS professors. They don't take overseas war crimes cases or LLS professors.

So, it comes as something of a surprise, you could even say shock, when Bovitz begins to relate some of his extracurricular activities. Many of them, as you will see, are, well, non-traditional. And a few are downright dangerous...

But before we explore that, a bit of a bio on the man. Bovitz received his B.A. (Double Major: Hist. & Poli. Sci.) from UCSB in 1977. He attended the full-time day program here at LLS, graduating in 1980. He was awarded the "American Jurisprudence Award, Debtor-Creditor II (Bankruptcy)" and the "Senate M. Brink Award for Bankruptcy" during the course of his studies and was a staff member for the International and Comparative Law Annual.

The trial of the century is finally over. For those living in caves for the past month or so, football great, sportscaster, actor, and all-around celebrity personality O.J. Simpson was acquitted of the murder of his ex-wife and her friend, Mr. Ron Goldman. Apparently, LAPD is at a loss for leads, and the investigation continues.

It's tempting to curl your lip and sneer at the "media circus" the trial caused, what with all the catfights among high-priced counsel, time-consuming motion after time-consuming motion bearing on almost everything related to the case and, of course, the library full of book deals waiting for any participant in the trial who wants one. If you're a lip-cutter, you have some illustrious company, including Attorney General Janet Reno and a number of LLS professors.

But don't kid yourself. The O.J. case could turn out to be a big deal in some unexpected ways, some of which strike at the heart of fairness and justice in America. The air is filled with talk of "reform." Remember that court cases as entertainment are a tradition as venerable in the U.S. as apple pie and media-bashing, and this is just the latest manifestation of that. I don't think proposals to limit media coverage on major trials will be easily instituted, however desirable they may be or appear to be.

Stay tuned: this story's not even close to being over yet.

As might be expected, the verdicts aroused their share of opinions on this campus. Practically everyone has an opinion of some kind about the case. Frankly, I'm surprised we didn't get more submissions from students.

If you want to submit any article, letter, whatever, about the O.J. case, there's still time to do so for the November 30 issue [deadline is November 21, 1995]. We welcome any and all comments about the case and the pieces published in this issue of the Loyola Reporter. For example, if you disagree with someone's analysis, say so, and say why. We don't care what your opinion is, so long as you don't express it in a libelous manner.

The editors under the "O.J. ISSUE" heading range from the report of professional journalist on the African-American community's reaction, to proposals for jury reform and other more obliquely-stated expressions of opinion about the case. Any opinions are those of the authors, not the Loyola Reporter, or Loyola Law School.

continued on page 12
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POSITIONS AVAILABLE ON THE LOYOLA REPORTER

Staff Reporters Wanted:

THE LOYOLA REPORTER HAS OPENINGS FOR THE FOLLOWING POSITIONS. THESE POSTS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED TO HAVE MINIMUM IMPACT ON STUDY/COURSE TIME. THEY WILL REQUIRE A MAXIMUM OF ONE HOUR PER WEEK CALLING THE COURTS AND OTHER SOURCES THEN SUMMARIZING EVENTS. REPORTERS OPERATE INDEPENDENTLY; SUBMITTING TYPE-WRITTEN OR DISKETTE STATUS REPORTS EVERY OTHER FRIDAY.

1) Menendez Trial Watch
2) Oklahoma Bombing Trials/Events Watch
3) Snoop Doggy Dog Trial Watch
4) LLS Event Watch
5) Sister Schools Watch (USC, UCLA, Pepperdine, Southwestern, Whittier)
6) Asian Sphere Watch
7) European Theatre Watch (Unavailable/Already Covered)
8) Latin/South America Watch
9) General North America Watch
10) L.A. Superior/Municipal Courts Watch
11) Post-O.J. murder trial Watch
12) Congressional Student Loan action Watch
13) El Monte Thai worker case Watch
14) Cypress Park Gang slaying watch
15) LLS administration watch
16) State Bar Abolition watch

*Interested students should submit a short writing sample along with name, address & phone to the Loyola Reporter's box in the Information center on the second floor of the Burns Building, or stick it under the door at 122 Rains Building.

Jumpstart Your Legal Career

Read the paper that covers the law better than any other source: the Los Angeles Daily Journal.

Loyola students can now get the Daily Journal for one-month free before 12/31/95.

Join the legal community while you’re still at Loyola. Subscribe to the Los Angeles Daily Journal.

Mail or fax this form today. Need more answers? Call (213) 229-5425.
INFORMATION ON MEETING YOUR PRO-BONO REQUIREMENT

LUCI CHUN

IF YOU ARE A SECOND-YEAR DAY STUDENT, YOU NEED TO COMPLETE YOUR PRO BONO REQUIREMENT BY DECEMBER, 1996. NO EXCEPTIONS!!

The following is a list of some ways in which you may fulfill this requirement.

1. Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) course is only offered in the spring semester. If you are planning to use VITA to fulfill your pro-bono requirement, then sign up with Lynne Green. VITA is a one-unit course that consists of 12 hours of training to be done on a weekend and 20 hours of on-site work that will be held in various locations around Los Angeles. VITA will fulfill 32 of the 40 hours of the pro-bono requirement. You will need 8 additional hours to meet the pro-bono requirement. It is preferred that the pro-bono requirement be completed in a single semester.

2. ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union) needs volunteers to do intake of clients. Please see Sande Pond for more information.

3. Asian Pacific American Legal Center & the ACLU need volunteers to help with legal research on the Thai Workers case.

4. If you are interested in assisting victims of domestic violence, there are a number of organizations that need help. These are only a few of the numerous pro-bono opportunities that arise. Please stop by the externship/pro-bono office in the Burns Building for more information or contact Sande Pond for more information.

