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Rethinking solutions to seafood fraud

Seafood mislabeling is a pervasive problem that economically defrauds consumers, weakens the stability of marine fisheries, undermines food security, and potentially compromises human rights (Pauly et al. 2005; Mendoza et al. 2016). Research on seafood mislabeling in restaurants follows a common pattern: publication of results, immediate media coverage and calls for action, decreased media attention over time, and subsequent publication of another study with renewed calls for action. For example, three recent DNA-based surveys of Los Angeles (California) restaurants found mislabeling rates of up to 52% (Warner et al. 2012; Khaksar et al. 2015; Willette et al. 2017), generating substantial media coverage. While helping to inform proposed legislation on counteracting seafood fraud in the US (Upton 2015), including the implementation of new food fraud legislation, undermines food security, and weakens the stability of marine fisheries, fish consumers, weakens the stability of marine fisheries, fish communities, and constraints on wild-caught seafood (Pauly et al. 2005; Mendoza et al. 2016). A key difference between these successes and the aforementioned studies that fell short was the focus on engaging the general public in monitoring efforts to promote seafood awareness and literacy among consumers more broadly (Naaum and Hanner 2015).

Another critical factor in ensuring positive outcomes was engaging industry in the process of improving regulatory requirements. In the face of the persistent challenge of seafood mislabeling in restaurants, these successes highlight the need for an integrated, comprehensive, local-scale strategy that engages the seafood community in developing solutions.

Composed of stakeholders from local universities, seafood restaurants, and non-profit organizations, as well as from local, state, and federal government agencies, the Los Angeles Seafood Monitoring Project (hereafter “Project”) aims to eliminate seafood mislabeling widely through the seafood sector using a two-tiered approach. First, the Project works to clarify ambiguity in government labeling requirements for vendors that result in the majority of mislabeling. In particular, the US Food and Drug Administration’s “Seafood List” (FDA 2018) defines acceptable market and common names that restaurants can use. However, this list is problematic for sushi restaurants. For instance, “Amberjack” is the only acceptable market name for five of six Seriola species, despite variation in both price and taste, and also that these species are traditionally sold under separate names in Japan. Requiring vendors to adhere to the single legal name “Amberjack” denies biological reality and Japanese culture, and constrains consumers’ ability to make informed choices.

The latter issue is particularly salient as wild-caught Seriola rivoliana can harbor ciguatoxins, which may cause paralysis or death (Perez-Arellano et al. 2005). By encouraging the FDA’s Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition to propose scientifically and culturally based revisions to “The Seafood List”, the Project is working toward improving the list for fish species such as Seriola. By removing mislabeling that results from current guideline limitations, regulators can then focus on intentional seafood fraud.

Second, in cooperation with seafood restaurant owners, the Project is using blind sampling and DNA barcoding to monitor fish that wholesalers sell to restaurants. As part of undergraduate laboratory classes, students from Loyola Marymount University, California State University Los Angeles, and the University of California Los Angeles are conducting monthly sampling at local sushi restaurants, followed by DNA barcoding as described in Willette et al. (2017). Aggregate data are then reported to Project stakeholders in an annual workshop and shared with the public through
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press releases and publications. Such workshops were held in 2017 and 2018, while sushi sampling – which started in April 2018 – is currently ongoing.

As compared with typical reporting-only mislabeling studies, this new model has numerous advantages (Figure 1). To begin with, it is based on fostering partnerships with stakeholders, from restauranteurs to regulators, who have a vested interest in the sustainability of the seafood industry. Furthermore, it is proactive and constructive, rather than responsive and punitive; results from individual restaurants are communicated directly and confidentially to owners and management so that they can address any mislabeling and engage with regulators to concentrate on labeling accuracy that occurs earlier in their supply chain. In addition, this model is focused on increasing public awareness, and the joint release of aggregate data targeting consumers makes the restaurant industry a key partner in this outreach. Lastly, the use of students’ coursework for sampling makes this city-wide and longitudinal study feasible and sustainable, directly exposing students to real-world problems that lead to actionable science and policy. Altogether, this integrative approach should provide an impactful, replicable model to reduce seafood mislabeling at the city-scale, and beyond.
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