* [Editor's note] The Thai workers case involves immigration deportation appeals by Thai garment workers who were held as virtual prisoners in a squalid compound in El Monte.

PHI DELTA PHI INITIATION

LLS Moot Court; Friday: October 27, 1995

The Aggeler Inn, Loyola Law School's Phi Delta Phi (PDP) chapter hosted that fraternity's Southern California initiation ritual in the Moot Court on the evening of Friday, Oct. 27. Present were the new initiates, their family members, the staff of LLS's chapter, the fraternity's Judicial robes, conducted the ceremony - each of the five members speaking on the various tenets and bylaws of the organization. Phi Delta Phi is generally regarded as more than a scholastic-excellence fraternity and the ceremony reflected as much, focusing on ethics and a moral commitment to justice.

Of note were the short post-induction reflections of PDP's new President, Rodrigo Sanchez-Mejorada Velasco of Mexico City. Velasco, the first Mexican President of PDP and an articulate speaker, elaborated on the hope he had for the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) as a means through which Mexican, American and Canadian attorneys could increase and improve their level of mutual understanding.

Following that, Dean McLaughlin introduced Judge Reinhardt, Reinhardt, well-known for his left-leaning beliefs, delivered a strongly worded speech on re-building the image of the law through improved race relations, dispelling the distorted impression of the industry caused by the Simpson trial and, essentially, working towards community betterment through public service.

Reinhardt was most effective in his impassioned endorsement of Affirmative Action and in his call to arms for young civil rights attorneys. Perhaps what made the words meaningful was the growing reluctance on the part of judicial figures to actually state liberal opinions in public anymore. Listeners didn't necessarily have to agree with his point of view to concede the power of his message.

After the ceremony, a wine and sandwich reception, co-sponsored by BarBri, took place in the lobby of the Burns building.
What FYR/Advanced Seminars Will Do For You...

- Provide Exam Analysis and Issue Spotting for each area covered.
- Outline and Analyze two final exam hypotheticals for each area covered.
- Structure Adversary Arguments within the RICF format.
- Provide Writing Techniques for each area covered.
- Outline and Analyze two final exam hypotheticals for each area covered.
- Provide Excellent Review for Multistate Examinations.
- Most of all, train you to Write Superior Answers.
- In addition, each student will have the opportunity to write one Exam Hypothetical in each subject area. The completed exam may be sent to Fleming's Fundamentals of Law, 23166 Los Alisos Blvd., Suite 238, Mission Viejo, CA 92691, along with a blank cassette tape and enclosed self-addressed envelope (required for its return). The exam will be critiqued extensively through audio cassette and returned to the student.
Cigars Are A Passion, Not A Trend

Grendel's Notebook is a new, regular column in the Loyola Reporter. It's a series of lurid reflections and ruminations of high--and--low--life as experienced by R. J. Comer. Grendel is the monster from the epic poem Beowulf, who ultimately slain As will become obvious, Mr. Comer prefers the fault-ridden but fun-loving Grendel over that pain-in-the-ass Boy Scout Beowulf.

It never fails; I'm enjoying a Punch Super Rothschild Maduro or a Hoy de Monterrey Excalibur and someone mentions how "trendy" cigars are these days. Well, I've been smoking cigars for more than ten fucking years and will be smoking them long after the "cigar cafe" and "cigar bar" fad has become mere curiosities of the late twenty C. I've smoked cigars while bartending at the PILL Auction for three years now and it wasn't until this year that many of my customers were smoking them too, usually with the wrapper falling off like a wet paper towel. Who, didn't know a guillotine cut from a wedge. I felt like Mark Twain who once wrote: "Children of twenty-five five attempt to tell me the difference between a good cigar and a bad one. Me, who has always smoked, but never learned to smoke. Me, who was born asking for a light."
The point here is drop a tobacco-stained gauntlet at the feet of every poser who hadn't smoked a cigar until Schwarzenegger or Letterman made it okay. The trend will fade and those of us for whom cigars are a passion will remain. Therefore, for those of you who smoke cigars, or for those of you who are intimately involved with a cigar, I offer an anecdote to illuminate the past.

I was closing in on my thirtieth birthday when I started dating a very bright UCLA law student who hadn't yet made it to the quarter century mark. She was smoldering, hotter than a ten dollar pistol and just as unpredictable. So one night (ehh morning) I show up on her doorstep after a Hollywood pr0w of immense proportions, reeking with the combined aromas of beer, sweat, bourbon, and several cigars. The door opens, and a bunch woman who does the kissing; me at first tentatively and then deeply, very deeply, the kind of kiss that takes R to NC-17. When she disengaged she looked up at me and said: "I love the taste of vice on a man's lips." And I knew right then that this romance would someday end badly, but the ride to destruction would be a smokin' wild ride into the black ash of night.

And that is why cigars are a passion, not a trend. If you live in the moment, you do not deny your passions. You don't dilute your passions. Your passions are the slideshow of your soul. Love burns and cigars cause fire, but who gives a fuck? If you can't accept the risks of love or cigars or anything else you want to do, then you haven't passion enough to enjoy them fully. You are a trend follower, a poser, a lover in smoke, and quite probably a poser in life. Move to the burbs, buy insurance. And those of you who are in love with cigar smokers, love the taste of their passion on their lips. It may not be as sweet as peach cobbler, but wouldn't you rather taste the truth of them in every kiss, than some sugar-coated lie?

Yet another hastily-shot vision of a dystopian future Los Angeles. This time not quite as extreme as Bladerunner. Here, director Kathryn Bigelow borrows a few topics from Brainstorm (mainly memory/experience replication), tosses in the usual burned-out ex-con, adds a High Five, to see, if it turns out that, yes, there are things over seen by Mr. Comer. It's possible that the 50% of skidrow associates mobilize. Lenny finds purpose, etc, You see, it turns out that, yes, there are things even Lenny cares about; namely his now- successful, ex-hooker girlfriend and, I guess, race relations in America. Obviously, producer and co-writer James Cameron (Terminator(s), Aliens, True Lies) was hoping to lend a touch of "meaning" with his milleni-um- closing, racial- "power- keg" motif. But he doesn't give us enough of a con- nection with the rap-star merry; my plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing in the cold, dark with nightmares in their eyes. Lenny's existence is a sor- diest mixed-bag. If you are a trend follower, you're looking for something. And I knew right then that this romance would someday end badly, but the ride to destruction would be a smokin' wild ride into the black ash of night.

Yet another hastily-shot vision of a dystopian future Los Angeles. This time not quite as extreme as Bladerunner. Here, director Kathryn Bigelow borrows a few topics from Brainstorm (mainly memory/experience replication), tosses in the usual burned-out ex-con, adds a to see, if it turns out that, yes, there are things that you have missed 'under vague circum- stances. Lenny, as played by Ralph Fiennes, won't be winning any Steven Awards for acting in this role. He's a rather meek, haunted little fellow, peddler boot-leg mem- orials via a pirated government gazette designed to record human brain- waves. The idea is if you put on this high-tech headset and plug in a tiny CD, you can with it your emotions, physical sensations and so on of the person who "lived" them (assuming that person chose to record using the same device). As a sc-1 concept, this is not with- out promise. But Christopher Walken and Natalie Wood did the same thing about ten years ago in Brainstorm.

Lenny's existence is a sor- diest mixed-bag of clothed clothes, ran- cido food and cheap bars. This life, we are shown, is a sad reflection of the man at his party. It is because of this apathy that Lenny has elected to stay a penny- pinching, a poser, a lover in smoke, a lover in life. Move to the burbs, buy insurance. And those of you who are in love with cigar smokers, love the taste of their passion on their lips. It may not be as sweet as peach cobbler, but wouldn't you rather taste the truth of them in every kiss, than some sugar-coated lie?

Yet another hastily-shot vision of a dystopian future Los Angeles. This time not quite as extreme as Bladerunner. Here, director Kathryn Bigelow borrows a few topics from Brainstorm (mainly memory/experience replication), tosses in the usual burned-out ex-con, adds a High Five, to see, if it turns out that, yes, there are things over seen by Mr. Comer. It's possible that the 50% of skidrow associates mobilize. Lenny finds purpose, etc, You see, it turns out that, yes, there are things even Lenny cares about; namely his now- successful, ex-hooker girlfriend and, I guess, race relations in America. Obviously, producer and co-writer James Cameron (Terminator(s), Aliens, True Lies) was hoping to lend a touch of "meaning" with his milleni- um- closing, racial- "power- keg" motif. But he doesn't give us enough of a con- nection with the rap-star merry; my plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing in the cold, dark with nightmares in their eyes. Lenny's existence is a sor- diest mixed-bag. If you are a trend follower, you're looking for something. And I knew right then that this romance would someday end badly, but the ride to destruction would be a smokin' wild ride into the black ash of night.

Yet another hastily-shot vision of a dystopian future Los Angeles. This time not quite as extreme as Bladerunner. Here, director Kathryn Bigelow borrows a few topics from Brainstorm (mainly memory/experience replication), tosses in the usual burned-out ex-con, adds a High Five, to see, if it turns out that, yes, there are things over seen by Mr. Comer. It's possible that the 50% of skidrow associates mobilize. Lenny finds purpose, etc, You see, it turns out that, yes, there are things even Lenny cares about; namely his now- successful, ex-hooker girlfriend and, I guess, race relations in America. Obviously, producer and co-writer James Cameron (Terminator(s), Aliens, True Lies) was hoping to lend a touch of "meaning" with his milleni- um- closing, racial- "power- keg" motif. But he doesn't give us enough of a con- nection with the rap-star merry; my plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing in the cold, dark with nightmares in their eyes. Lenny's existence is a sor- diest mixed-bag. If you are a trend follower, you're looking for something. And I knew right then that this romance would someday end badly, but the ride to destruction would be a smokin' wild ride into the black ash of night.

Yet another hastily-shot vision of a dystopian future Los Angeles. This time not quite as extreme as Bladerunner. Here, director Kathryn Bigelow borrows a few topics from Brainstorm (mainly memory/experience replication), tosses in the usual burned-out ex-con, adds a High Five, to see, if it turns out that, yes, there are things over seen by Mr. Comer. It's possible that the 50% of skidrow associates mobilize. Lenny finds purpose, etc, You see, it turns out that, yes, there are things even Lenny cares about; namely his now- successful, ex-hooker girlfriend and, I guess, race relations in America. Obviously, producer and co-writer James Cameron (Terminator(s), Aliens, True Lies) was hoping to lend a touch of "meaning" with his milleni- um- closing, racial- "power- keg" motif. But he doesn't give us enough of a con- nection with the rap-star merry; my plot drops in our laps to make it matter that much. In the end, Lenny, his sole remaining buddy, the memory device, the former girl- friend, all the bad guys plus ten thousand angry partygoers stand- ing in the cold, dark with nightmares in their eyes. Lenny's existence is a sor- diest mixed-bag. If you are a trend follower, you're looking for something. And I knew right then that this romance would someday end badly, but the ride to destruction would be a smokin' wild ride into the black ash of night.
THE O.J. ISSUE:

O.J. AS ROLE MODEL FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS?

by STEPHANIE O'NEILL

This article is a written version of Ms. O'Neill's worldwide radio broadcast on Monitor Radio [for the Christian Science Monitor].

"But the black community is inclusive, it will accept that person back. The white community tends to be exclusive. We don't exclude easily."

"If O.J. comes back at this time, there's a possibility he could influence other celebrity types."

"O.J. Simpson may be that evil person, but I have a feeling there's a lot of good about O.J. the world doesn't know about."

Sam Theus, head of the 27-year-old "Help Public Service Foundation," which uses role models to encourage youngsters to stay in school and live successful lives, said the community should instead celebrate and exult what he says are its real "heroes."

"Put a real role model in there," Theus said. "A young man that sacrificed for an education that went through all the trials and tribulations of living in the ghetto and still made it by staying away from gangs and staying away from drugs."

Still, Mays and other activists contend Simpson can do good in the black community and that giving something back could help the former football great with his "rebirth."

"This is a challenge to O.J. Simpson," Mays said. "If O.J. Simpson is what the media and certain persons have depicted, then O.J. Simpson may be that evil person... but I have a feeling there's a lot of good about O.J. the world doesn't know about."

The Loyola Reporter is published by students for the students, faculty and alumni of Loyola Law School of Los Angeles. Any opinion expressed in the Loyola Reporter is that of the author and not necessarily the opinion of the Loyola Reporter, the Day or Evening Student Bar Associations, or Loyola Law School. Any person may submit photos, articles, opinions or letters to the editor. Publication is subject to the discretion of the editorial board and is not guaranteed. Photos and articles submitted become property of the Loyola Reporter and will not be returned. However, we will return all disks as long as we are provided with a student/group mailbox number. Submissions and inquiries should be delivered to the information desk on the second floor of the Burns building or to the Loyola Reporter office in the Rains building (behind the Moot Court) or (213) 736-8117.

THE LOYOLA REPORTER, 919 S. Albany St., L.A., P.O. Box 15019 CA 90015-0019
In the aftermath of the O.J. Simpson verdict, District Attorney Gil Garcetti has been talking about revamping the jury system. Others have been talking about Beverly Hills madams. And he has cracked about revamping Gil Garcetti.

Angeles streets safe from Beverly

Gil Garcetti is still besieged by gang-

ers. Granted his office has been unable

But Mr. Garcetti is doing a fine job.

n about revamping Gil Garcetti.

GRANTED his office has been unable

Mr. Garcetti is doing a fine job.

ber to his arsenal: jury reform.

Mr. Garcetti wants to add another

weapon to his arsenal: jury reform.

DICT instead of requiring unanimous

reform will make it harder for a jury

to acquit a guilty defendant. Also

allowed jury reform might shorten the length

trials. For example, if we

2. Jury consultants. The O.J.

jury consultants are effective. Both the

prosecution in the Simi Valley

trials. The message should be clear. Jury

consultants are effective. Both the

prosecution and defense must rely on them, or else they may end up with an impartial jury.

The problem is how the State will be able to afford to pay for jury consultants for indigent criminals. Perhaps in lieu of a jury consultant, the State can pay for one free phone call to the Psychic Friends Network.

3. Preemptory challenges. Eliminating jurors for no particular reason is a well accepted trial prac-
tice. However, preemptory challenges have been limited to jury selection before the trial. They

should continue all through the trial. Based on juror body language, it is much easier to see how the

juries will vote as the trial progresses. Why require attorneys to do all the guess work up front?

4. Jury pool. Traditionally jurors have been selected from voter

records or motor vehicle records. But the prosecution may need a law and order cross section of citi-

zens. So jury pools could be taken from Rush Limbaugh studio audi-

ences.

5. Limiting Closing Arguments. Traditionally the closing argument is a time for the prosecution and defense to weave new truths based on the testimony that survived impeachment and evidence that survived suppression. But the jury

knows and loving of Los Angeles. It is cruel and unusual punishment without
due process. Jurors should be free to return home each evening. It should not matter that jurors will see news coverage of the trial. The prosecution only needs to select jurors who will not believe what they hear. If the O.J. defense team can do this, so can the prosecution.

Finally, some good news about AIDS.

If you're pregnant, please get on HIV test. For confidential HIV/AIDS information 24 hours a day, call 1 800 342-2437.

Pediatric AIDS Foundation
# M. P. R. E.  
(MULTISTATE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAM)  
PREPARATION  
Open to All Interested Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates and Times</th>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>29 October, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>USD, Warren Hall Room 131 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 November, Wednesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>California Western School of Law, Room 2G LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>California Western School of Law, Room 2G LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>4 November, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Pepperdine University Extension (Irvine), Rm 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2151 Michelson (1 block from J. W. Airport)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>28 October, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Barpassers Lecture Hall LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 October, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>1231 Third Street Promenade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Oct.-3Nov., Mon.-Fri. 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Santa Monica Lower Level LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Barpassers Office, 282 Second St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Nov.-9 Nov., Mon.-Thurs. 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Hastings College of Law LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>31 October, Tuesday 6:30p - 10:00p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Room 142 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Bannan 135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Santa Clara School of Law, Call for Room #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>29 October, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Golden Gate University, Room 410 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 November, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Hastings College of Law LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>USF School of Law, Room 102 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Nov.-9 Nov., Mon.-Thurs. 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Barpassers Office, 282 Second St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Hastings College of Law LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>4 November, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>Boalt Hall, Room 160 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Boalt Hall, Room 160 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>28 October, Saturday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>McGeorge School of Law, Classroom B LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 November, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>McGeorge School of Law, Classroom B LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>1 November, Wednesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>UC Davis, King Hall, Room 2004 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu</td>
<td>7 November, Tuesday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Pepperdine Law School, Room &quot;B&quot; LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyola Law School</td>
<td>2 November, Thursday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Loyola Law School LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Law School</td>
<td>30 October, Monday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>Southwestern Law School, Room 523 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempe, AZ</td>
<td>5 November, Sunday 9:30a - 1:00p</td>
<td>ASU College of Law, Armstrong Hall, Room 119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td>6 November, Monday 6:00p - 9:30p</td>
<td>U of A Law School, Room 139 LIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bring Yourself, a Pencil, and a Friend!  
You do not need to be enrolled in Barpassers to attend.  
Everyone attending will receive a free flow chart and study guide.  
Barpassers enrollees will receive a Professional Responsibility outline and 200 sample MPRE practice questions.

**Southern California**  
1231 Third Street Promenade  
Santa Monica, CA 90401  
(310) 394-1529  
FAX (310) 394-6347

**Northern California**  
282 2nd Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 896-2900  
FAX (415) 896-1439
**FINAL EXAM REVIEW LECTURES**

"Early Bird" Series

Open to all students • Free of Charge • Enrollment in Barpassers **not required**

Bring Yourself, a Pencil, and a Friend! Everyone attending will receive a free flow chart or study guide where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
<th>TIMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL PROCEDURE</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 14</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Arthur Miller</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard Law School</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 18</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIDENCE</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 15</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Ray Guzman</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arkansas School of Law</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORTS</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 21</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 22</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTITUTIONAL LAW</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTS</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>October 29</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLS</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINAL LAW</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 4</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>1:00p - 4:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 5</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUSTS</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 5</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>November 11</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Hours 4-6</td>
<td>1:30p - 5:00p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATIONS</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>November 12</td>
<td>Hours 7-9</td>
<td>1:00p - 4:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor John Moye</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>October 28</td>
<td>Hours 1-3</td>
<td>9:00a - 12:30p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Live Lecture</strong></td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**BarPassers**

1-800-723-PASS (7277)

---

**BarPassers'**

**Los Angeles**

Barpassers Lecture Hall
1231 Third St. Promenade, Santa Monica

**San Diego**

California Western School of Law
Room 2C

Marina Village Conference Center (Nov 12 & 18)
1936 Quivira Way, Room D-1

**Orange County**

Pepperdine University Extension
2151 Michelson Blvd.
One Block South of John Wayne Airport
(See Room numbers above)

---

**Southern California Office**
1231 Third Street Promenade
Santa Monica, CA 90401
(310) 394-1529
FAX (310) 394-6347

---

**Northern California Office**
282 2nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 896-2900
FAX (415) 896-1439
The Law: or How to Get Through Second Year

by ERIL MENYUK

As a second year evening student I am now sitting through reams of text on Civil Procedure, The Administration of Criminal Justice, and Constitutional Law. The text for Constitutional Law, Gunther, alone would drive even the most brilliant jurist to question their understanding of the law. Their understanding of that matter, their understanding of the English language. The real question that always comes up is how does this apply to law that anyone would practice? To help my fellow students understand the meaning of the law, and how it applies to modern day situations, here is a problem that we combine the classes, as they seem to be all interrelated. This would decrease confusion, and lower the amount of money spent on incomprehensible text books and overpriced outline materials. The next course could be called something like, "The Administration and Procedure of Criminal, Civil, and Constitutional Justice." How exactly are these courses related? By way of demonstration, I have written a hypothesis that could appear on the final for such a class.

A.J. and the New York prosecutor's case.

The last June ex-football star A.J. simpson (known as The Apple) slept in his bed while simultaneously practicing his chip shots and carrying his bags out for an upcoming trip to Chicago. At the same time his ex-wife, Nickel Green and a friend, Bob Silverberg, were brutally murdered a few blocks away. A.J., returning home, woke up, was off to Chicago for a speaking engagement at "The Men's Awareness Center for Humane Order," a group for men to raise their awareness of their more feminine side and relate to each other openly and emotionally, while downing quite a bit of beer and telling disgusting sex jokes. Shortly after arriving in Chicago, A.J., was notified of his ex-wife's murder and immediately returned to Los Angeles. A few days later he was on his way to a much needed vacation in the South of Mexico. However, he never arrived. He was inexplicably arrested, charged, and tried for the murder of his ex-wife and friend.

In a sterling example of the大陆 probe which had turned up nothing when two of the agents had inexplicably disappeared while undercover. Now White, being a resident of New York, filed her suit in a New York court. New York has a "Long-arm Statute" which has been called a "Strong-Arm Statute" by many out-of-state attorneys. The relevant portion states:

If anyone lives anywhere gets in the face of a New York resident, and such persons are subject to any law that the State of New York has on the books, or any other law of any other State or Country that the New York resident wishes to use to exact revenge. We did not vitiate that panicky document. The Constitution has to say. If you don't like it, come to our court. We'll settle it to your face. If you don't show, we'll send someone to get you. Go ahead, make our day.

Now A.J., when he was a professional athlete played for a team in New York. He had also made several films within the State, as well as a number of commercials for "Hearse Rent-a-Van." These commercials depicted A.J. running through alleys, juggling trash cans, to grab unsuspecting victims. He would then cut them with a knife and throw them into one of the "Hearse" vans. Smiling, he would turn to the camera and say the now famous line, "Well, you stay 'em, we 'em.'" He did this in spite of the fact that a medical expert at his trial testified that the victim was unconscious immediately after the latter stages of cerebral palsy and can barely walk. Besides the civil suits, A.J. and his attorneys now had to contend with a suit filed by the U.S. Government. Congress had passed the Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. This act prohibited the interstate travel of any one who was suspected of a felony. At his criminal trial there was conflicting testimony. From the police suspected A.J. Even though in most homicides family members are the first to be suspected, there was testimony by L.A.P.D Detective Asp Van Trap that A.J. was not suspected until after his return to California. A.J.'s attorney contested The Act itself as being unconstitutional on the grounds that A.J. was not guilty. The Act is unconstitutional on the grounds that A.J. was actually the owner of the dog. Would malice be implied and A.J. found guilty?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that the dog, Rambo, killed the two, and that A.J. was actually the owner of the dog. Would such be enough to make A.J. a Felony Murderer? What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing her repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder? b. Would that be Manslaughter? c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that he went to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder? What if A.J. testified that he went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder? b. Would that be Manslaughter? c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that he went to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder? What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder? b. Would that be Manslaughter? c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Discuss New York's "Strong-arm Statute" and the likelihood of New York having jurisdiction to hear the case. Pay close attention to:


8. Discuss The Fleeing Suspect Act of 1995. How would a jury deal with the following issues?

The statute's constitutionality. The fact that according to testimony in the civil trial A.J. was not guilty of murder.

1. Even though the D.A. had not proved the Acts Reus, did they at least show that A.J. had the Mens Rea (or to be PC Persons Rea) necessary for a murder charge?

2. If there had been medical testimony at trial to the effect that A.J. had been born with a genetically deformed heart and a generally deformed mind, would this have been enough to prove murder?

3. Suppose there had been testimony at trial that placed A.J. at the scene, and he testified that he only went there to eat some Rocky Road ice cream and had been shaving Nickel and Bob some neat knife tricks for his upcoming "Hearse" commercial, when he accidentally tripped due to his condition, stabbed and sliced them both repeatedly.

Would that be enough for a Malignant Heart Murder? Would that be enough for Manslaughter? Would that be enough for Manslaughter? Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose A.J. testified that he went there to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder?

What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder? b. Would that be Manslaughter? c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?

Suppose at trial it is revealed that he went to kidnap his two children, and while he was carrying them off he found Bob and Nickel together. Feeling that this was inappropriate behavior to exhibit while there were children present, he flies into a rage and kills them both. Would that be enough for a Felony Murder? What if A.J. went over to, "teach Nickel a lesson." However, he testifies that he only meant to scare her and didn't realize that stabbing someone repeatedly could actually lead to death.

a. Would that be a Felony Murder? b. Would that be Manslaughter? c. Or would the jury just say, "hey, he slipped, it could happen," and acquit?
DAY TRIPS and Night Crawls: finding your way around Amsterdam

by R.J. COMER

You Find Postcards:
Where ancient churches whisper secrets to resistant souls...
Where every amber afternoon is a mother's kiss on a child's wound...
Where orange awnings over white windows are flower beds, pampered like royalty, catching light and veil in the generous spray of candid fountains...
Where tall beautiful women ride bicycles in mini skirts and high heels and no brassieres and no hose and no makeup...

You Find The Small World:
Where blind cops kick no ass...
Where stressed is frantic and cool just can't be many O's...
Where the smoke transforms imagined foes into demons and smiles into prurient overtures...
Where age limits of any kind are more foreign than U.S. currency...
Where German sounds strangely like English with a thick Scottish accent when you're tilting on your third Kopstoot at The Bulldog, higher than KLM ever promised...
Where walls dance paint above covens of innocents exploring Nepal and Morocco with matchsticks and smoke grows beards on kids from everywhere...
Where tongues probe recent molars and silken lids shade unbattered vulnerabilities...
Where the faces of the young are Van Gogh's lunacy of lionblossoms rising from the serpent green...
Where the sounds of bombs guide you to a symphony for orchestra and fireworks above the bay...

You Find Gratification:
Where the streets run like the passions of angry women and navigation instincts become frighteningly accurate...
Where vice is cheap and virtue is beyond comprehension...
Where sex costs two rolls of color film or just one Cuban cigar...
Where red neon washes sex-streaked burns on near naked women filling doorways in narrow alleys as throngs of non-Johns file past, eyeballs wedged into corners...
Where the professionals are professional and exiting one of those doorways, rejoining the parade, takes more guts than going in...

You Find Libation:
Where the bottles hang like bats and the richness of wood shines like the skin of bluesmen playing guitar for guilders on the Liedsplein...
Where every well-stocked bar is a Wachol...
Where once young Dutch barmaids hoist themselves up there, smoking Caballero cigarettes, crossing thirty years in an instant at the knee with plenty of time-machine thigh and somewhere tulips start blooming out of season...
Where desperate guys in bars older than my country pitch the same lines to tolerant uninterested women who half-smile the universal language of annoyance...
Where the laughter of young Dutch women is a knife in the ribs of the lonely...

You Find Companionship:
Where the faces of young Dutch women in love is why men paint bowls of fruit catching rays on mahogany window silts...
Where young Dutch women hit on men by standing next to them silently...
Where stroking the torn netted thighs of some St. Pauli Girl gone punk in The Melkveg is just the beginning...
Where loneliness finally gives way to a tulip on the pillow of a tough guy...

And You Find Your Way Home:
Where deceptively accessible beauty goes the way of dances danced, reduced to new drinks named for brief loves...
Where hazy smiles of red-washed women end pressed in books...
Where the tales of a thousand brick serpents posing thinly as streets run with canals and sing duets in languages yet unlearned.
CRIMINAL LAW
"ANALYTICAL ABILITY" CONTEST
by JOHN ROGERS

Rules:
1) Must be Loyola Law Student
2) Must be individual effort
3) Must be returned to newspaper office by January 10, 1996

ISSUE: Does coming into the classroom after the lesson has already begun, without a doubt, an example of extreme and outrageous conduct.

CONCLUSION: Defendant is guilty of intentional infliction of emotional distress by entering class after a lecture has begun. Defendant will put on a probative, but upon next offense must hand in a written assignment of the professor's choice, or wear a dunce cap for the rest of class.

NOTE: If other professor of his fine learning institution decide to join Professor Selmi in this, we will most likely be faced with a class action suit! So, please be considerate, and done be late. This includes all classes.

People v. Kiveg-Ho
Supreme Court of the Exxon-ADM Mars Colony, 2104, 232 Mars 1002, 10 O.P. 3rd 2254

Cunningham, Justice.

The outgrowth of, organized, violent crime from our sister planets, most notably old Earth and its primary natural satellite, Luna, has been a source of concern and worry for this court for some several decades now. Rain, course, many offenses considered felonies accompany mankind everywhere it expands; being, as they are part, perhaps some basic human psyche. However, the carefully orchestrated, gangland-based theft crimes, now become a menace to the planet, the solar system's high courts as "super-tarencies", are not logical extensions of general relations and social interplay. Rather they reflect the sad transformation our frontier cities have undergone. A metamorphosis from rural culture to urban, from peaceful and innocent to hostile. The particular case at hand is one of first blush for our court. It deals with the felony murder rule and whether it can be employed in a circumstance where government suppression forces, in attempting to apprehend a team of heavily-armed off-world bank robbers, wrongly discharged military soldiers, armed with state-of-the-art KVD multi-pulse railguns, breached the robbers' defenses and captured the bank at 11:23 MST.

At this juncture, fighting became extremely dense. Kiveg-Ho, whose firepower was considerable, could hold out indefinitely in the rear quarter of the bank vault. Here, Uber made his first crucial error. He ordered his men to load up with "seek and find" ammunition. This volatile and experimental ordnance theoretically can identify targets by heat signature. However, the military has tabled its use until further study can be made of the reliability of the targeting functions.

Next, rather than treat for surrender or telecom for advice from regional HQ, Uber deployed an armored personnel carrier with express orders to fire at will.

In the ensuing firefight, Kiveg-Ho and his cohorts discharged over six thousand shells. Many struck the Colonial soldiers, who, wearing ceramorganic body armor, were not touched.
Sometimes they would sail out to Catalina, then others climb mountains, skyscrapers and the like.

Sovitz, a law school student who moved to California, cultivated his love for rock climbing, and formed his association with rock climbing, Sovitz says he is torn between leading normal faces and doing chimneys. He claims this ability to unify his practice matured, and he turned his eyes away from buildings and concentrated on rock climbing.

Much to the relief of his neighbors! But by far the most important outdoor sport Sovitz participates in is his "RoughCountry Transmitter Hunting." Now, by the unwritten yet tacitly understood standards of adventure as laid down by armchair thrillseekers from time immemorial, this activity clearly surpasses any yet described in sadness and shear oddity...

The sport is conducted thusly: a competition is arranged in some remote wilderness area (locally, in the Sierras or the desert). Teams enter, usually two man. Officials plant electronic transmitter boxes at various locations throughout the contest zone, typically some hundreds of miles square. At a pre-set time, the competitors take off into the wilderness in 4-wheel drive vehicles. Sovitz's 4x4 truck's interior has been totally gutted and replaced with banks of tracking equipment. He has, additionally, purchased and constructed a fifty foot portable antenna and has manufactured an enormous blimp which he can launch from the roof of his vehicle. In the course of a four or five day full-throttle competition, Sovitz will often skip his truck to a halt, erect the antenna (or launch the blimp) and zero-in on his target signals, plotting possible locations on several geophysical maps stored in the cab. Usually, the transmitters have been secreted atop mountains or at the base of cliffs, necessitating a run through the forest on foot with a hand-held locator. The running is limited to 5-10 kilometers per box per contest.

Akin to RoughCountry Transmitter Hunting is Radio Fox Hunting. This is a more international evaluation of a truly speculative at its formative stage. Chris Turner, editor of the Los Angeles Daily Newspaper's Daily Appellate Report, and Stephen Q. Mitchell, a case brief for the Journal, proofread the final version and supplied much-needed procedural advice.

Holding

The defendant appeals from a conviction, by jury, of first degree felony murder as the result of the deaths which occurred during the armed robbery. The court of appeals affirmed, but this court reversed the defendant's criminal convictions for reasons hereinafter following, we reverse and remand for trial at the colonial adversarial level in keeping with this decision.

Analysis

...
## JUST THE FACTS

### EXPERIENCE
Over 25 years of experience with the California Bar Exam. 
#1 choice among California Bar Exam applicants.
Last summer, more students took BAR/BRI than any other course.

### OUTLINES
Outlines written by ABA Law School Professors, many of whom are casebook and hornbook authors.
BAR/BRI's Bar Exam oriented outlines are clear & concise. Separate outlines for each subject tested. 
Students receive a black letter law capsule summary of all subjects. This Mini Review includes flow charts and comparison charts.

### FACULTY
Distinguished ABA Law School Professors lecturing in their area of expertise. Professors include: Charles Whitebread (USC); Willie Fletcher (Boalt Hall); Richard Sakai (Santa Clara); Therese Maynard (Loyola); John Diamond (Hastings); Richard Wydick (UC Davis); Peter Jan Honigsberg (USF); Erwin Chemerinsky (USC).

### MULTISTATE EXAM PREPARATION
- **Gilbert 6-Day Multistate Workshop**
  Offered to BAR/BRI enrollees at no additional cost. Each day covers a separate Multistate subject. The format consists of an exam followed by a lecture which reviews the answers and highlights the areas most tested on the Bar Exam.

- **BAR/BRI Multistate Workshops**
  These workshops are integrated with your substantive law lectures throughout the BAR/BRI course. Substantive lectures sequentially followed by Multistate workshop (e.g., Crimes and Torts substantive lectures followed by a Crimes and Torts Multistate workshop). This is designed to give students the maximum benefit from these workshops.

- **Gilbert 3-Day Multistate Workshop**
  Offered to BAR/BRI enrollees at no additional cost. The format consists of a full-day simulated Multistate Exam, that is computer graded and analyzed, given under exam conditions followed by two days of thorough analysis of each question, subject by subject, reviewing substantive law as well as methodology and technique.

### COMPUTER SOFTWARE
Revolutionary "STUDY SMART™" personalized software. Sophisticated software package includes various options:
- Multiple Choice Questions
- Outlines
- Capability for immediate review of key bar exam principles
- Instantaneous diagnostic feedback in 30+ MBE subareas
- The ability to customize program with personal notes

### ESSAY EXAM PREPARATION
- **Essay Exam Workshops**
  BAR/BRI's essay workshops, featuring Professor Richard Sakai, develop skills in writing essays specifically for the California Bar Exam. These skills are emphasized through in-class exercises.

- **Practice Essay Exam Materials**
  Graded and critiqued practice essay exams. Over 110 actual past California Bar Exam essay questions with model answers.

### PERFORMANCE TEST PREPARATION
- **Performance Test Workshops**
  Featuring Professor Peter Jan Honigsberg, BAR/BRI teaches a time/data management system necessary to cope with this unique portion of the Bar Exam.

- **Practice Performance Test Materials**
  Graded and critiqued practice performance tests. 10 actual past California Bar Exam performance tests with model answers.

### GRADED SIMULATED BAR EXAM
A simulated Bar Exam given over two consecutive weekends that includes all three sections on the California Bar Exam (Essay, Performance and Multistate). This split exam format helps prevent student “burn out” which may occur when students take a full simulated Bar Exam a few weeks prior to the actual Bar Exam.

- **Essay Performance and Multistate simulated exams** are graded and critiqued.

### STUDY SCHEDULE
Students utilize a structured Paced Program™ that gives a daily study schedule organized so that their performance will peak at the most important time: the 3 days of the Bar Exam.

### LECTURES
Live lectures and workshops are offered at most major locations throughout California.

### BAR/BRI STUDENTS PASS THE BAR!
"I Heard PMBR Was Excellent..."

March 8, 1996

Dear Mr. Fechter:

Your course is a complete success! I am a PMBR graduate who took
the three-day Multistate Review Course in preparation for the July
1994 Texas Bar Exam. Because of PMBR, I scored a 188 on the
Nationwide portion of the exam. I am thankful that PMBR...saved the Bar. Now, I believe it

The key to PMBR's success is the clarity of the course materials and the
refusal to waste the student's time. Each book is thoroughly explained and
contains practice exercises to reinforce the lesson. The multiple choice
questions are similar to those found on the real exam,

Can You Fail Every Essay &
Still Pass Cal. Bar Exam?

150 MBE Score

| STEP 1: Multiply MBE score by 10 (150 x 10 = 1500) |
| STEP 2: Take extra MBE points and multiply by conversion factor of .35 |
| Essay 1 - 65.5 |
| Essay 2 - 67.5 |
| Essay 3 - 67.5 |
| Essay 4 - 67.5 |
| Essay 5 - 67.5 |
| Essay 6 - 67.5 |
| Add MBE pts. - 17.5 |
| YOU PASS! |

155 MBE Score

| STEP 1: 155 x 10 = 1550 |
| STEP 2: 1550 - 1450 |
| 100 points x .35 |
| 35 MBE points |
| STEP 3: These 35 points then "carry over" to the essay section. Thus, you could fail every essay with the following scores and still pass! |
| Essay 1 - 65 |
| Essay 2 - 65 |
| Essay 3 - 65 |
| Essay 4 - 65 |
| Essay 5 - 65 |
| Essay 6 - 65 |
| Add MBE pts. - 35 |
| YOU PASS! |

160 MBE Score

| STEP 1: 160 x 10 = 1600 |
| STEP 2: 1600 - 1450 |
| 150 points x .35 |
| 52.5 MBE points |
| STEP 3: These 52.5 points then "carry over" to the essay section. Thus, you could fail every essay with the following scores and still pass! |
| Essay 1 - 60 |
| Essay 2 - 65 |
| Essay 3 - 60 |
| Essay 4 - 65 |
| Essay 5 - 60 |
| Essay 6 - 65 |
| Add MBE pts. - 52.5 |
| YOU PASS! |

If You Nail The MBE!